

Socioeconomic and environmental determinants of adolescent asthma in urban Latin America: an ecological analysis

Determinantes socioeconômicos e ambientais da asma em adolescentes em centros urbanos da América Latina: um estudo ecológico

Determinantes socioeconômicos y ambientales del asma en adolescentes en centros urbanos de América Latina: un estudio ecológico

Gisel Lorena Fattore ^{1,2}

Carlos Antonio de Souza Teles Santos ³

Mauricio Lima Barreto ^{2,4}

Abstract

The prevalence of asthma is high in urban areas of many Latin-American countries where societies show high levels of inequality and different levels of development. This study aimed to examine the relationship between asthma symptoms prevalence in adolescents living in Latin American urban centers and socioeconomic and environmental determinants measured at the ecological level. Asthma prevalence symptoms were obtained from the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) phase III. A hierarchical conceptual framework was defined and the explanatory variables were organized in three levels: distal, intermediate, proximal. Linear regression models weighed by sample size were undertaken between asthma prevalence and the selected variables. Asthma prevalence was positively associated with Gini index, water supply and homicide rate, and inversely associated with the Human Development Index, crowding and adequate sanitation. This study provides evidence of the potential influence of poverty and social inequalities on current wheezing in adolescents in a complex social context like Latin America.

Asthma; Adolescent; Urban Area

Resumo

A prevalência da asma é alta nas áreas urbanas da América Latina, onde as sociedades exibem altos níveis de desigualdade e diferente grau de desenvolvimento. O objetivo é examinar a relação entre a prevalência de sintomas asmáticos em adolescentes de centros urbanos da América Latina e determinantes socioeconômicos e ambientais medidos no nível ecológico. A prevalência de sintomas asmáticos foi obtida do International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC), fase III. Foi definido um modelo conceitual hierárquico. As variáveis explicativas foram organizadas em três níveis: distal, intermediário, proximal. Ajustaram-se modelos de regressão lineal ponderados pelo tamanho amostral entre prevalência de sintomas asmáticos e variáveis selecionadas. A prevalência da asma foi associada positivamente com índice de Gini, índice de desenvolvimento humano, subministro de água potável, e inversamente com aglomeração e saneamento. Em conclusão, este estudo ecológico fornece evidência da influência da pobreza e da desigualdade social sobre os sintomas asmáticos em adolescentes em um contexto social complexo como o da América Latina.

Asma; Adolescente; Área Urbana

¹ Universidad Nacional de Lanús, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

² Instituto de Saúde Coletiva, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Brasil.

³ Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Feira de Santana, Brasil.

⁴ Centro de Pesquisas Gonçalo Moniz, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Salvador, Brasil.

Correspondence

G. L. Fattore
Universidad Nacional de Lanús.
29 de Septiembre 3901,
Buenos Aires 1826, Argentina.
giselfattore@yahoo.com.ar

Introduction

During the past decades, asthma has emerged as a public health problem in many Latin American countries, especially in children and young people living in urban places. According to the *International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood* (ISAAC) findings¹, this rising trend began in industrialized countries, and having reached a plateau, the epidemic moved into developing countries, this trend possibly being associated to aspects of recent changes (“westernization”) in urban life².

Contradicting the so called “hygiene hypothesis”, that explain asthma prevalence trend toward increasing as consequence of improved hygiene and reduction in infections, asthma prevalence in children and adolescents is high in Latin American countries, where the lowest socioeconomic groups suffer the greatest burden of disease³. On the other hand, different patterns of disease are observed in this region, with the non-atopic phenotype being the most prevalent^{4,5}.

A few important risk factors for asthma in adolescents have been identified, most of them related to modern urban life and poverty, like tobacco smoking⁶, obesity^{7,8}, exposure to indoor allergens^{6,9}, low socioeconomic status^{10,11} and psychological stress¹¹. Adolescents with low socioeconomic status had more severe forms of asthma, greater exposure to chronic stress and poor control over their illness. Additionally, they were more likely to interpret an ambiguous life situation in a threatening manner, suggesting that poverty affects material and psychosocial dimensions of stress in adolescents’ lives. This includes both stress exposure as well as stress appraisals, with a consequent impact on asthma symptoms and severity¹¹.

However, these well-established individual risk factors cannot explain the large international variation in the asthma prevalence, even within Latin American countries, where the prevalence varies from 4.6 in Mexico city to 30.8 in San Salvador¹². Moreover, global factors like economic development, diet pattern, immunization, poor sanitation and social inequalities have been associated with asthma at the population level^{13,14}.

We have recently shown a strong association between asthma symptoms and income inequality, living conditions and homicide rates in 6-7 year-old children throughout Latin American urban centers¹⁵. However, asthma is a complex phenomenon with different manifestations strongly influenced by environmental factors, which might vary significantly with disease stage, and therefore among people of different ages. The ISAAC findings showed a high prevalence of

asthma symptoms in adolescents in urban Latin America¹. Moreover, urban centers in lower income countries tended to have higher prevalence of severe asthma, especially in the 13-14 year-old group, where wheezing prevalence was correlated to hospital admission and mortality for asthma, suggesting an important burden of disease in adolescents¹⁶. Thus, the social determinants of asthma may interact in different ways for this specific age group.

Latin American countries show high levels of inequality, which is observed not only in income distribution, but also in other indicators such as access to infrastructure and basic services, education and health care, reflecting different levels of development, urbanization and lifestyles¹⁷. Environmental and social disadvantages may explain the large variation in asthma symptom prevalence observed in this region. Hence, this study aims to investigate the relationship between socioeconomic and environmental determinants and the prevalence of asthma symptoms in adolescents aged 13-14 years living in Latin American urban centers according to a predefined hierarchical conceptual framework.

Methods

Study area and design

An ecological analysis was conducted. The units of analysis were those urban centers from Latin America that had surveys for asthma symptoms in the period 2000-2003 as part of the ISAAC phase III. ISAAC is a multicenter cross-sectional study of school children in defined geographical areas and was developed to investigate the time trend of asthma and allergies worldwide. Of the two age groups targeted in ISAAC surveys, 6-7 year olds (children) and 13-14 year olds (adolescents), the latter was chosen for the present analysis. Adolescents from 48 Latin America urban centers who self-completed the ISAAC questionnaire were included in the analysis. Two centers were excluded because of the large amount of missing data for exposure variables (San Salvador and Caracas).

Study variables and data source

Asthma was defined as a positive response to: Have you had wheezing or whistling in the chest in the last 12 months? The asthma prevalence was defined as the number of adolescents with wheezing or whistling in the past 12 months by the total of adolescent participants for each urban center¹².


A group of selected variables was selected according to the understanding and availability of asthma social and environmental determinants. Then a hierarchical framework was built considering the complex dynamic of the disease and the potential interaction between different levels of determination (Figure 1).

Variables in the theoretical framework were organized in three levels. At the distal level, the following socioeconomic variables were included: the Gini index (measure of statistical dispersion, used as a measure of income distribution inequality; it varies from 0 to 1 – value meaning perfect equality and 1 meaning perfect inequality) and the Human Development Index (HDI) (composite statistics of life expectancy, education level and gross national income per capita). When the Gini index was unavailable for an urban center, the measured value for the country where the center is located was used instead. The environmental variables were placed in the intermediate level: water supply (percentage of households with a connection to piped water

system), sanitation (percentage of households connected to a sewage system or septic tank) and crowding (average inhabitants per household). Health related variables were located in the proximate level and included: homicide mortality rate (number of homicide deaths as classified by the 10th version of the International Classification of Diseases – ICD-10 – in the entire population per 100,000), which was used as a proxy measure for the degree of collective psychosocial stress¹⁸; and the variation in infant mortality rates (IMR) between 1990-2000 using the following formula: $IMR\ variation\ (2000,1990) = 100 * [(IMR\ 1990 - IMR\ 2000) / IMR\ 1990]$, which was selected because it would represent both the living and the healthcare conditions across the population in each urban center during the period. In addition, considering that climate conditions may trigger childhood asthma attacks¹⁹, with children being susceptible to thermal stress²⁰, the mean annual temperature for the ISAAC survey year was collected and included on the proximate level.

Figure 1

Socioeconomic and environmental determinants of the prevalence of wheezing in adolescents from Latin American urban centers: a conceptual framework.



Data were extracted from the *Human Development Report* (Programa de las Naciones Unidas para el Desarrollo. <http://www.undp.org/undp.es/home.html>, accessed on Oct/2010), international organizations (Peru: Fondo de Población de Naciones Unidas. <http://www.unfpa.org.pe>, accessed on Jan/2010. Ecuador: Biblioteca Virtual de las Naciones Unidas en Ecuador. <http://www.un.org.ec>, accessed on Jan/2010), national census databases (Brasil: Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. <http://www.ibge.gov.br>, accessed on Oct/2010. Cuba: Oficina Nacional de Estadísticas. <http://www.one.cu>, accessed on Mar/2010. Mexico: Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía. <http://www.inegi.org.mx>, accessed on May/2010. Uruguay: Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas. <http://www.ine.gub.uy>, accessed on Jun/2010), and National Ministries (Uruguay: Ministerio de Desarrollo Social. Observatorio social de programas e indicadores. <http://observatoriosocial.mides.gub.uy>, accessed on May/2010. Bolivia: Ministerio de Salud y Previsión Social. Situación de salud: indicadores básicos 2000. <http://saludpublica.bvsp.org.bo>, accessed on Aug/2009. Brasil: Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada. Ipeadata. <http://www.ipeadata.gov.br>, accessed on Oct/2010).

Statistical analysis

The degree of association between asthma symptom prevalence and the selected variables was measured by the correlation coefficient. To visualize the slope of the relationship between asthma prevalence and independent variables we performed a lowess adjustment.

We used the logarithmic transformation to correct the nonlinearity of homicide mortality rates and we turned the average inhabitants per household into a categorical variable from de median value.

In the bivariate and multivariate analyses we carried out simple and multiple linear regression models with a robust estimate of variance to correct the cluster effect (country) observed between the units of analysis and employed an analytical weight to correct for heteroscedasticity and to compute a linear regression on covariates.

The analytical weight is based on the assumption that the weight assigned to an observation is inversely proportional to the variance related to residuals. It is considered that the observations that cause greater variability in the residuals are less reliable than those with lower variance in terms of the parameters of the covariates estimated.

Taking into account the hierarchical framework and assessing the direct effects of the distal, intermediate and proximal determinants on

the prevalence of asthma, three sets of regression models were performed for each level of determinants, separately; those significant variables ($p \leq 0.10$) were selected.

In the second stage, and assuming that socioeconomic determinants act through inter-related intermediate and proximal factors, we performed several linear regression models introducing the variables in accordance with the hierarchical framework consecutively. Thus, we fitted the model A, which includes the significant variables of level 1 and 2, and estimated the remaining effect of socioeconomic variables not mediated by the environmental variables as the overall effect of model A.

Finally, and from the model A, we added the significant variables of level 3, which assessed the effect of distal variables not mediated through either environmental or health related variables, as well as the overall effect of model B.

For each model, complete regression diagnoses were performed to corroborate the regression assumptions. The statistical analysis was done using Stata, version 10 (StataCorp LP, College Station, USA).

Results

The ISSAC III showed an average prevalence of current wheezing among urban Latin American schoolchildren aged 13-14 years of 16.1%, with a wide difference between centers that ranged from 4.6% to 30.5%. The independent variables also showed large variations between centers (Table 1).

Figure 2 shows the relationship between asthma prevalence and covariates at visual inspection. The correlation coefficient was significant for Gini index ($r = 0.54$; $p = 0.0001$), HDI ($r = -0.30$; $p < 0.05$), sewage ($r = -0.29$; $p < 0.05$), homicide mortality rate ($r = 0.29$; $p \leq 0.05$) and crowding ($r = -0.23$; $p \leq 0.10$). These variables showed similar behavior in the regression analysis.

The intra-level analysis for distal determinants showed a direct statistical association for Gini index ($\beta = 0.44$; 95%CI: 0.24; 0.63) and an inverse one for HDI ($\beta = -28.6$; 95%CI: -60.7; 3.44). Simultaneous inclusion of both variables in the same model keeps correlations significant and in the same direction, while reduced the strength of the correlation for HDI ($\beta = -18.8$; 95%CI: -42.03; 4.36) and for the Gini index ($\beta = 0.39$; 95%CI: 0.22; 0.57), accounting for 35% of the variation in the observed asthma prevalence. According to this model, a one point increase in the Gini Index predicted an increase of 0.39 points in the asthma prevalence, whereas a one point increase

Table 1

Socioeconomic factors, living conditions and health conditions for 48 Latin American urban centers, 2000-2003.

Variables	Mean	SE	Minimum	Maximum
Asthma symptoms prevalence	16.07	5.37	4.6	30.5
Distal variables				
Gini index (%)	54.31	7.0	41.5	68.0
Human Development Index	0.79	0.04	0.71	0.9
Intermediate variables				
Households with piped water supply (%)	83.3	12.9	46.8	98.6
Households with adequate sanitation (%)	85.1	15.4	44.6	99.6
Crowding (average inhabitants per household)	3.9	0.5	2.6	5.2
Proximate variables				
Infant mortality rate variation (%)	25.9	14.5	-6.4	60.4
Homicide rate (per 100,000) *	25.6	24.2	1.5	92.0
Mean annual temperature (°C)	21.2	5.1	5.9	27.8

SE: standard error.

* Four cities excluded due to missing data.

in the HDI would result in a decrease of 0.18 in the asthma prevalence among the studied adolescents (Table 2).

For the intermediate variables, the crude analysis for crowding and sanitation was significant and inversely associated with asthma prevalence while directly associated for water supply. However, in the intra-level multivariate analysis only crowding ($\beta = -2.80$; 95%CI: -5.83; -0.24) and sanitation ($\beta = -0.13$; 95%CI: -0.23; 0.02) remained in the model. In agreement with this model, a smaller proportion of houses with crowding and adequate sanitation was associated with higher asthma prevalence.

Among the proximal variables, only the homicide mortality rate exhibited a statistical association with asthma prevalence in crude and adjusted analysis ($\beta = 1.57$; 95%CI: 0.10; 3.05) indicating that places with a higher homicide mortality rate also have higher asthma prevalence. In relation to mean annual temperature, it was slightly associated with asthma prevalence in the bivariate analysis ($\beta = 0.27$; 95%CI: 0.003; 0.56).

To address the hierarchical approach and to assess the independent contribution of each level of determinants, models A and B were performed. Since we postulated that socioeconomic level may have an effect on asthma prevalence not mediated by the environmental level, we added the intermediate significant variables to the distal significant ones (Gini index and HDI). The direct association of Gini index was attenuated but remained significant ($\beta = 0.32$; 95%CI: 0.12; 0.51), and the influence of HDI increased

slightly ($\beta = -21.0$; 95%CI: -42.18; 0.18) when adjusting for environmental variables. Similarly, the effect of crowding was attenuated ($\beta = -2.37$; 95%CI: -5.07; 0.33) but sanitation remained outside the model (Model A).

Finally, a model for asthma prevalence was fitted using all significant variables from the reduced regression models of distal, intermediate and proximal determinants. Then significant proximal variables were added to model A to perform model B. After the adjustment for health related variables, HDI ($\beta = -20.54$; 95%CI: -42.67; -1.58), and Gini index coefficients ($\beta = 0.32$; 95%CI: 0.10; 0.54) did not change substantially. The strength of association for crowding increased ($\beta = -2.62$; 95%CI: -5.35; 0.11), while the homicide mortality rate fell outside the model. As shown in Table 3, this final reduced model accounted for 44.17% of the variance in the asthma prevalence among adolescents in these Latin American urban centers.


Discussion

This ecological study explores the role of some relevant social and environmental determinants explaining the variation in asthma prevalence among adolescents from a large sample of Latin American urban centers. Our results showed that socioeconomic and environmental indicators are associated to wheezing in adolescents at the ecological level. Asthma prevalence increased with higher levels of inequality (measured by the


Figure 2

Scatter plots with smoothing of the prevalence of wheezing in the last 12 months in students aged 13-14 and selected socioeconomic and environmental indicators.


2a) Gini index




2b) Human Development Index (HDI)




2c) Water supply




2d) Sanitation



2e) Crowding



2f) Variation in infant mortality rates



(continues)

Figure 2 (continued)




Table 2

Bivariate and multivariate intra-level analysis of determining asthma factors among adolescents aged 13-14 years living in Latin America urban centers, 2000-2003.

Variables	Bivariate analysis			Multivariate analysis (by level)		
	β (SE)	95%CI	R ² (%)	β (SE)	95%CI	R ² (%)
Level I (distal determinants)						
Gini index	0.44 (0.09) *	0.24; 0.63	32.3	0.39 (0.08) *	0.22; 0.57	35.24
Human Development Index	-28.6 (15.95) **	-60.7; 3.44	0.08	-18.8 (10.9) **	-42.03; 4.36	
Level II (intermediate determinants)						
Water supply	0.09 (0.05) **	0.01-0.20	8.11	0.08 (0.05)	-0.03-0.20	22.56
Crowding	-3.43 (1.52) *	-6.50; -0.35	10.84	-2.80 (1.50) **	-5.83; -0.24	
Sanitation	-0.09 (0.050) **	-0.19; 0.03	6.80	-0.13 (0.05) **	-0.23; 0.02	
Level III (proximate determinants)						
Infant mortality rate variation	0.08 (0.06)	-0.04; 0.20	5.83	0.08 (0.05)	-0.02; 0.19	19.6
Homicide rate	1.76 (0.59) *	0.57; 2.95	11.62	1.57 (0.73) *	0.10; 3.05	
Mean annual temperature	0.27 (0.14) **	-0.003; 0.56	7.29	0.17 (0.16)	-0.15; 0.50	

95%CI: 95% confidence interval; SE: standard error.

* p-value < 0.05;

** p-value ≤ 0.10.

Gini index), lower levels of human development (measured by HDI) and lower levels of household crowding.

While much has been learned about individual risk factors that lie relatively close to asthma at the individual level, fewer studies have explored the structural causes, responsible for

the overall increase in the asthma prevalence at the population level. Our findings suggest that some fundamental causes (such as the level of wealth inequalities and the level of human development) constitute main determinants of asthma prevalence among urban Latin Americans adolescents.

Table 3

Hierarchical analysis of determining asthma factors grouped by levels among adolescents aged 13-14 years living in Latin America urban centers, 2000-2003.

Variables	Model A (block I-II)			Model B (block I-II-III)		
	β (SE)	95%CI	R ² (%)	β (SE)	95%CI	R ² (%)
Level I (distal determinants)						
Gini index	0.32 (0.09) *	0.12; 0.51		0.32 (0.11) *	0.10; 0.54	
Human Development Index	-20.99 (10.49) **	-42.18; 0.18		-20.54 (10.94) **	-42.67; 1.58	
Level II (intermediate determinants)						
Crowding	-2.37 (1.33) **	-5.07; 0.33		-2.62 (1.35) **	-5.35; 0.11	
Sanitation	-0.33 (0.04)	-0.11; 0.05				
			39.80			
Level III (proximate determinants)						
Homicide mortality rate				0.53 (0.62)	-0.73; 1.80	
						44.17

95%CI: 95% confidence interval; SE: standard error.

* p-value < 0.05;

** p-value \leq 0.10.

There is much evidence that income inequality is harmful to health; more egalitarian societies usually have a better health status²¹. According to this hypothesis, it is not individual income which is the main determinant of health but rather the degree of income inequality within a society. Inequality may be related to asthma throughout interrelated pathways. It has been hypothesized that the effect of relative deprivation damages social cohesion, with unequal societies being characterized by more violence, hostility and mistrust. Unequal societies promote social environments marked by poor quality social relationships, social stratification and difficult early childhood, that could work as chronic stressors. It is well known that different kinds of stressors could potentially influence health behaviors and affect asthma morbidity²², especially in lower income groups which bear a disproportionate burden of unfavorable social circumstances and multiple sources of stress.

Adolescents from low socioeconomic status may be more exposed to stressful situations and events of greater severity. Moreover, they would perceive the same event in a more threatening fashion¹¹, responding to adverse situations with psychological stress and negative emotions or developing risky health behaviors like smoking, which may affect pulmonary function²³.

On the other hand, the connection between inequality and health has also been attributed to investment in human capital, where unequal societies tend to spend less in education, health care, service and infrastructure²⁴. Poor urban

environments are characterized by a higher concentration of indoor and outdoor air pollution, reduced availability of green spaces and less access to healthy foods – in addition to psychosocial factors – aspects of urbanization and modern urban life that are associated with asthma². This is consistent with our results of an inverse relationship between human development, measured by HDI, and prevalence of wheezing. We can postulate that investment in human capital, in addition to economic capital, may affect the distribution of risk factors for asthma. It is expected that more equitable societies devote resources to creating less hazardous environments, promoting healthy behaviors, and also investing in education and health prevention and promotion. In this way, the investment in human development would determine the extent to which people are able to avoid the risk of asthma morbidity.

According to our results, the effect of socioeconomic determinants is partially produced by environmental variables. Higher household water supply, lower sanitation and crowding were related to asthma prevalence at the intra-level analysis. Despite the apparent contradiction of this finding according to the hygiene hypothesis, improvement in living conditions is related to an increase of atopic asthma. Certainly, Latin America is undergoing an important process of urbanization and development; however it is far from uniform, therefore the extremes of wealth and poverty coexist in the region. Since asthma is not a single entity, and there are different mecha-

nisms and risk factors for atopic and nonatopic asthma²⁵, the overlap of these different immunological profiles in the Latin American context is expected²⁶.

Another measure of stress, the homicide mortality rate, was associated to asthma in the bivariate analysis. Relative deprivation is a stronger predictor of the homicide rate, and it was assumed to reflect disruption of community organization, weak social controls and a lack of social cohesion¹⁸. Exposure to community violence is one marker of psychosocial stress, but it is also a marker for neighborhoods with a concentrated disadvantage where people could be exposed to physical or social conditions that contribute to the development of asthma or exacerbate its symptoms and even interfere with its treatment²⁷. Various studies identified an association between community violence and asthma prevalence, as well as hospitalizations and emergency visits^{27,28,29}.

Our results are in line with other studies showing a correlation between asthma prevalence and indicators of social inequality¹⁴ and national income level³⁰. In the latter the authors reported a bimodal distribution of asthma symptoms, higher in high- and low-income countries, and lower in middle-income countries. It is likely that a mix of environmental factors contributes differently according to the country's wealth levels. While some factors could predominate in low income countries, others are more relevant in high income ones. In this last group, where the atopic phenotype predominates, the hygiene hypothesis may have been shown to be more adequate to explain the high prevalence³¹.

Our previous analysis performed in the younger group (6-7 year-olds) evidenced a strong association between Gini index, environmental variables and health related variables, including infant mortality rate and homicide rate and asthma prevalence¹⁵. In the group of 13-14 year-olds included here, the Gini index effect was shared with the HDI, reflecting the importance of the level of inequality of societies as the level of community wellbeing. In young children, the asthma prevalence was more correlated with proximal factors such as living conditions and health conditions, however, in adolescents the prevalence was predominantly correlated with structural factors. Thus, distal factors may be partial proxies of country socioeconomic level and cultural differences, and can represent a variety of global factors with the potential to have some effect on asthma occurrence, like dietary intake patterns and tobacco smoking prevalence¹³. Also, distal factors may determine the extent to which poor communities concentrate physical and social

disadvantages, with the usual impact on the disease occurrence²⁹.

Some studies have described the potential role of climate conditions on asthma prevalence and other allergic diseases, showing that extreme temperature and rapid decreases in temperature can increase the risk of asthma attacks¹⁹. Similarly, other studies have shown that higher temperatures were associated with physician's diagnosis of asthma³², or with asthma prevalence in adults³³.

However, we found a weak association between the levels of temperature and wheezing, which may be due to the use of the annual mean temperature, once monthly or daily data were not available for all the participating centers in the study period. Furthermore, it is important to consider other environmental factors linked to global climate change, especially in urban settings. Environmental pollution would affect air quality through several pathways, including traffic-related pollutants³², dust and production of allergens³⁴. Some of these pollutants could cause respiratory disease or exacerbate asthma symptoms in susceptible children, especially in urban environments. In this way, in developing countries from Latin America, higher pollution levels were correlated to wheezing, allergies, bronchitis and pneumonia³⁵.

This study has several strengths, such as the use of a validated measure of asthma symptoms provided by the ISAAC through a standardized protocol, and the addressing of potential determinants of asthma prevalence in a region as large as Latin America, using urban centers as units of analysis. The ecological approach is appropriate to examine the effect of the economic, social, and environmental exposure factors on health, especially if environmental causes of illness are sought³⁶. A weighted regression method was used which gave appropriate relative importance to the variables and allowed us to obtain parameters that were more accurate and in the expected direction.

The results should be interpreted with caution, especially because relationships between factors at the ecological level may not be the same as at the individual level, and we cannot adjust for individual confounding factors. However, some of the variables introduced in our analysis are exclusively ecological ones, such as the Gini index and HDI, operating exclusively at the population level. Thus, their effects are not restricted to the poor, but extend to all social strata, and thus are expected to be shared by all members of the society³⁷.

An additional limitation is related to the lack of information on air pollution and annual varia-

tion of temperature for the participating urban centers at the time of the asthma data collection, factors that play a role on the burden of the disease.

Finally, the prevalence of wheezing in the past 12 months is a measure that is very correlated to severe asthma, and it is possible that the pattern of social and environmental determinants observed is more concerned with severe and uncontrolled asthma than with asthma prevalence. The high burden of disease observed may be the result of a set of interrelated adverse social situations, in which the access to diagnosis and preventive care is fundamental to achieving adequate disease management and crisis prevention.

In conclusion, this ecological analysis has explored some social and environmental factors providing evidence of the potential influence of poverty and inequalities on current wheezing among adolescents in a complex social context such as Latin America. In recent decades, the region has been experiencing a rapid process of urbanization and internal migration, reflected in disorganized urban growth leading many people to live in poverty and in stressful urban environ-

ments. The growth of disadvantaged neighborhoods coexists with different degrees of urban development compared to the most privileged areas. The transformation of urban spaces is accompanied by changing lifestyles and hence environmental exposures, with a consequent impact on lung development and immune response^{38,39}. In Latin America, the non-atopic asthma phenotype is dominant but the frequency of atopy is also high and is expected to increase as the living conditions of populations improve. Therefore, the findings from this cross-sectional ecological analysis could reflect the complex dynamic of asthma and allergies in this region of the world⁴⁰.

From a public health perspective, we consider it important to approach the structural determinants of health and contextualize exposure factors which make individuals more vulnerable to develop and aggravate asthma symptoms. Recognizing some of the limitations of ecological studies, we consider it important to explore these relationships in greater depth, incorporating the different phenotypes of asthma, through a multi-level approach.

Resumen

La prevalencia de asma es alta en áreas urbanas de América Latina, cuyas sociedades exhiben altos niveles de desigualdad y diferente grado de desarrollo. El objetivo de este estudio es examinar la relación entre la prevalencia de síntomas asmáticos en adolescentes de centros urbanos de América Latina y determinantes socioeconómicos y ambientales medidos a nivel ecológico. La prevalencia de síntomas asmáticos se obtuvo del International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) fase III. Se definió un modelo conceptual jerárquico. Las variables explicativas se organizaron en tres niveles: distal, intermedio, proximal. Se ajustaron modelos de regresión lineal ponderados

por el tamaño de la muestra entre la prevalencia de síntomas asmáticos y las variables seleccionadas. La prevalencia de asma fue asociada positivamente con el índice de Gini, Índice de Desarrollo Humano y suministro de agua, e inversamente con hacinamiento y saneamiento ambiental. En conclusión, este estudio proporciona evidencias de la influencia de la pobreza y de la desigualdad social sobre los síntomas asmáticos en adolescentes en un contexto social complejo como el de América Latina.

Asma; Adolescente; Área Urbana

Contributors

G. L. Fattore conducted the literature research, data analysis, interpretation of results and writing the article. C. A. T. Santos contributed to the analysis and interpretation of results. M. L. Barreto contributed to the preparation and execution of the project and the interpretation of the results. All authors contributed to the final drafting and revision of the article.

References

1. Pearce N, Ait-Khaled N, Beasley R, Mallol J, Keil U, Mitchell E, et al. Worldwide trends in the prevalence of asthma symptoms: phase III of the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC). *Thorax* 2007; 62:758-66.
2. Cooper PJ, Rodrigues LC, Cruz AA, Barreto ML. Asthma in Latin America: a public health challenge and research opportunity. *Allergy* 2009; 64:5-17.
3. Gold DR, Wright R. Population disparities in asthma. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2005; 26:89-113.
4. Weinmayr G, Weiland SK, Björkstén B, Brunekreef B, Büchele G, Cookson WO, et al. Atopic sensitization and the international variation of asthma symptom prevalence in children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007; 176:565-74.
5. Cunha SS, Barreto ML, Fiaccone RL, Cooper PJ, Alcantara-Neves NM, Simões SM, et al. Asthma cases in childhood attributed to atopy in tropical area in Brazil. *Rev Panam Salud Pública* 2010; 28:405-11.
6. Burr ML, Anderson HR, Harkins LS, Kaur B, Strachan DP, Warner JO. Respiratory symptoms and home environment in children: a national survey. *Thorax* 1999; 54:27-32.
7. Rodríguez MA, Winkleby MA, Ahn D, Sundquist J, Kraemer HC. Identification of population subgroups of children and adolescents with high asthma prevalence: findings from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2002; 156:269-75.
8. Garcia E, Aristizabal G, Vasquez C, Rodríguez-Martínez CE, Sarmiento OL, Satizabal CL. Prevalence of and factors associated with current asthma symptoms in school children aged 6-7 and 13-14 year old in Bogota, Colombia. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2008; 19:307-14.

9. Squillace SP, Sporik RB, Rakes G, Couture N, Lawrence A, Merriam S, et al. Sensitization to dust mites as a dominant risk factor for asthma among adolescents living in central Virginia. Multiple regression analysis of a population-based study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997; 156:1760-4.
10. Poyser MA, Nelson H, Ehrlich RI, Bateman ED, Parnell S, Puterman A, et al. Socioeconomic deprivation and asthma prevalence and severity in young adolescents. *Eur Respir J* 2002; 19:892-8.
11. Chen E, Fisher EB, Bacharier LB, Strunl R. Socioeconomic status, stress, and immune markers in adolescents with asthma. *Psychosom Med* 2003; 65:984-92.
12. Lai CK, Beasley R, Crane J, Foliaki S, Shah J, Weiland S, et al. Global variation in the prevalence and severity of asthma symptoms: phase three of the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC). *Thorax* 2009; 64:476-83.
13. Asher MI, Stewart AW, Mallol J, Montefort S, Lai CKW, Ait-Khaled N, et al. Which population level environmental factors are associated with asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema? Review of the ecological analyses of ISAAC Phase One. *Resp Res* 2010; 11:8.
14. Cunha SS, Pujades-Rodriguez M, Barreto ML, Genser B, Rodrigues LC. Ecological study of socioeconomic indicators and prevalence of asthma in schoolchildren in urban Brazil. *BMC Public Health* 2007; 7:205.
15. Fattore GL, Santos CA, Barreto ML. Social determinants of childhood asthma symptoms: an ecological study in urban Latin America. *J Commun Health* 2014; 39:355-62.
16. Anderson HR, Gupta R, Kapetanakis V, Asher MI, Clayton T, Robertson CF, et al. International correlations between indicators of prevalence, hospital admissions and mortality for asthma in children. *Int J Epidemiol* 2008; 37:573-82.
17. Hoffman K, Centeno MA. The lopsided continent: inequality in Latin America. *Annu Rev Sociol* 2003; 29:363-90.
18. Wilkinson RG, Kawachi I, Kennedy BP. Mortality, the social environment, crime and violence. *Sociol Health Ill* 1998; 20:578-97.
19. Hashimoto M, Fukuda T, Shimizu T, Watanabe S, Watanuki S, Eto Y, et al. Influence of climate factors on emergency visits for childhood asthma attack. *Pediatr Int* 2004; 46:48-52.
20. Xu Z, Huang C, Su H, Turner LR, Qiao Z, Tong S. Diurnal temperature range and childhood asthma: a time-series study. *Environ Health* 2013; 12:12.
21. Wilkinson RG, Pickett KE. Income inequality and population health: a review and explanation of the evidence. *Soc Sci Med* 2006; 62:1768-84.
22. Wilkinson RG, Pickett KE. Income inequality and social dysfunction. *Annu Rev Sociol* 2009; 35:493-511.
23. Jackson B, Kubzansky LD, Cohen S, Weiss S, Wright RJ. A matter of life and breath: childhood socioeconomic status is related to young adult pulmonary function in the CARDIA study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2004; 33:271-8.
24. Kaplan GA, Pamuk ER, Lynch JW, Cohen RD, Blaufour JL. Inequality in income and mortality in the United States: analysis of mortality and potential pathways. *BMJ* 1996; 312:999-1003.
25. Strina A, Barreto ML, Cooper PJ, Rodrigues LC. Risk factors for non-atopic asthma/wheeze in children and adolescents: a systematic review. *Emerg Themes Epidemiol* 2014; 11:5.
26. Pitrez PM, Stein RT. Asthma in Latin America: the dawn of a new epidemic. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin* 2008; 8:378-83.
27. Wright RJ, Mitchell H, Visness CM, Cohen S, Stout J, Evans R, et al. Community violence and asthma morbidity: The Inner-City Asthma Study. *Am J Public Health* 2004; 94:625-32.
28. Apter AJ, Garcia LA, Boyd RC, Wang X, Bogen DK, Ten Have T. Exposure to community violence is associated with asthma hospitalizations and emergency department visits. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010; 126:552-7.
29. Alves GDC, Santos DN, Feitosa CA, Barreto ML. Community violence and childhood asthma prevalence in peripheral neighborhoods in Salvador, Bahia State, Brazil. *Cad Saúde Pública* 2012; 28:86-94.
30. Sembajwe G, Cifuentes M, Tak SW, Kriebel D, Gore R, Punnett L. National income, self-reported wheezing and asthma diagnosis from the World Health Survey. *Eur Respir J* 2010; 35:279-86.
31. Brooks C, Pearce N, Douwes J. The hygiene hypothesis in allergy and asthma: an update. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin* 2013; 13:70-7.
32. Guo YL, Lin YC, Sung FC, Huang SL, Ko YC, Lai JS, et al. Climate, traffic-related air pollutants, and asthma prevalence in middle-school children in Taiwan. *Environ Health Persp* 1999; 107:1001-6.
33. Hales S, Lewis S, Slater T, Crane J, Pearce N. Prevalence of adult asthma symptoms in relation to climate in New Zealand. *Environ Health Persp* 1998; 106:607-10.
34. Beggs PJ, Bambrick HJ. Is the global rise of asthma an early impact of anthropogenic climate change? *Environ Health Persp* 2005; 113:915-9.
35. Bella ML, Davis DL, Gouveia N, Borja-Aburto VH, Cifuentes LA. The avoidable health effects of air pollution in three Latin American cities: Santiago, São Paulo, and Mexico City. *Environ Res* 2006; 100:431-40.
36. Marmot MG. Improvement of social environment to improve health. *Lancet* 1998; 351:57-60.
37. Wilkinson RG, Pickett KE. Income inequality and socioeconomic gradients in mortality. *Am J Public Health* 2008; 98:699-704.
38. Figueiredo CA, Amorim LD, Alcântara-Neves NM, Matos SM, Cooper PJ, Rodrigues LC, et al. Environmental conditions, immunologic phenotypes, atopy, and asthma: new evidence of how the hygiene hypothesis operates in Latin America. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013; 131:1064-8.
39. Figueiredo CA, Alcântara-Neves NM, Veiga R, Amorim LD, Dattoli V, Mendonça LR, et al. Spontaneous cytokine production in children according to biological characteristics and environmental exposures. *Environ Health Persp* 2009; 117:845-9.
40. Cooper PJ, Rodrigues LC, Barreto ML. Influence of poverty and infection on asthma in Latin America. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin* 2012; 12:171-8.

Submitted on 01/Jul/2014

Final version resubmitted on 26/Apr/2015

Approved on 04/May/2015