Table 2. Characteristics of the reviewed studies.

					Cross-				
Study	Country	Study	Participants	Original	cultural	Psychometric	Target	Main results reported	Conclusion
		design		language	adaptation	validation	population		
Hanan et al.,	Brazil	Cross-	29 men and 50	English	Present	Present	Adults	Both stability and internal	The OHIP-Aes-
2022		sectional	women, ±24.4					consistency were adequate.	Braz showed good
			years old.					A positive correlation	psychometric
								between OHIP-Aes-Braz	properties and
								and OHIP-14 (r s =0.63)	showed sensitivity
								and OIDP (r s = 0.77) was	to changes in
								observed.	esthetic evaluation
									in Brazilian adults
									submitted to tooth
									bleaching.
Perazzo et	Brazil	Cross-	62 men and	English	Present	Present	Adults	The B-POHW	The B-POHW is
al., 2022		sectional	147 women, \pm					demonstrated satisfactory	psychometrically
			39.36 years					internal consistency	sound to be used in
			old.					(Cronbach's α and	a Brazilian
								McDonald's $\omega > 0.8$); the	context, and
								intraclass correlation	evidence of its
								coefficient suggested good	internal structure
								reliability for the Global	confirmed its
								Factor of B-POHW (ICC =	theoretical
								0.84); evidence based on	framework fot
								other variables and	measuring positive
								construct representation	oral health.

was in line with the positive oral health framework.

De Almeida et al., 2021	Brazil	Cross- sectional	12 participants, ±61.5 years old.	English	Present	Absent	Adults with potentially malignant oral disorders	The content validation, pre- test and operational equivalence indicators indicate that the Brazilian version of this questionnaire is a promising instrument.	A tool that seems to be valid for assessing the quality of life of people with potentially malignant oral diseases.
Silveira et al., 2020	Brazil	Cross- sectional	83 men and 117 women, ±41.34 years old.	English	Present	Present	Adults	Factor analyses confirmed the unidimensionality of the B-HALT, which also demonstrated excellent internal consistency and test-retest reliability. There was a positive correlation between B-HALT and organoleptic scores (r = 0.33; p < 0.001). B-HALT was able to outline the groups with and without halitosis.	The B-HALT proved to be a reliable and valid tool to assess halitosis-associated health-related quality of life in Brazilian adults.
Douglas de Oliveira et al., 2018	Brazil	Cross- sectional	69 men and 131 women, 30.4 years of age	English	Present	Present	Adults with dentine hypersensitivity.	Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.945$; intraclass correlation coefficient = 0.959, p < 0.001; a significant correlation between the	This study provides evidence supporting the cross-cultural validity of the

								DHEQ-15 domains and the	Brazilian version
								global assessment of oral	of the DHEQ-15
								health (p<0.001). For	for use in Brazil.
								criterion and construct	
								validity, there was an	
								inverse correlation between	
								self-rated oral health,	
								perceived oral care needs	
								and satisfaction with oral	
								health, and OIDP score.	
								Cronbach's α coefficient	
								ranged from 0.69 to 0.67.	
								The test-retest reliability	
								was 0.69 (ICC).	
Abegg et al.,	Brazil	Cross-	117 men and	English	Present	Present	Adults	Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.945$;	The validation
2015		sectional	83 women,					intraclass correlation	process showed
2015		sectional	83 women, 60.2 years old.					intraclass correlation coefficient = 0.959, p <	process showed that the Brazilian
2015		sectional							_
2015		sectional						coefficient = 0.959, p <	that the Brazilian
2015		sectional						coefficient = 0.959, p < 0.001; a significant	that the Brazilian OIDP has the
2015		sectional						coefficient = 0.959, p < 0.001; a significant correlation between the	that the Brazilian OIDP has the necessary basic
2015		sectional						coefficient = 0.959, p < 0.001; a significant correlation between the DHEQ-15 domains and the	that the Brazilian OIDP has the necessary basic psychometric
2015		sectional						coefficient = 0.959, p < 0.001; a significant correlation between the DHEQ-15 domains and the global assessment of oral	that the Brazilian OIDP has the necessary basic psychometric properties to be
2015		sectional						coefficient = 0.959, p < 0.001; a significant correlation between the DHEQ-15 domains and the global assessment of oral health (p<0.001). For	that the Brazilian OIDP has the necessary basic psychometric properties to be used in the 50-74
2015		sectional						coefficient = 0.959, p < 0.001; a significant correlation between the DHEQ-15 domains and the global assessment of oral health (p<0.001). For criterion and construct	that the Brazilian OIDP has the necessary basic psychometric properties to be used in the 50-74 age group in
2015		sectional						coefficient = 0.959, p < 0.001; a significant correlation between the DHEQ-15 domains and the global assessment of oral health (p<0.001). For criterion and construct validity, there was an	that the Brazilian OIDP has the necessary basic psychometric properties to be used in the 50-74 age group in
2015		sectional						coefficient = 0.959, p < 0.001; a significant correlation between the DHEQ-15 domains and the global assessment of oral health (p<0.001). For criterion and construct validity, there was an inverse correlation between	that the Brazilian OIDP has the necessary basic psychometric properties to be used in the 50-74 age group in
2015		sectional						coefficient = 0.959, p < 0.001; a significant correlation between the DHEQ-15 domains and the global assessment of oral health (p<0.001). For criterion and construct validity, there was an inverse correlation between self-rated oral health, perceived oral care needs and satisfaction with oral	that the Brazilian OIDP has the necessary basic psychometric properties to be used in the 50-74 age group in
2015		sectional						coefficient = 0.959, p < 0.001; a significant correlation between the DHEQ-15 domains and the global assessment of oral health (p<0.001). For criterion and construct validity, there was an inverse correlation between self-rated oral health, perceived oral care needs	that the Brazilian OIDP has the necessary basic psychometric properties to be used in the 50-74 age group in

Cronbach's α coefficient ranged from 0.69 to 0.67. The test-retest reliability was 0.69 (ICC).

								was 0.69 (ICC).	
De Araújo et al., 2013	Brazil	Cross- sectional study	9 men and 3 women, ±25 years old.	English	Present	Absent	Adults undergoing orthosurgical treatment	was 0.69 (ICC). The OQLQ was translated into Brazilian Portuguese. This translation was tested in a pilot study with 12 patients, between 16 and 34 years old.	The translation of the OQLQ into Brazilian Portuguese proved to be an adequate tool to access the impact of
									dentofacial deformities on the quality of life of patients in the Brazilian public health system who need orthosurgical treatment.
Gava et al., 2013	Brazil	Cross- sectional	59 men and 42 women, ±26.5 years old.	English	Absent	Present	Adults	Cronbach's α and ICC were 0.95 and 0.90. The B-OQLQ domains that had the greatest effect on the quality of life included "social aspects of deformity" and "facial esthetic".	The Brazilian version of the OQLQ proved to be valid and reliable, with good psychometric properties and can be considered an adequate tool to assess the effect of

dentofacial deformities on the quality of life of individuals with this condition.

									uns condition.
Kallás et al.,	Brazil	Cross-	7 men and 43	English	Present	Present	Adults	Internal consistency	The Brazil-
2013		sectional	women, ± 40.7					(Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.9$),	MOPDS was
			years old.					interobserver (ICC = 0.92)	successfully
								and intraobserver (ICC =	translated and
								0.98) correlations showed	adapted to be
								high scores. The validity of	applied to
								Brazil-MOPDS in relation	Brazilian patients,
								to OHIP-14 ($r = 0.85$) and	with satisfactory
								VAS $(r = 0.75)$ showed	internal and
								high correlations.	external reliability.
Campos et	Brazil	Cross-	115 men and	English	Present	Present	Adults	The reproducibility was	The Portuguese
al., 2012		sectional	134 women, \pm					excellent in both	version of the
			36.84 years					dimensions 'functional	reduced MFIQ
			old.					capacity' and 'feeding'.	produced data with
								Items 1, 2, 6 and 7 of D1	good validity and
								had factor weights below	reliability.
								the desired cutoff point.	
								Convergent validity was	
								adequate for both factors.	
								However, its discriminant	
								validity was low. Internal	
								consistency was excellent.	

Sardenberg	Brazil	Cross-	124 men and	English	Present	Present	Adults with	The Cronbach's α of the	The results suggest
et al., 2011		sectional	121 women,				malocclusion	subscales was between 0.75	that the Brazilian
			±24 years old.				(particularly in	and 0.91 and the test-retest	version of the
							the anterior	reliability ranged from 0.89	PIDAQ has
							region)	to 0.99. Discriminant	satisfactory
								validity revealed that	psychometric
								individuals without	properties and,
								malocclusion had different	therefore, applies
								PIDAQ scores compared to	to young adults in
								those with malocclusion.	Brazil.
Bortoluzzi et	Brazil	Cross-	9 men and 16	English	Present	Present	Adults with	The internal consistency	The demonstration
al., 2011		sectional	women, ± 25.4				dentofacial	analysis of the OQLQ-	of its
			years old.				deformity or	Brazil showed a good	reproducibility,
							orthognathic	correlation for items or	reliability and
							treatment.	domains, and the test-retest	makes this
								reliability also showed	instrument another
								excellent intraclass	useful parameter
								correlation coefficients.	for assessing the
								The Brazilian-OQLQ	impact of
								showed a weak and	dentofacial
								negative correlation with	deformity on the
								the SF-36 and a good	quality of life of
								correlation with the OHIP-	Brazilians.
								49.	

Souza et al., 2007	Brazil	Cross- sectional	23 men and 42 women, ±69.1 years old.	English	Absent	Present	Adults/Elderly	Internal consistency results showed a Cronbach's α of 0.90. Through test-retest analysis, an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.57 was found, and individual responses	The Brazilian version of the OHIP-EDENT is suitable for assessing the oral health-related quality of life of
								reflected a wide range of agreement. Interviewed volunteers understood most of the questions well.	edentulous individuals.
De Oliveira et al., 2005	Brazil	Cross- sectional	504 women, ±24 years old.	English	Present	Present	Adults	Test-retest stability and internal consistency were adequate. The construct validity was confirmed by the correlation between the OHIP14 scores with self-perception of general and oral health, and by the differences in the scores of the groups formed.	The Brazilian version of the OHIP14 has good psychometric properties, similar to those of the original instrument.

^{±:} mean age

 Table 3. Characteristics of oral health-related quality of life instruments.

Instrument	Abbreviation	Generic/specific	Completion	Domain names	Number	Score	Evaluation	Completion	Available
		instrument	method		of items		period	time	instrument
Oral Health	OHIP-Aes-	Specific	Self-	Physiological	14	The response	30 days	NR	No
Impact Profile -	Braz	condition:	completion	discomfort, pain,		scale is a 4-			
Aesthetic		aesthetic dental		physiological		point Likert			
Questionnaire		treatment		disability,		scale. A total			
				physical		score is			
				disability,		calculated by			
				functional		summing up			
				limitation,		the item			
				disability, and		scores, ranging			
				social disability.		0 to 56. A			
						higher score			
						indicates a			
						worse aesthetic			
						impact on			
						quality of life.			
Positive Oral	B-POHW	Generic	Self-	subjective-	15	The response	NR	NR	No
Health and Well-		Instrument	completion	psychological,		scale is a 4			
Being				functional-		point Likert			
				social, and		scale. A total			
				biological-		score is			
				physical		calculated by			
						summing up			
						the item			
	Oral Health Impact Profile - Aesthetic Questionnaire Positive Oral Health and Well-	Oral Health OHIP-Aes- Impact Profile - Braz Aesthetic Questionnaire Positive Oral B-POHW Health and Well-	Oral Health OHIP-Aes- Impact Profile - Braz condition: Aesthetic aesthetic dental Questionnaire treatment Positive Oral B-POHW Generic Health and Well- Instrument	Oral Health OHIP-Aes- Impact Profile - Braz condition: completion Aesthetic aesthetic dental Questionnaire treatment Positive Oral B-POHW Generic Self- Health and Well- Instrument method Self- Generic Self- Generic Self- Completion Self- Completion	Oral Health OHIP-Aes- Specific Self- Physiological Impact Profile - Braz condition: completion discomfort, pain, Aesthetic aesthetic dental Questionnaire treatment disability, physical disability, functional limitation, disability, and social disability. Positive Oral B-POHW Generic Self- subjective—Health and Well- Instrument completion psychological, functional—social, and biological-	Oral Health OHIP-Aes- Impact Profile - Braz condition: completion discomfort, pain, Aesthetic aesthetic dental Questionnaire treatment disability, physical disability, functional limitation, disability, and social disability. Positive Oral B-POHW Generic Self- Health and Well- Being Generic Self- Self- Subjective- psychological, functional- social, and biological-	Oral Health OHIP-Aes- Impact Profile - Braz condition: completion discomfort, pain, scale is a 4- Aesthetic aesthetic dental physiological point Likert Questionnaire treatment disability, scale, A total physical score is disability, and score, ranging social disability. Positive Oral B-POHW Generic Self- Health and Well- Being Braz condition: completion discomfort, pain, scale is a 4- Physiological point Likert disability, scale, A total physical score is score, is score is self- social, and biological- physical score indicates a worse aesthetic impact on quality of life. Self- Self- Self- Self- Self- Subjective— 15 The response psychological, scale is a 4- Functional— Social, and scale. A total biological- physical score is calculated by summing up	Instrument method of items period Oral Health OHIP-Aes- Specific Self- Physiological 14 The response 30 days Impact Profile Braz condition: completion discomfort, pain, scale is a 4- Aesthetic aesthetic dental physiological point Likert physical disability, scale. A total physical score is disability, calculated by functional summing up limitation, disability, and scores, ranging social disability, and scores, ranging social disability. Positive Oral B-POHW Generic Self- subjective— Instrument completion psychological, scale is a 4- Positive Oral B-POHW Generic Self- subjective— 15 The response NR Health and Well- Instrument completion psychological, scale is a 4- Being Generic Self- subjective— 15 The response NR social, and biological-physical score is calculated by summing up limitation, scale is a 4- Fositive Oral B-POHW Generic Self- subjective— 15 The response NR scale is a 4- Being Generic Self- subjective— 15 The response NR scale is a 4- Being Generic Self- subjective— 15 The response NR scale is a 4- Being Generic Self- subjective— 15 Self- score is core is calculated by summing up summing up	Instrument method of items period time Oral Health OHIP-Aes- Impact Profile Braz condition: completion discomfort, pain, scale is a 4- Aesthetic aesthetic dental physiological point Likert Questionnaire treatment freatment physiological physical score is disability, calculated by functional limitation, disability, and scores, ranging social disability. Positive Oral B-POHW Generic Self- Being B-POHW Generic Completion physical social, and biological- social, and biological- physical score is disability. Positive Oral B-POHW Generic Self- Instrument completion physical score is gade. A total summing up limitation, disability. Positive Oral B-POHW Generic Self- Being Self- Self- Social, and biological- social, and biological- physical score is calculated by summing up limitation. Functional summing up limitation. Functional scale is a 4 Functional scale. A total scale is a 4 Functional scale. A total scale. A total scale is a 4 Functional scale is a 4 Functional scale and scale. A total scale and scale. A total scale and scale. A total scale and scale

scores. Higher scores indicate greater positive health

							positive health.			
De Almeida	Quality-of-life	OPMD QoL	Specific	Interview	Difficulties in	20	The summary	NR	10 minutes	Yes
et al., 2021	questionnaire for		condition:		diagnosis,		score of the			
	patients with		patients with oral		physical		questionnaire			
	oral potentially		potentially		disability and		ranges from 0			
	malignant		malignant		limitations,		to 80, with a			
	lesions		lesions		psychological		higher score			
					and social well-		indicating a			
					being, effects of		lower quality			
					treatment on		of life.			
					daily activities.					
Silveira et	Halitosis	HALT	Specific	Self-	Oral symptoms,	20	The final score	NR	10 minutes	Yes
al., 2020	Associated Life-		condition:	completion	social well-		ranging from 0			
	Quality		Halitosis		being, emotional		to 100. Higher			
					well-being.		scores indicate			
							a greater			
							impact of			
							halitosis in			
							OHRQoL			
Douglas de	Dentine	DHEQ-15	Specific	Self-	Restrictions,	15	The total and	30 days	5 minutes	Yes
Oliveira et	Hypersensitivity		condition:	completion	adaptation, social		subscale scores			
al., 2018	Experience		individuals		impact,		are calculated			
	Questionnaire		presenting dentin		emotional		as the sum of			
			hypersensitivity		impact, and		all items.			
					identity.		Higher DHEQ-			
							15 scores			

Abegg et al., 2015	Oral impact on daily performance	OIDP	Generic instrument	Interview	NR	12	indicate a negative impact on quality of life NR	NR	NR	No
De Araújo et al., 2013	Orthognathic Quality of Life Questionnaire	OQLQ	Specific condition: patients in need of orthosurgical treatment	Interview	Social aspects of the deformity, facial aesthetics, oral functions, and awareness of the facial deformity.	22	NR	NR	15 to 25 minutes.	Yes
Gava et al., 2013	Orthognathic Quality of Life Questionnaire	B-OQLQ	Specific condition: patients with dentofacial alterations	Self- completion	Perceived oral condition, global assessment of the quality of life, acceptance of facial appearance.	22	The score for each individual can range from 0 to 88 points. A higher score represents a greater effect of problems regarding daily life and a decrease in quality of life	NR	NR	No
Kallás et al., 2013	Manchester Orofacial Pain Disability Scale	MOPDS	Specific condition: with	Interview	Physiological discomfort, pain, physiological	24	Score ranging from 0 (least impact on oral	NR	NR	No

			orofacial pain symptoms.		disability, physical disability, functional limitation, disability, and social disability.		health in the daily report) to 28 (most impact)			
Campos et al., 2012	Mandibular Function Impairment Questionnaire	MFIQ	Specific condition: patients with TMD	Self- completion	Functional Capacity, Feeding	17	The average of points attributed to each question allows the classification of individuals according to TMD severity.	NR	NR	No
Sardenberg et al., 2011	Psychosocial Impact of Dental Aesthetics Questionnaire	PIDAQ	Specific condition - assessing the psychosocial impact of dental aesthetics in young adults aged 18 to 30 years.	Self- completion	Aesthetic concerns, psychological impact, social impact, dental self-confidence.	23	NR	NR	NR	No
Bortoluzzi et al., 2011	Orthognathic Quality of Life Questionnaire	OQLQ	Specific condition - patients in need	Self- completion	Social aspects of deformity, facial aesthetics, oral functions, and	22	OQLQ scoring is performed by adding individual	NR	NR	No

of orthosurgical	awareness of	1	items within
treatment.	facial deformit	y.	domains. A
		1	total OQLQ
		:	score can
		1	range from 0
		1	to 88, a higher
		:	score indicates
		:	a worse QoL

Souza et al., 2007	Oral Health Impact Profile for assessing edentulous	OHIP- EDENT	Specific condition: edentulism.	Interview	Functional limitation; physical pain; psychological discomfort; physical disability; psychological disability; social disability; deficiency.	19	NR	3 months	NR	Yes
De Oliveira et al., 2005	Oral Health Impact Profile	OHIP14	Generic instrument	Interview	Functional limitation, physical pain, psychological discomfort, physical disability, psychological, social, and disability	14	The OHIP14 scale ranges from 0 to 56, with higher scores indicating worse OHRQoL.	6 months	NR	Yes

NR: not reported