the matter, authorities have done nothing concrete so far”. He adds: “Observers from abroad sometimes fail to realize that these environmental problems, which are serious and widespread, compete with a multitude of other health and environmental problems that have to take priority in a country with very limited resources.”

Another environmental problem on Bangladesh’s to-do list is arsenic contamination of ground water used for drinking, which a recent article in the *Bulletin* (Vol. 78, No. 9, 1093–1104) called “the largest mass poisoning of a population in history”. ■

John Maurice, *Bulletin*

**Experimental vaccine protects monkeys against Ebola virus**

Scientists have created a two-part vaccine that has protected monkeys from the deadly Ebola virus, they reported in the 30 November 2000 issue of *Nature*.

One part of the vaccine consists of DNA, “naked DNA”, coding for several Ebola virus proteins from the three strains of the virus — Zaire, Sudan, and Ivory Coast — known to cause disease in humans. This part is given in three monthly injections to “prime” the immune system of the vaccine recipient. The second part consists of an adenovirus, divested of its disease-causing potential, that carries a Zaire Ebola gene — one of the same genes contained in the prime part of the vaccine — into the cells of the vaccinated host. This second part of the vaccine, given 12 weeks after the initial priming series of injections, is designed to boost the immune response.

In the study, which was conducted by a group headed by Gary J. Nabel, director of the Vaccine Research Center at the National Institutes of Health (NIH) in Bethesda, Maryland, the “prime-boost” DNA vaccine protected all four vaccinated monkeys against lethal doses of the Zaire strain of Ebola virus. The monkeys were without symptoms or detectable virus 6 months after infection. The researchers plan to test the vaccine against the other two strains.

This is believed to be the first report of successful immunization of primates against Ebola. In earlier experiments performed at the University of Michigan and also by the NIH group, a similar DNA vaccine protected mice and guinea pigs.

“There is still some way to go before a human vaccine is available, but this is a step in the right direction,” noted Dennis Burton and Paul Parren, of the California-based Scripps Research Institute in La Jolla, California, in a commentary in the same issue of *Nature*.

Dr Nabel told reporters that the prime-boost vaccination approach is “a highly effective way to boost immunity to otherwise deadly viruses”. It is being tested in an experimental AIDS vaccine and could, he believes, be applied to infections such as malaria or tuberculosis. ■

Scott Gottlieb, *New York*

**Treaty bans pollutants but allows DDT for malaria**

After two-and-a-half years of discussions, in December negotiators for 122 governments meeting in Johannesburg, South Africa, finally agreed on the text of a legally binding convention that will ban or restrict the production and use of a dozen so-called persistent organic pollutants known to be damaging to human health and the environment. The week-long meeting was sponsored by the United Nations Environment Programme, with technical input from WHO.

The convention, when it is signed in May at a high-level ceremony in Stockholm, will allow DDT, one of the “dirty dozen” as these highly toxic chemicals have been dubbed, to continue to be used for control of malaria until suitable alternatives are found. The other 11 pollutants are polychlorinated biphenyls, dioxins, furans, aldrin, dieldrin, endrin, chlordane, hexachlorobenzene, mirex, toxaphene and heptachlor. Most of these pollutants are known to be damaging to health to various degrees and in various ways — promoting cancer, damaging the central and peripheral nervous systems, causing reproductive disorders, disrupting the immune system and interfering with normal infant and child development.

“The special status accorded to DDT is excellent news for the Roll Back Malaria partnership and for WHO,” John-Paul Clark, the partnership’s policy adviser and chair of WHO’s working group on DDT, commented to the *Bulletin*. The Roll Back Malaria partnership, involving several international organizations and humanitarian agencies, was set up two years ago to halve the world’s malaria burden over the next decade.

Indoor spraying of DDT was the cornerstone of WHO’s partially successful malaria eradication programme in the 1940s to 1970s. Since the 1970s, most countries have outlawed DDT for agricultural use. In 1997, the World Health Assembly, responding to growing public concerns over the possible ecological and health effects of DDT, called on WHO Member States to reduce their reliance on insecticides for control of vector-borne diseases, like malaria. Several countries have begun phasing out DDT use and only two, China and India, still produce it. Today, as one of the least expensive yet effective means of combating the mosquito vector of malaria, it is still the insecticide of choice for malaria programmes in about two dozen countries, all among the world’s poorest.

The new convention must be ratified by at least 50 countries. It stipulates that every three years using DDT must inform the treaty secretariat and WHO about how much they use and specify the extent to which such use is essential for their efforts to combat malaria. The convention also calls on all treaty signatories to provide support for research on alternative insecticides or other antimalarial tools and strategies and to provide technical and financial assistance to countries trying to wean their malaria programmes off DDT.

“By allowing the use of DDT specifically for the control of malaria vectors and at the same time promoting the development and use of alternative antimalaria strategies that don’t require insecticides, the convention achieves a win-win situation both for the environment and for public health,” said Dr Clark.

Already, through a regional initiative orchestrated by the Pan American Health Organization, eight Central American countries — Belize, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua and Panama — have raised US$ 750 000 towards reducing their dependence on DDT. Similar projects are due to start in Africa and Asia. ■

John Maurice, *Bulletin*

**Mental illness and smoking show strong links**

Mentally ill Americans are nearly twice as likely to smoke as those without mental illness, according to a study reported in late November in the *Journal of the American..."