The pandemic in prison: interventions and overisolation

A pandemia no cárcere: intervenções no superisolamento

Abstract  Prisional health is, in its essence, public health. The COVID-19 pandemic poses a great threat to the world and has shown that preventing the disease escalation in prisons integrates the novel corona virus clash in society in general. Up to this moment, the most effective known measure to curb the disease spread is social isolation. Nevertheless, in penal institutions, often overcrowded, social isolation becomes difficult to carry out and, when it happens, it takes the enclosed population to overisolation, with consequences to their mental health. Besides, prisoners suffer with clogged up environment, lack of materials for personal hygiene, poor basic sanitary conditions and difficulties in accessing health services. This paper deals with a narrative review on the pandemic effects in prisons and how government and civil society have organized themselves in order to reduce the disease consequences at those places. The text has been divided into three sections: the first with literature review on the current health theme; the second discusses how different countries have been dealing with the prison situation in the pandemic context, and, the last part focuses on how the Brazilian Penal System has reacted to the new disease.
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Resumo  Saúde prisional é, em sua essência, saúde pública. A pandemia de COVID-19 representa uma grande ameaça para o mundo e tem demonstrado que prevenir a escalada da doença em prisões faz parte do combate ao novo coronavírus na sociedade em geral. Sabe-se, até o momento, que a mais efetiva medida de contenção ao avanço da doença é o isolamento social. No entanto, em instituições penais, muitas vezes superlotadas, tal medida torna-se dificil implementação e, quando acontece, leva a população privada de liberdade a um superisolamento, tendo consequências em sua saúde mental. Além disso, indivíduos presos sofrem com ambientes sem ventilação, falta de materiais para higiene pessoal, condições sanitárias básicas precárias e dificuldade de acesso a serviços de saúde. O presente artigo objetiva ser uma revisão narrativa sobre os efeitos da pandemia em presídios e como governos e sociedade civil têm se organizado a fim de reduzir as consequências sobre esses locais. A publicação foi dividida em três seções: na primeira, há uma revisão da literatura em saúde sobre a temática; na segunda, é tratado o modo como diferentes países estão lidando com a situação carcerária no contexto da pandemia; na terceira e última parte, é abordado o modo como o Sistema Penal brasileiro tem reagido à nova doença.
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Introduction

The outbreak of the disease (COVID-19) caused by the novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) in China gained global prominence and was declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11, 2020. As there are no specific treatments and vaccines available to control the disease, the COVID-19 pandemic represents a major threat to public health worldwide, requiring prevention actions, such as social isolation and strengthening hygiene measures.

The potential for transmission of the virus is already known when indoors and with agglomerations. Mizumoto and Chowell described the epidemiological evolution within an Asian cruise, in which the average number of reproduction in the confined environment reached values close to 11, which is higher than the estimates reported in the dynamics of community transmission in China and Singapore, ranging from 1.1 to 7. On this ship, cases went from 1 to 454 in just 16 days. The Spanish flu affected about a quarter of all prisoners, a much higher prevalence compared to data from the general population.

Criminal institutions confer a confinement imposed by a judicial authority and are surrounded by stigma and vulnerability. Confinement within a prison unit is distinct from other types, such as cruises, schools, quarantine, which are voluntary isolations, while in prison freedom is unwittingly curtailed. In this sense, when applied to the prison context, the isolation measure results in a superposition of confinements, which we call oversolation.

Many prisons in Brazil and in the world are overcrowded, offering little space in relation to what is recommended for adequate distancing. Of the countries, 59% have prison occupancy rates that exceed the reported capacity. With this, the possibility is high that the corona virus is rapidly transmitted within the criminal institutions. In a single day in February, China recorded 200 contaminated in one of its prisons, when the curve of infections was already falling in the country.

In addition to being a great risk for people deprived of liberty, a high prevalence of viral respiratory infections in prison populations can serve as a potential source of infection for the general population. This is because prisons are porous institutions, such as the borders of countries in the globalized world. Through prison officers, workers, visitors, prisoners released and transferred, corona virus can pass through the bars of the prison system and be transmitted to local communities.

By definition, prison health is public health and should be treated as such by governments and the scientific community. Thus, this article is a narrative review on the SARS-CoV-2 and the prison population, in order to gather what has been published on the subject in health journals and elucidate the theme, with the aim of reinforcing the need to guarantee fundamental human rights to people deprived of liberty and safeguarding the health of the population in general.

For better reading and understanding of the subject, this publication is divided into the following topics: the state of the art on COVID-19 and the prison population; covid-19 prevention measures in prisons worldwide; coping with the novel coronavirus in the Brazilian prison system and final considerations.

The state of art about COVID-19 and prison population

To carry out this narrative review, articles published until April 25, 2020 in health journals were researched. Texts in English, Spanish and Portuguese and in any formats, such as editorials, comments, correspondence, opinions, empirical studies and others were included.

The search took place in two databases, PubMed and Google Scholar, the search strategy is in Chart 1. A total of 605 results were found and, after reading the title and/or abstract, 13 articles remained for complete reading. No formal quality assessment was performed, but the important methodological characteristics were considered when interpreting the results presented here narratively.

In PubMed, 3,710 articles were found in the search for the descriptor "COVID-19", but only six publications (0.16%) addressing the pandemic in the prison context. Of the 13 articles included in this review, only one is an original study. In Chart 2, you can see the description of these works.

Social distancing is practically impossible in correctional facilities, where individuals live in confinement in overcrowded and poorly ventilated environments, share bathrooms and showers, as well as common areas such as cafeterias, patios and classrooms. Hand hygiene is hampered by policies that limit access to soap, and many prisons restrict alcohol intake, fearing that people have ingested it.

Populations deprived of liberty have an increased prevalence of infectious diseases, such
as HIV infections and hepatitis C virus (HCV)⁷. Inequities in social determinants of health that affect groups that are disproportionately liable to incarceration – racial and sexual minorities, people with mental disorders or psychoactive substance use, individuals without access to the health system or education – lead to higher concentrations of some diseases in incarcerated populations⁷. The risk for a person deprived of liberty to develop tuberculosis in Brazil is 30 times
higher than the general Brazilian population\textsuperscript{21}. Infectious diseases account for about 17.5\% of deaths in prisons\textsuperscript{11}.

In addition to the difficulties related to the physical and social structures mentioned above, there are administrative challenges — largely caused by the lack or mismanagement of financial resources\textsuperscript{14}, which may hinder the access of possible prisoners with COVID-19 to adequate health care in case of need for advanced support. The rights of all affected persons must be respected and all public health measures should be implemented without discrimination of any kind\textsuperscript{18}.

All the revised publications highlight the urgent need to take measures to prevent SARS-CoV-2 in prison environments, as there are administrative challenges that can lead to the resurgence of the epidemic, if it is not adequately treated in these facilities\textsuperscript{7}. Therefore, three premises must be fulfilled: the entry of the virus into penitentiaries should be postponed as much as possible; if it is already in circulation, it must be checked and, finally, prisons must prepare to deal with those who develop COVID-19\textsuperscript{21}. Given the epidemiological dynamics of COVID-19, in the absence of any intervention, among inmates, the outbreak is considerably more severe than in the general population, requiring more hospitalization and leading to more deaths. The peak of the epidemic within a penal institution, according to mathematical modeling\textsuperscript{28}, is considerably earlier, occurring 63 days earlier than the peak of infections in the community. The same study\textsuperscript{26} showed that postponing the arrest of 90\% of individuals from groups at risk to COVID-19 would reduce the mortality of the disease in prisons by 56.1\%. Although only 1.5\% of the prison population is elderly in Brazil\textsuperscript{21}, incarceration itself degrades people’s health, leaving them more vulnerable to infection and severe infection results.

There is consensus that an effective action to mitigate the evolution of the pandemic in correctional environments is the release, temporary or definitive, of prisoners. For example, Iran has released 70,000 individuals so far incarcerated\textsuperscript{7}. Two articles\textsuperscript{10,13} that discuss the current situation of immigrants imprisoned in the United States advocate releasing all individuals who do not pose a threat to local security, and to momentarily cease the policy of incarceration against illegal immigration adopted in recent years. Yang and Thompson\textsuperscript{11} suggest that sentences for people tried with misdemeanors are alternatives to deprivation of liberty.

The WHO\textsuperscript{18} recommends that individuals who make up the risk group for COVID-19 leave prisons if they do not pose a danger to society. An important argument for this measure is raised by the assumption\textsuperscript{20} that the interruption of the arrest of individuals for minor crimes, with the overall reduction of arrests by approximately 83\%, would result in 71.8\% fewer infections in the incarcerated population. This strategy\textsuperscript{20} would also lead to 2.4\% fewer infections among employees and 12.1\% in the community in general.

Public policies to mitigate inequality must follow the judicial decisions of release of these people, since many graduates of the prison system do not have family and social support. This can lead to the desired opposite effect with the release of these individuals and they become carriers and transmitters of SARS-CoV-2 while searching for income, housing, or even, to compose the population in street situation\textsuperscript{16}. Stephenson\textsuperscript{15} recalls that in California and New York, the government is renting hotel rooms to some of those prisoners released. Thus, freeing imprisoned individuals should be an intersectoral action, involving public power, social assistance, NGOs, health services and the judiciary.

If, however, the only measure is to reduce the size of the prison population, there will be a neglect of countless other things that must be done\textsuperscript{17}. Mitigation strategies in detention centers should be complemented by routine screening and containment procedures. This involves screening all people entering the facility, including new inmates, employees, visitors and suppliers, quarantined those who are positive for exposure to the novel coronavirus\textsuperscript{15}.

Other measures are suggested in the revised bibliography. Yang and Thompson\textsuperscript{12} suggest intensifying health education for inmates and prison workers. Everyone should receive training on how to identify signs of COVID-19 and ways to prevent the disease. Suspension of visits from family and lawyers and reduction of transfers are proposed by Akiyama, Spaulding and Rich\textsuperscript{7}, also suggesting that teleconference be applied in these cases in order to reduce emotional isolation. Cleaning and disinfection of the environments, as well as purchase of toiletries and masks must be carried out by the government\textsuperscript{12,14}.

In the revised publications, it was also said that the measures should take and account that the psychological reactions of people deprived of liberty may differ from those observed in people who observe social distancing in the community,
since, in prison, there will be an overisolation. The unintended consequences of these mitigation policies should be considered.

The recent rebellions in Italian prisons have revealed the potential for negative psychological impact of emergency policies aimed at reducing the spread of SARS-CoV-2 in criminal institutions. Therefore, the growing need for emotional and psychological support, transparent awareness and sharing of information about the disease and the guarantee that continuous contact with family will be maintained, so that people deprived of liberty can collaborate in pandemic mitigation strategies.

COVID-19 in prisons in the world

This review aims to show that, despite what has been done by people deprived of liberty in the current pandemic, it is still insufficient and marginalizing. In 2018, there were more than 10 million people deprived of liberty worldwide, largely in poor sanitary conditions, with little access to health services and in overcrowded institutions. The prison population of several countries, as well as graduates of the penal system, suffers from stigma, abandonment of public power and what the philosopher Mbembe calls necropolitics, based on a State of Exception, in which it has the power to dictate who should live and who should die, desizing from the subject his political status and, if not actively taking his life, exposing him to death.

For information on how different countries are dealing with the pandemic in the prison context, information contained in the Prison Insider initiative, created by the founder of the International Observatory of Prisons, has been reviewed and summarized. The site gathers up-to-date information on various aspects of prisons in the world and currently has a focus on the novel coronavirus. It should be noted, however, that there is a limitation of this information, since not all countries or organizations make the data available and, when they do, it is not in real time.

As of May 5, 2020, there were 145 countries with data presented and a total of 23,019 records of SARS-CoV-2 infections, with the United States being the first, with more than 17,000 people deprived of their freedom infected. On the other hand, there are complaints in several countries of lack of transparency in data. The supervision by activists, international organizations and parliamentarians has been compromised under the pretext of reducing access to prisoners due to COVID-19. Concern stemmed from concern that in Syria the regime may be using the pandemic to get rid of prisoners, hardening repression against them, and similarly, Palestinian prisoners have been more exposed to the new virus in Israeli prisons.

Figure 1 shows the measures practiced by several countries, summarized through our review of the Prison Insider initiative.

Something that could be effective was reported only 5 of the 145 countries reviewed: mass testing of trapped individuals. The two most practiced measures are the suspension or reduction of visits and the release of prisoners. It should be noted, however, that even though there are large numbers of prisoners being released, the institutions still fall short of holding so many people.

There are reports that the excess of prisoners, coupled with the fear of falling ill and the suspension of visits in various locations has caused rebellions in various penal institutions around the world. To exemplify: in Luxembourg, there were reports of a hunger strike. In Italy, rebellions have been reported in several areas of the country. In Argentina, there have been at least one death and several injured as a result of riots.

The effervescence that occurred in prisons may be associated with the little health quality information passed on to inmates. Few countries reported having invested in health education, given the context of the pandemic. WHO stresses the importance of providing adequate information and legal guarantees to people deprived of liberty in order to reassure them and their families.

If, on the one hand, prisoners must be protected by efficient public health policies, on the other, they actively participate in the fight against SARS-CoV-2. Several countries have reported that the prison population is voluntarily working on the making of masks to be distributed in health services and in the community. In Guatemala, a young prisoner reported to the report while wearing masks: "If I was able to harm Guatemala in the past, today I want to make up for my mistakes.

Coping with coronavirus in the Brazilian prison system

In Brazil, the health needs of people deprived of liberty are under the responsibility of the State, as provided for by the Criminal Execution Law...
but policies have also been implemented for the inclusion of the prison population within the SUS. In 2014, the National Policy for Integral Health Care of the Private Person of Liberty (PNAISP) was instituted, whose objective is focused on ensuring the care of people deprived of liberty at all levels of complexity, expanding and organizing from the forms of financing of prison health teams to the main health actions for people arrested.

A challenge for prison systems around the world, COVID-19, whose most effective treatment is in the prevention of their transmission, individual hygiene and collective spaces, ventilated environments and social isolation, exposes the precariousness of prisons in Brazil. This challenges managers to ensure the effectiveness of the actions foreseen in the PNAISP, as well as for health professionals who are on the front line in prisons to organize themselves in the face of the risks of an explosion of cases and deaths.

In 2019, there were 1,422 prisons in Brazil, of which 49% are destined for the detention of provisional prisoners and 79% are overcrowded. Half of the prison institutions do not have a doctor’s office. According to the National Penitentiary Department, in the same year, there were 755,274 people deprived of liberty in the country, of which 31% are provisional prisoners.

Brazil complied with the measures proposed by WHO in relation to the population deprived of liberty through Recommendation 62/2020 of the National Council of Justice (CNJ). This involves incarceration and non-imprisonment measures, in addition to other sanitary actions, detailed in Chart 3. Recommendation 62/2020 considers as belonging to the risk group: elderly; pregnant women; people with chronic, respiratory or immunosuppressive conditions.

In addition to the above recommendations, the Brazilian Society of Family and Community Medicine issued a document stressing the need for other measures: educational actions, combating fake news, individual and collective hygiene, hygiene of environments, providing information to family members and hygiene of hygiene material of safety professionals, involving actions for prisoners and various prison professionals.

As of May 11, 2020, there were 603 cases of COVID-19 confirmed in Brazilian prisons, resulting in 23 deaths. With only 20 days, the numbers jumped from 1 to more than 100 in Brazil. Despite the recommendations and efforts of civil society, much remains to be done. A religious entity working in prisons disclosed the data that 65.9% of food and hygiene materials sent by family members were not entering prisons. The same religious organization cites the
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lack of transparency and PPE, in addition to poor hygiene conditions, such as the report that 35 prisoners would be using the same toothbrush.41

It is noteworthy that of the 603 cases of COVID-19 in Brazilian prisons, 444 (74%) are in the Penitentiary Complex of Papuda,42 in the Federal District, an institution that houses many imprisoned politicians and criminals with greater purchasing power. The data may evidence an inequality in the Penitentiary System that reproduces that of society in general, in which there is more access to tests for the novel coronavirus when it occupies a position of social or financial privilege.

Final considerations

The PNAISP and the recommendations of the CNJ, adapted to the reality of each place are significant initiatives in the health care of people deprived of liberty and give visibility to this problem sensitive and relevant to public health, considering that, because they are porous institutions, the injuries that affect prisons are not restricted to it.

Coping with COVID-19 in Brazilian prison institutions, as in much of the world, is a challenge, in view of the precariousness that characterizes them, the result of chronic disregard of public authorities and civil society, which give prisoners an illegitimate worsening of the formal sentence, such as the denial of basic sanitary conditions, such as access to drinking water.

In this sense, in times of pandemic, the prison scenario is aggravated by the overlapping of problems, pre-existing and new, that require more aggressive sanitary measures, such as the suspension of visits and others, which result in overisolation, which, in addition, can affect the mental health of people deprived of liberty.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extrication</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reassessment of socio-educational measures for adolescents with: progression from hospitalization to semi-freedom; temporary suspension or remission of the measure. Preference given to: pregnant, lactating, indigenous or disabled; hospitalized in units with reduced capacity or in units without health care.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reassessment of sentences of provisional prisons that have exceeded 90 days or that are related to crime without violence or serious threat to the person.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reassessment of provisional arrests of people in the risk group or prisoners in units without medical assistance. Consider regime progression for people in a at-risk group or who are in overcrowded prisons or without health care.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the absence of space for adequate isolation, placing the person arrested with suspicion or confirmation of COVID-19 under house arrest.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No entrapment</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternative socio-educational measures and suspension of provisional hospitalizations for adolescents whose offense did not incurred violence. Preference given to: pregnant, lactating, indigenous or disabled; hospitalized in units with reduced capacity or in units without health care.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House arrest for people arrested for child support debt.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum exceptionality of new pretrial detention orders, observing the protocol of the health authorities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other measures</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suspension of the duty of periodic submission to the court of persons on provisional release.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension of the period of return or postponement of the granting of the temporary exit benefit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restriction or reduction of visits to prisoners.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary replacement of prison officers who are part of the risk group.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education campaigns on the novel coronavirus.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased frequency of cleaning of cells and common spaces.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoid shared transportation of people deprived of liberty.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening of prisoners, staff and visitors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply of personal protective equipment (PPE) to employees.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninterrupted supply of water to persons deprived of liberty and public servants of the units.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolation of suspected or confirmed cases in prison.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chart 3. Measures to combat the novel coronavirus in the Brazilian Penal System

“Measures to combat the novel coronavirus in the Brazilian Penal System”

- Reassessment of socio-educational measures for adolescents with: progression from hospitalization to semi-freedom; temporary suspension or remission of the measure. Preference given to: pregnant, lactating, indigenous or disabled; hospitalized in units with reduced capacity or in units without health care.
- Reassessment of sentences of provisional prisons that have exceeded 90 days or that are related to crime without violence or serious threat to the person.
- Reassessment of provisional arrests of people in the risk group or prisoners in units without medical assistance. Consider regime progression for people in an at-risk group or who are in overcrowded prisons or without health care.
- In the absence of space for adequate isolation, placing the person arrested with suspicion or confirmation of COVID-19 under house arrest.
- Alternative socio-educational measures and suspension of provisional hospitalizations for adolescents whose offense did not incur violence. Preference given to: pregnant, lactating, indigenous or disabled; hospitalized in units with reduced capacity or in units without health care.
- House arrest for people arrested for child support debt.
- Maximum exceptionality of new pretrial detention orders, observing the protocol of the health authorities.
- Suspension of the duty of periodic submission to the court of persons on provisional release.
- Extension of the period of return or postponement of the granting of the temporary exit benefit.
- Restriction or reduction of visits to prisoners.
- Temporary replacement of prison officers who are part of the risk group.
- Education campaigns on the novel coronavirus.
- Increased frequency of cleaning of cells and common spaces.
- Avoid shared transportation of people deprived of liberty.
- Screening of prisoners, staff, and visitors.
- Supply of personal protective equipment (PPE) to employees.
- Uninterrupted supply of water to persons deprived of liberty and public servants of the units.
- Isolation of suspected or confirmed cases in prison.

- Education campaigns on the novel coronavirus.
- Increased frequency of cleaning of cells and common spaces.
- Avoid shared transportation of people deprived of liberty.
- Screening of prisoners, staff, and visitors.
- Supply of personal protective equipment (PPE) to employees.
- Uninterrupted supply of water to persons deprived of liberty and public servants of the units.
- Isolation of suspected or confirmed cases in prison.
Pandemic containment measures taken around the world reveal that there is a consensus on releasing prisoners and suspending visits, but other actions are put aside, such as health education and mass testing in the prison population, which could help in epidemiological projections, given that they are closed and controlled groups. Another consensus is that the lack of health data available on this population prevents the adoption of more effective measures.

Scientific publications related to COVID-19, as well as other infectious diseases, in the prison population are scarce, pointing to a possible lack of interest in this theme by the scientific community, which may result from the stigma and difficulty of access to this group.

Given the above, the pandemic for the novel corona virus has been playing a revealing role in the unhealthy and inhuman conditions aimed at the recovery of human beings. May the public authorities, civil society and the scientific community take something positive from the current public health crisis in order to change the fate of vulnerable populations!
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