Oral healthcare networks: the invisible transversality

Abstract This article discusses the structuring of health care networks in the Unified Health Care System, detailing the main priority thematic networks. It is argued that the transversal insertion of oral health in priority networks makes the specific demands of the area invisible. It is argued that the oral health care network has all the elements to constitute a priority network, with points of care, logistical and diagnostic support. It is concluded that it is necessary to place dental management beyond the primary health care division in order to develop a specific network, and strengthen the municipal and state dental management instances.
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The legal mark of Oral Healthcare Networks (OHNs) in Brazil was Decree 4.279/10, which established the guidelines for the reorganization of the health system. This Decree defined OHNs as: *organizational arrangements of actions and health services, of different technological densities, which, integrated through technical, logistic, and management support systems, seek to guarantee the integrity of care.* The new arrangements emerge as a strategy to overcome the fragmentation of health care and coordination in the Health Regions, as well as to improve the political-institutional functioning of the Brazilian Unified Health System (SUS), in an attempt to improve the integrity of care, both effectively and efficiently. The OHNs emerge in to rearrange the services in order to face certain challenges in the healthcare system, including the triple load of the disease, a strong predominance related to chronic conditions, and a healthcare system that is fragmented, episodic, reactive, and geared mainly toward acute events².

In subsequent decrees, the Ministry of Health designed proposals for the reorganization of the network into five priority networks: 1) the Maternity Child (Stork) Network; 2) the Urgency and Emergency Care Network (UECN); 3) the Psychosocial Care Network (PCN); 4) the Disability Care Network (DCN) (Living without Limits); and lastly, the Chronic Disease Healthcare Network.⁷

It is important to highlight the invisibility of the OHNs within the priorities of the Ministry of Health, even though the design of the National Oral Health Policy (Brasil Sorridente) points out the legitimacy of this network. Currently, there are 25,334 modality I oral health teams, and 1,942 type II oral health teams, together with 1,126 Dental Specialty Centers (DSC), 615 of which are linked to the DCN (Living without Limits), and 3,244 Regional Laboratories for Dental Prostheses (RLDP)⁶, which enable access to oral health for thousands of Brazilians.

The invisibility of OHN, in the official documents of the Thematic Networks of the Healthcare and Service Networks¹⁰, has led some state and municipal managers, especially non-dentists, to understand that oral health is a transversal network, that is, transversally, in the discussions of other priority networks. This question arises because the dental services “appear timidly” in care lines, even with specific financing in other priority networks, as can be seen in the DCN and the UENC. The DSCs receive an additional resource to structure the specialized dental care network for people with disabilities, guaranteeing specialized dentists, 40 hours weekly, in the authorized centers within the DCN. In the UENC, dental care is provided only in the Emergency Care Units (ECUs), level III, implemented due to the reported population of between 200,000 and 300,000 inhabitants. The ECUs, level I and II, do not include dental urgencies. It is a fact that oral health is transversal to the health of pregnant women, of people with mental suffering or disorders, of drug and alcohol users, and of people with chronic diseases, given that oral health weakens specific discussions in the area, and reinforces the non-institutionalization of the OHN. Furthermore, much like oral health is transversal to other networks, they should also be transversal among themselves. In other words, it is important to work on the mental health of pregnant women, the chronic diseases in patients with mental disorders, and the urgency and emergency care in people with disabilities.

In this context, do OHNs really exist? Yes, in the most recent manual, produced by the National Dental Chief Office, in scientific articles, containing theoretical models that clearly design all of the themes of care provided by the OHN, as proposed by Cayetano, Carrer, Gabriel, and Martins (2019). These authors drafted a theoretical design that contemplates: 1) the population of the territories; 2) primary care, with the oral health teams coordinating the care provided; 3) the support system, consisting of the mobile dental units, histopathological laboratories, those of prostheses, and teledental services/DSC gauge; 4) the logistics systems, such as electronic and integrated medical records, a regulated access system, and a health transport system; 5) specialized outpatient care, performed by the DCNs, which aid the population in the dental specialties of endodontics, periodontics, oral diagnoses, maxillofacial surgery; help patients with special needs, and offer specific implant dentistry and orthodontics services; 6) hospital care, which occurs through the structuring of maxillofacial trauma and hospital dentistry, as well as through the growing demand for dental care, with general anesthesia, for patients with special needs. In the end, there are also the High Complexity Oncology Care Centers (CACONS, in Portuguese) and High Complexity Oncology Care Units (UNACONS).

Although the OHN exists as a the theoretical-conceptual model, in the organizational and operational political field, it still seems quite fragmented. The lack of priority, or invisibility, of the OHN at the federal level weakens, in
a cascade effect, any attempt to strengthen this network at the state and municipal levels. One argument that reinforces this invisibility is the fact, for example, that the Chief Dental Office within the Health Ministry is inserted within the Family Health Department, which is only one point of the healthcare network. In fact, the current program of financial incentive of primary health care (PHC) – *Previne Brasil* – instituted by Decree 2979, from November 12, 2019, inserts such points as the ESB, Mobile Dental Units (MDUs), DSCs, and RLDP, in the same funding block, called strategic actions, contributing to consolidate the space of oral health management within the PHC. Our network has expanded, and we no longer offer only primary health care. Another network, such as mental health, until recently, was inserted in the Department of Strategic Programmed Actions. Should this not also be the place for oral health? The federal organization resonates at other managerial levels.

Many state and municipal delegations, when they exist in the respective institutional organograms, end up following the same model of organization of the federal sphere, that is, they end up linked to Primary Care. In fact, there are innumerable weaknesses in the state and municipal delegations of oral health in the country, including the accumulation of functions (care and coordination) and the lack of bonuses for the position, including the inexistence of this function in the respective organograms\(^{17,18}\). The invisible transversality of the OHN leads to a scenario of the non-discussion of specific demands, which are of utmost importance for oral health within state and municipal health plans. The state of Ceará, for example, opted for this perspective, and what was observed during regional health planning meetings is that oral health has once again been relegated to primary health care\(^{17}\). So many other important demands, such as the expansion of water fluoridation in the state and the regionalization of the hospital dental services were not on the agenda. Our services come and go invisibly in the plans. In a macro context, this situation can be explained by the case of the RLDP, which was reduced to a mere “dental program”\(^{19}\) with an expressive reduction in the financing of actions in the folder in 2016, which still remains even today\(^{19}\). The resumption of the RLDP, in a more hopeful political scenario, should clearly present the OHN in the organizational structure of the Ministry of Health, with a redefinition of the specific resource for the area.

Bill 8.131/2017\(^{20}\), which officializes the insertion of Oral Health in SUS is another important element in the consolidation of oral health as a state public policy, with possible effects in increments in the planning and allocation of resources for the area. This Bill\(^{20}\), since 2018, has been on the table of the Constitutional Commission and needs to be approved. It is hoped that these actions will reverberate at the proper court levels of state and municipal management. While this does not occur, we will continue to fight for a strong dental public health system in the country.
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