The QualiRede intervention: improving the performance of care continuum in HIV, congenital syphilis, and hepatitis C in health regions
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ABSTRACT: Introduction: Care continuum models have supported recent strategies against sexually transmitted diseases, such as HIV and Hepatitis C (HCV). Methods: HIV, HCV, and congenital syphilis care continuum models were developed, including all stages of care, from promotion/prevention to clinical control/cure. The models supported the intervention QualiRede, developed by a University-Brazilian National Health System (SUS) partnership focused on managers and other professionals from six priority health regions in São Paulo and Santa Catarina. Indicators were selected for each stage of the care continuum from the SUS information systems and from the Qualiaids and QualiAB facility’s process evaluation questionnaires. The indicators acted as the technical basis of two workshops with professionals and managers in each region: the first one to identify problems and to create a Regional Technical Group; and the second one to design action plans for improving regional performance. Results: The indicators are available at www.qualirede.org. The workshops took place in the regions of Alto Tietê, Baixada Santista, Grande ABC, and Registro (São Paulo) and of Foz do Rio Itajaí (Santa Catarina), which resulted in regional action plans in São Paulo, but not in Santa Catarina. A lack of awareness was observed regarding the new HIV and HCV protocols, as well as an incipient use of indicators in routine practices. Conclusion: Improving the performance of the care continuum requires appropriation of performance indicators and coordination of care flows at local, regional, and state levels of management.
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INTRODUCTION

In Brazil, as worldwide, the need to integrate and improve comprehensive care practices in sexually transmitted infections (STI), HIV/AIDS, and hepatitis B and C is widely acknowledged. In the Brazilian National Health System (SUS), the care onto these diseases are performed by facilities of diverse institutional characteristics, care models and incorporation of resources and process technologies. The way to address these diseases has experienced important innovations in the decade of 2010: new treatment protocols for HIV\(^1\) and hepatitis C\(^2\) and the use of medicines for post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP)\(^3\) and pre-exposure prophylaxis (Prep)\(^4\) to HIV were incorporated. In the field of STI, vaccination against hepatitis B, besides composing the infant vaccination schedule, was extended to the general population\(^5\). On the other hand, the number of cases of congenital syphilis is still distant from the elimination goal already achieved in several countries\(^6\)\(^7\).

In the international context, important changes in HIV care took place. The possibility of markedly reduce HIV transmission by patients with very low levels of viral load\(^8\) supported the TasP (treatment as prevention) strategy, considered capable of ending the AIDS epidemic\(^9\). This strategy started to guide a national response parameter to the epidemic based on a cascade model that estimates the number of people infected in the stages of engagement in the health system, illustrating the loss of follow up that occur between the diagnosis, linkage to the care, retention, initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART), and
suppression of viral load\textsuperscript{10}. The cascade supported the proposition, in 2013, of the new care strategy called “HIV Care Continuum”\textsuperscript{11}.

In the same year, Brazil established the ART initiation protocol shortly after the diagnosis of HIV, regardless of the immune status of the patient\textsuperscript{1}. In 2015, Brazil adopted the UNAIDS goal “90-90-90” (90\% of those infected diagnosed, 90\% of those diagnosed treated, 90\% of those treated having viral suppression)\textsuperscript{10}. The propositions of “combined prevention”\textsuperscript{12,13} were also intensified, including antiretroviral drugs in the prophylaxis of sexual exposure\textsuperscript{14}.

Considering this international context, a pilot project that tested a method to improve networks of care in HIV and congenital syphilis was carried out at the health system of Bauru (SP) between 2013 and 2015 (PPSUS-Fapesp 2012/51223-7). Its objective was to promote a regional plan to improving the performance of services. Managers and professionals of all facilities involved in the care of these diseases, from primary care to major centers, were invited to take part in the workshops, as well as representatives of the organized civil society.

The support material brought together local indicators of process, outcomes, and impacts, presented according to the stages of a care continuum model. The new model expanded the original\textsuperscript{15} by including the stages of sexual and reproductive health promotion\textsuperscript{16} and prevention of STI, also explaining priorities and main services for each stage. The project showed feasibility and acceptability\textsuperscript{17,18}, relying on logistic and technical support from the São Paulo State Program of STD/AIDS (PE-DST/Aids), integrating the local improvement initiative already in progress in the state\textsuperscript{19}.

This initiative of São Paulo included viral hepatitis, which also underwent changes, especially the introduction of high-effectiveness drug treatment\textsuperscript{20}, incorporated into the Brazilian treatment protocol in 2016\textsuperscript{2}, and the proposition of a continuum model similar to the HIV model, the continuum of viral hepatitis services\textsuperscript{21}.

The programmatic consonance of the pilot project with the initiatives of the SUS management to improve quality of care networks enabled the proposition of a similar methodology, now extended to hepatitis C (HCV): the intervention project QualiRede HIV, Hepatitis C, and Congenital Syphilis. This article aims to analyze the possibilities and limits of this intervention and contribute to the initiatives to improve care in HIV, HCV, and congenital syphilis at the various care levels of SUS.

**METHODS**

The QualiRede intervention was planned for six administrative health regions pointed by the state HIV program chiefs of São Paulo and Santa Catarina, the latter whose epidemiological situation had an initiative by the Department of Surveillance, Prevention, and Control of STI, HIV/AIDS, and Viral Hepatitis of the Ministry of Health (DIAHV) of an national cooperation to implement surveillance, prevention, and control actions of the HIV/AIDS epidemic, focused on 12 priority municipalities\textsuperscript{22,23}. This intervention was planned and conducted in a partnership between the Department of Preventive Medicine of the School of
Medicine of the University of São Paulo; researchers from other universities; DIAHV; and the state programs of STD, HIV/AIDS, and viral hepatitis of São Paulo and Santa Catarina (QualiRede-PROADI-SUS 25000.169071/2015-41).

The methodology was guided by the following hypothesis about the situation of care to the focused problems: (1) there are accessible information systems that provide epidemiological, operational, and clinical outcome indicators, as well as reports of survey results with validated instruments for assessing the organization processes of HIV outpatient care. However, some of the managers and professionals had insufficient knowledge of these data or underutilized them; (2) the knowledge of new care technologies in HIV and HCV was insufficient in non-specialized services; (3) the communication between different health care levels was incipient, which aggravate the difficulty of disseminating and incorporating new technologies.

The main guideline for the intervention process was promoting the prominence of state, regional, and municipal managers and the engagement of health providers and who work in direct care in the different services. The first methodological step was to define the logical model of the intervention\(^2\) that explains the QualiRede model of the care continuum (Chart 1) and the indicators that would compose the technical basis of the intervention. The definition of indicators and all the other steps of the intervention were planned in meetings between the authors of this study and in both face-to-face and virtual meetings with managers of the federal and regional levels. Altogether, 39 meetings were held.

For each stage of the care continuum, process indicators of the local services were produced by the pre-validated evaluation questionnaires Qualiaids (to clinics that offer ART)\(^2\)\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^6\) and QualiAB (to primary care services)\(^2\)\(^7\). The Health Secretariats of both states decided to invite all registered services to answer the questionnaires, and not only the services of the QualiRede regions.

From the responses to the surveys, 132 Qualiaids indicators were selected, grouped into: General coordination of the work (26), General organization of medical and other professionals care (34), Activities of adherence to treatment and welcoming of new patients (15), Availability of inputs, medicines, lab exams, and referrals (49), and Use of records, evaluation and monitoring (8). We selected 176 indicators of the QualiAB questionnaire, in its dimension of sexual and reproductive health (SSR)\(^2\)\(^8\), grouped into Promotion of sexual and reproductive health (48), Prevention and care to STI/AIDS (80), and Care to reproductive health (48).

Data were extracted from the SUS information systems to calculate state, regional, and municipal indicators related to clinical processes and outcomes (33) and population impact (8). From the data of the systems and the literature, the cascades of the diseases were estimated for each state. The indicators, classified by disease, region, and stage of the continuum, are published on the intervention website\(^1\). Figure 1 shows the format of the cascades and examples of indicators collected.

The next steps consisted of organizing preparatory meetings in the seat municipality of each region, forming regional technical groups (GTR), and conducting regional workshops to elaborate action and goal plans, as summarized in Figure 2.

\(^{1}\)Available from: www.qualirede.org
Chart 1. Logical model of the QualiRede intervention – HIV, congenital syphilis, hepatitis C, 2016-2017, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PROMOTION</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>DIAGNOSIS</th>
<th>LINKAGE</th>
<th>TREATMENT</th>
<th>RETENTION</th>
<th>ADHERENCE</th>
<th>SUPPRESSION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Well-being in the experience of sexuality</td>
<td>Avoiding new infections</td>
<td>Diagnosing infections</td>
<td>Linking those diagnosed to the health service.</td>
<td>Offering treatment for everyone</td>
<td>Encouraging the maintenance of follow-up in the service</td>
<td>Encouraging treatment adherence</td>
<td>Promoting good clinical outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority HIV</td>
<td>Reducing the risk of infection among young people</td>
<td>Expanding access to preventive inputs among the most vulnerable groups</td>
<td>Expand testing among the most vulnerable groups</td>
<td>Monitoring the proportion of diagnosed people linked to the service</td>
<td>Eliminating the ART gap and offering ART to all those diagnosed</td>
<td>Reducing follow-up abandonment</td>
<td>Reducing therapeutic failures by inadequate adherence</td>
<td>Monitoring the evolution of the viral load of all people in ART</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Congenital Syphilis</td>
<td>Reducing risk of infection in women of reproductive age</td>
<td>Increasing the supply of preventive inputs to women of reproductive age and early linking to prenatal care among pregnant patients from more vulnerable groups. Monitoring the fulfillment of the testing protocol during prenatal care</td>
<td>Monitoring the adequacy to the treatment protocol with benzathine penicillin in all pregnant women diagnosed with syphilis. Identifying and promoting prenatal reengagement</td>
<td>Treating all patients according to the PCDT-MS of 2015</td>
<td>Encouraging and monitoring follow-up maintenance and adherence to treatment and monitoring the sustained virologic response.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Hepatitis C</td>
<td>Reducing risk of infection in vulnerable populations</td>
<td>Eliminating transmission of HCV with specific actions</td>
<td>Testing all individuals at least once in a lifetime</td>
<td>Forwarding those diagnosed to perform viral load and/or treatment</td>
<td>Treating all patients according to the PCDT-MS of 2015</td>
<td>Encouraging and monitoring follow-up maintenance and adherence to treatment and monitoring the sustained virologic response.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gap: People with CD4 lymphocyte count < 250 who are not in antiretroviral treatment (ART); PCDT-MS: Clinical Protocol and Therapeutic Guidelines for treatment of HCV, updated by the Ministry of Health on June 7, 2018.
Examples of indicators used in the workshops

**Promotion:** Teenage prostitution is addressed in community actions for adolescent care. *Source: QualiAB–SSR.*

**Prevention:** Dispensation of post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) by health care level and type of exposure (sexual, occupational, violence). *Source: Laboratory Test Control System (SISCEL).*

**Diagnosis:** In a case suggesting sexually transmitted infection (STI), a test for viral hepatitis (HCV) is performed. *Source: QualiAB–SSR.*

**Linking:** The average time interval between the first care and the consultation is shorter than one week. *Source: Qualiaids Questionnaire.*

**Retention:** The abandonment criterion for patients in ART is defined by the non-withdrawal of antiretrovirals three months after the scheduled date or no return to consultations in six months, with or without other criteria. *Source: Qualiaids.*

**Treatment:** Number of patients undergoing follow-up in the service who have never received antiretroviral treatment (ART). *Source: Clinical Monitoring System (SIMC).*

**Adherence:** Adherence to ART is predominantly evaluated by monitoring the withdrawal of medicines using the Medicine Logistic Control System (SICLOM), spreadsheets, or other forms of control by the pharmacy. *Source: Qualiaids.*

**Suppression:** Number of patients with detectable viral load after six months of ART. *Source: Clinical Monitoring System (SIMC).*

---

**Cascade models used in the workshops**

**Syphilis cascade during pregnancy**

- Estimation of women with syphilis during: **Diagnosed**
- Adequately treated: **Potential congenital syphilis cases avoided**

**Hepatitis C Cascade**

- People with reagent anti-HCV: **Diagnosed**
- Reagent anti-HCV/PCR Detected HCV: **Treatment**
- Indication of treatment / ongoing treatment: **Cure**

**HIV Cascade**

- PVHA: **Diagnosed**
- ART: **People with suppressed VL**

---

**Figure 1. Cascade models and types of indicators used on the intervention.**

- Reagent anti-HCV/PCR HCV: HCV (HCV-RNA) viral load test, performed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique, to confirm hepatitis C virus infection; PLWHA: People living with HIV/AIDS; People with suppressed VL: those who presented results with viral load lower than 100 copies/mL.

---

**PREPARATORY MEETING:** Presentation of the proposal for representatives of different health care levels, management of municipalities, and regional health agencies. Regional Technical Group creation and definition of the guests for the workshops. Presentation of the website with the indicators.

**Participants:** Professionals of care and management of the different services of the network – primary health care, specialized services, maternities, emergency services, emergency care units (UPA), hospitals, psychosocial support centers (CAPS) – and civil society representatives.

---

**OBJECTIVE OF WORKSHOP I:** Diagnosing the main network problems at every step of the care continuum model.

**Regional Technical Group:** Complements the regional diagnosis and prioritizes problems.

**OBJECTIVE OF WORKSHOP II:** Preparing a regional action plan to address the identified problems.

---

**Figure 2. General model of the workshop methodology of the QualiRede intervention – HIV, congenital syphilis, hepatitis C, and participating regions, Brazil, 2016–2017.**
Two workshops were planned in each region, consisting of presentation lecture, group work, and closing plenary. The work of the groups was organized by scripts previously elaborated according to the logical model adapted for each disease. Workshop 1 worked with the dissemination of the model and the identification of problems along the steps of the care continuum. Workshop 2 was structured to address the problems prioritized for each stage of the continuum, aiming to face them by the elaboration of action plans.

Participants were organized based on their preferences and participation in the care or management, to ensure that all groups were composed of participants from different care levels. In the two workshops, the discussion was coordinated and registered by the project team. At the end of each workshop, the team performed an immediate evaluation on a discussion, and, subsequently, meetings to evaluate and adapt the next workshop scripts were carried out.

The GTR, composed of regional and municipal managers, acted in the definition of the guests for the workshops, in the prioritization of problems, and in the editing and implementation of the resulting action plans. Since the preparatory meeting and throughout the intervention, we sought to maintain the stimulus to its essential role in conducting the processes.

The project was submitted to the Research Ethics Committee of the School of Medicine of USP (CEP-FMUSP), under Protocol no. 15736, with approval on October 14, 2016.

RESULTS

In the first phase, of collection of process indicators, the response rate of the regions of the QualiRede project to Qualiaids was 100% in both states and, to QualiAB, 62% in São Paulo and 73% in Santa Catarina.

For the indicators from the SUS systems, there were difficulties in the collection of municipal indicators. Although the timely submission of 24 local indicators was agreed at the preparatory meeting for Workshop 1, of the 56 participating municipalities, only 10 sent part of the requested indicators and only four sent all of them.

The workshops took place in 2017, in four regions of São Paulo (Alto Tietê, Baixada Santista, Grande ABC, and Registro) and in one region of Santa Catarina (Foz do Rio Itajaí and priority municipalities). It was not possible to carry out the workshops in the Grande Florianópolis region. Considering the high response rate of the primary health care services of Santa Catarina to QualiAB, a workshop not foreseen in the original design was carried, for which the state coordination of primary care invited all managers and professionals of the state (Table 1). This workshop presented the results of QualiAB, with emphasis on the SSR dimension, and discussed ways of using the results to plan activities in municipal services and programs, highlighting the actions related to congenital syphilis.

In the five regions of QualiRede where Workshop 1 was held, 75% of Workshop 1 participants also attended Workshop 2.

The instability or absence of internet in all locations of the workshops precluded the use of the website and dissemination only took place in the form of slideshow.
Table 1. Number of professionals participating in the workshops by type of service, in São Paulo and Santa Catarina. QualiRede, 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop 1</th>
<th>Municipalities</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>PHC</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>Hosp./Mat.</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Not informed</th>
<th>Total participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FU</strong></td>
<td><strong>Region</strong></td>
<td>Invited</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Alto Tietê</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baixada Santista</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grande ABC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Registro</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Foz do Rio Itajaí + 4 priority municipalities</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extended workshop QualiAB-SSR</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop 2</th>
<th>Municipalities</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>PHC</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>Hosp./Mat.</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Not informed</th>
<th>Total participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Alto Tietê</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baixada Santista</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grande ABC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Registro</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Foz do Rio Itajaí + priority municipalities</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PHC: professionals working in primary health care units or family health strategy; SC: professionals working in specialized care in outpatient clinics that provide care in HIV, viral hepatitis, and psychosocial care centers (CAPS); Hosp./Mat.: professionals working in hospitals, emergency services, and/or maternities. Ellipses indicate that it was not possible to determine how many counties were invited.
The care continuum models guided the group activity. The discussion by stages of the continuum stimulated reports about the daily routine in the services and self-criticism about the insufficient systematization and evaluation of their work. However, there was difficulty in some groups, which tended to identify performance problems based on individual experiences, disregarding the indicators.

Awareness of HIV treatment protocols – the one from 2013, updated in 2018\(^2\), and that of post-sexual exposure prophylaxis from 2010\(^3\) – was insufficient, mainly on the part of primary health care professionals. The incipient knowledge regarding the relevance of HCV testing in those older than 40 years and of the new treatment protocol was general, except for HCV care providers.

In São Paulo, the workshops resulted in the development of regional plans to address the identified problems and in the maintenance of GTR. The final edition of the plans of the four regions was released at the end of 2017, in a seminar that brought together members of the GTR, coordinators of the STD/AIDS and viral hepatitis programs and primary care, representatives of the central team of the Health Secretariat, and researchers of the involved universities. The GTR remain active in these regions, and the intervention model\(^31,32\) is being extended to 15 other regions (Chart 2).

Chart 2. Examples of results in 4 regions of the intervention and activities to expand the intervention model to other regions of São Paulo.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alto Tietê</td>
<td>– Conduction of the Fórum Regional de Prevenção Saúde/Educação: Juntos na Prevenção, for discussing prevention actions for young people, in the context of state schools and health services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Elaboration of the Plano Municipal de Ação para Populações Jovens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Regional training for performing the penicillin susceptibility test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registro</td>
<td>– Implementation of Post-Sexual Exposure Prophylaxis (PEP) in a municipal emergency care unit (UPA).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Incorporation of the discussion of cases of congenital syphilis in the Regional Committee for Investigation of Maternal and Child Mortality (CRMMI).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Institution of a Regional Work Group with representatives of the Regional Health Boards (DRS), maternities, and GTR to organize the access of children with congenital syphilis to evaluation and follow-up with specialists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Training in prevention and diagnosis of hepatitis C: definition of vulnerable populations, strategies to offer testing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Update on the clinical management of hepatitis C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grande ABC</td>
<td>– Update on the management of PEP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Institution of the Fórum Mensal Regional sobre Vinculação e Retenção, coordinated by the Regional Technical Group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Reorganization of referrals for specialties/examinations/hospitalization of people living with HIV/AIDS and carriers of viral hepatitis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intervention expansion activities, until October 2018

Preparatory meeting: held in 11 regions

Agreement in Regional Inter-management Commission: held in seven regions

Workshop 1: held in three regions

Workshop 2: held in one region
In Santa Catarina, the QualiRede workshops did not result in the creation of action plans, neither maintenance of the GTR, nor developments that are known by the state management of the program. There were also no reports of developments in the primary health care evaluation workshop.

At the end of each workshop, participants were asked to respond to a structured anonymous evaluation. Among the 688 respondents (85% of the participants), 91% agreed that “the formation of the groups provided qualified technical discussions”; 85%, that “the workshop participants represented the care network in the three diseases focused”; and 94%, that “the contents discussed were important to discuss the situation of the care network in the region.”

The website of the intervention, already mentioned, had low utilization. Among the respondents of the evaluation, 50% said they “did not access the website” and among those who accessed, only 16% “visited the pages of indicators.” An analysis conducted by Google Analytics showed that during the workshop period (April 20 to December 7, 2017), there were 794 accesses from only eight of the 56 municipalities that took part in the intervention. The website presents two videos about the intervention, also released on YouTube, which had, together, 246 views until October 2018.

**DISCUSSION**

The workshops, main tools of the QualiRede intervention, were feasible and acceptable in the four regions foreseen in São Paulo and in one of the two foreseen regions of Santa Catarina. However, important differences were observed in the implementation and the products of the workshops between the states.

The diversity of state contexts, alongside the difficulties of implementing care networks, can explain part of this difference. Recognized in several studies33-35, some of these difficulties constitute barriers for the implementation of networks, including the fragmentation of the health system, consisting of instances without administrative linkage and with little tradition of organizational linkage, which seems to have affected more negatively the context of the intervention in Santa Catarina.

Specifically, in Santa Catarina, it was possible to observe fragility in the articulation of the state level of the STI, HIV/AIDS, and viral hepatitis program with the municipal facilities. This fragility was partially tackled in the region of Foz do Rio do Itajai, where it was possible to at least carry out the workshops; however, it prevented the intervention in the region of Grande Florianópolis, whose main municipality – Florianópolis – refused to answer QualiAB and take part in the intervention. Still, there was little commitment from the state level to integrate the actions of QualiRede with those of the national cooperation initiative. This insufficient commitment was also at the center of the failure of the workshops to create action plans.

The context was more favorable in São Paulo, where the DST/Aids State Program, although not administratively linked to care services, has a long tradition of group work,
conducting discussion forums and activities of supervision, evaluation, and monitoring. Moreover, it has an organic partnership with the State Program of Viral Hepatitis and develops specific actions directed to primary care, in addition to maintaining a long research partnership with universities\textsuperscript{16}. These characteristics, alongside the cooperation in the pilot project, enabled a better development of the intervention in São Paulo.

The continuous care models adapted to the three diseases played an essential role in the intervention. Operating as the guiding axes of the discussions, they allowed an appropriate degree of methodological conceptual homogeneity in the conduction of the groups by the coordinators and ensured that all stages of the continuum were addressed.

Thus, the methodology of Workshop 1 was adequate, because, by aiming to disseminate knowledge about the care continuum, it enabled the dissemination of new technologies of prevention and care. The composition of the groups, ensuring the presence of professionals who work directly with the diseases and at different levels of the network, contributed to this dissemination and for each participant to meet the practices of other professionals and the work developed at other levels of the network.

The inclusion of the stages of promotion and prevention in the care continuum was productive, because, although they are important in the discursive plan of SUS, preventive actions and, especially, promotion ones, are still incipient in the plan of the practices of the services, even among primary care, often pointed out as a strategic care level for these actions\textsuperscript{16,37-39}.

Still regarding the model, it is recognized in the international scenario that, even where the ART coverage has steadily increased, the HIV rate incidence decreases very slowly to achieve epidemic control. The enthusiasm that followed the “90-90-90” strategy has given rise to the emphasis on the need to articulate the dissemination of ART with prevention strategies\textsuperscript{40} and to propose continuum models of prevention (HIV prevention continuum)\textsuperscript{41} or that combine prevention and treatment in the same model (status-neutral approach to HIV)\textsuperscript{42}. The emphasis on prevention has also occurred in research on viral hepatitis\textsuperscript{43}. Current studies show the usefulness of the care continuum construct to evaluate public health programs for other diseases\textsuperscript{44,45}.

The conduction of the intervention confirmed the hypothesis about the lack of knowledge of new technologies and communication between the various levels of the care network. There was also a lack of knowledge and use of the indicators available in the SUS information systems and in the reports of Qualiaids and QualiAB. This may explain part of the tendency of some groups to identify performance problems based on personal experiences, which, although valuable, are incapable, by themselves, of producing rational syntheses, as do the indicators.

The indicator-based approach, on the other hand, shows some flaws in the participants’ professional performance. This also makes the intervention be a new evaluative process, which can be uncomfortable for professionals who recognize possible flaws in their practices, sometimes entailing the denial of the situation revealed by the indicators. The very low number of consultations to the website, especially the indicators, confirms, on the one hand, the still incipient “culture” of evaluation and monitoring of SUS services, probably aggravated
by the flawed computer and internet structure. However, the intervention was not able to trigger any changes in this situation. The practical impossibility of using the website during the workshops contributed to this situation. Similar international experiences that had a better result showed the importance of intensifying the “exercise” of using the website\textsuperscript{46,47}.

This study was limited to evaluating the panorama of the intervention process. Other implementation characteristics – positive and negative –, as well as the technical contents of the problems pointed out in the workshops and in the action plans, will be addressed in other publications.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The QualiRede intervention aimed to contribute to improve the performance of the health services involved in the regional networks of the care continuum in HIV, congenital syphilis, and hepatitis C, and was based on theoretical-methodological models adapted from the care continuum for each disease. The methods focused on conducting workshops with managers and other professionals from the regions to discuss and develop regional action plans based on indicators of process, outcomes, and local impacts related to each stage of the care continuum of the three diseases.

The implementation process took place according to the plan in five regions, but resulted in regional action plans in only four of them. In these, the GTR organized in the intervention remain in operation so far.

Both the use of the structured model of care in service network for each disease and the participatory methodology – both by the workshops with local managers and professionals and by the effective practical and theoretical partnership between the university team and the state management technical team of the STD/AIDS and viral hepatitis programs – contributed to the proper conduction of the intervention.

Further studies are needed to analyze the intervention in more detail, as well as its medium-term repercussions in terms of processes and outcomes. However, it is worth noting the impossibility of interventions with limited time and financing, such as survey projects, to overcome many of these limits.

In this sense, it is very promising to observe the current initiative to maintain the goals of the QualiRede intervention in some regions of the project, as well as its developments to other regions.
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