

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Mortality patterns in municipalities of a mining region before the Brumadinho dam failure, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil

Padrões de mortalidade em municípios de uma região mineradora antes do rompimento da barragem de Brumadinho, Minas Gerais, Brasil

Deborah Carvalho Malta^I , Gabriela Maciel dos Reis^{II} , Guilherme Augusto Veloso^{III} ,
Laís Santos de Magalhães Cardoso^{II} , Zulmira Maria de Araújo Hartz^{IV} ,
Matthew Cunningham^V , Mohsen Naghavi^{VI}

^IUniversidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Department of Maternal and Child Nursing and Public Health – Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil.

^{II}Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, School of Nursing, Graduate Program in Nursing – Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil.

^{III}Universidade Federal Fluminense, Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, Department of Statistics – Niterói (RJ), Brazil.

^{IV}Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Institute of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine – Lisbon, Portugal.

^VUniversity of Washington, Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation – Seattle (WA), United States of America.

^{VI}University of Washington, Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, Department of Global Health – Seattle (WA), United States of America.

ABSTRACT

Objective: To describe the patterns of overall mortality and mortality from external causes and the temporal evolution in the municipalities of the Paraopeba River Basin, before the socio-environmental disaster of the Brumadinho dam and, additionally, to investigate the correlation between mortality and socioeconomic deprivation in these municipalities. **Methods:** Global Burden of Disease Study mortality estimates for 26 municipalities in the state of Minas Gerais, Brazil, were analyzed. Rates of overall mortality and mortality from external causes were estimated in the triennia (T) T1 (2000 to 2002), T2 (2009 to 2011), and T3 (2016 to 2018). Pearson's correlation coefficient measured the association between mortality rates and socioeconomic deprivation, according to the Brazilian Deprivation Index (IBP). **Results:** There was a decrease in overall mortality in the Paraopeba River Basin from 717.7/100 thousand to 572.6/100 thousand inhabitants, and in most municipalities between T1-T3. Mortality from external causes increased from 73.3/100 thousand to 82.1/100 thousand, and it was higher in these municipalities compared with the mean for Brazil and Minas Gerais. Deaths from suicide and interpersonal violence increased from 29.6/100 thousand to 43.2/100 thousand in most of the 26 municipalities. Death rates due to unintentional injuries decreased during the period, and those due to transport injuries, increased. There was a positive correlation between socioeconomic deprivation and the percent change in mortality rates. **Conclusion:** Despite the strong presence of mining activity in the region, such did not reflect in the improvement of the sanitary situation. Death rates due to external causes increased in the period, associated with inequalities, which must be considered in the planning for the recovery of the disaster areas.

Keywords: Dam failure. Mining. Ecological studies. Mortality. External causes. Diagnosis of health situation.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Deborah Carvalho Malta. Avenida Alfredo Balena, 190, Escola de Enfermagem, 5º andar, Santa Efigênia, CEP: 30130-100, Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil. E-mail: dcmalta@uol.com.br

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS: nothing to declare

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Malta DC, Reis GM, Veloso GA, Cardoso LSM, Hartz ZMA, Cunningham M, Naghavi M. Mortality patterns in municipalities of a mining region before the Brumadinho dam failure, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil. Rev Bras Epidemiol. 2023; 26(Suppl 1): e230010.supl.1. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-549720230010.supl.1>

SCIENTIFIC EDITOR: Márcia Furquim de Almeida

THIS DOCUMENT HAS AN ERRATUM: <https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-549720230010.supl.1erratum>

This is an open article distributed under the CC-BY 4.0 license, which allows copying and redistribution of the material in any format and for any purpose as long as the original authorship and publication credits are maintained.

Received on: 09/01/2022; Reviewed on: 10/08/2022; Accepted on: 10/27/2022; Corrected on: 09/13/2024.



INTRODUCTION

The failure of Dam I to store tailings from the Córrego do Feijão Mine, in the municipality of Brumadinho, state of Minas Gerais (MG) – Brazil, in 2019, operated by the mining company Vale S.A., caused one of the most serious disasters in the world related to mining dams. Considered the largest work-related accident in Brazil, it fatally victimized 272 people, including 250 direct and outsourced employees of Vale S.A.^{1,2}. The disaster caused the release of at least 12 million cubic meters of tailings on the ground and on the Paraopeba River, which reached more than 160 km in length³. These tailings resulted in environmental damage to vegetation and fauna, as well as the release of heavy metals into the water, such as manganese, aluminum, iron, and arsenic, restricting its use and the supply of water to the metropolitan region of Belo Horizonte (MG)^{3,4}. In this course, in addition to Brumadinho, 25 other municipalities in the state⁵ were considered to be affected, corresponding to a population of about 1.1 million inhabitants.

Overall, disasters exceed the capacity of the affected community or society to face the situation with their own resources. That can increase the losses and damage to the environment and health of the very place of the event occurrence and its surroundings⁶. There are several resulting consequences, including material and economic losses as well as diseases, injuries, and deaths also at a time subsequent to the disaster⁶. Such circumstances require urgent decisions with the purpose of ceasing or reducing the environmental risks arising from contamination of the soil and watercourses and exposures to them and, consequently, of mitigating the damage to the ecosystem and health of populations, which may arise in the short-, medium-, and long-term⁷.

The scientific literature points to these changes in the morbidity and mortality patterns of populations affected by disasters, with an increase in the prevalence of chronic diseases and aggravation of previously-contracted diseases^{7,8-15}, mental health impairment, increased consumption of alcoholic beverages and other drugs, and violence in the affected communities¹⁶⁻²⁰. The occurrence of external causes is related to the process of loss of family members and loved ones and to the sudden rupture in social, economic, and also identity processes²¹. In order to dimension the impacts of a disaster, it is imperative to investigate the previous health conditions, vulnerabilities, and social and environmental contexts in which the affected population lived. Social inequalities and social vulnerability are assumed to result in worse health indicators in the region, prior to the disaster. We believe that knowing the mortality patterns and its temporal evolution before the disaster will allow us to outline the health status and identify temporal trends whose applicability — and relevance — is the establishment of a baseline for future assessments of the disaster impact on the health of affected populations.

The present study aims at describing the patterns of overall mortality and mortality from external causes and the temporal evolution in the municipalities of the Paraopeba River Basin, before the socio-environmental disaster of the Brumadinho dam and, additionally, to investigate the correlation between mortality and socioeconomic deprivation, according to the Brazilian Deprivation Index (*Índice Brasileiro de Privação* – IBP), in these municipalities.

METHODS

Study design and unit of analysis

This is an epidemiological study of the ecological type, descriptive and analytical, which investigated the overall mortality and mortality from external causes in 26 municipalities of the Paraopeba River Basin, state of Minas Gerais (MG) (Supplementary Material – Figure A), between 2000 and 2018, that is, in years prior to the failure of the mining tailings dam of Córrego do Feijão Mine.

Data source

The database of deaths per municipalities used was elaborated in 2021 by researchers from the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) of the University of Washington (USA), in the context of the Global Burden of Diseases (GBD) Study, on demand from the GBD Brazil Network.

The GBD Study uses national data collected from vital registration systems to estimate mortality and, with regard to Brazil, the data source is the Mortality Information System (*Sistema de Informações sobre Mortalidade* – SIM) of the Brazilian Ministry of Health²². Aiming to improve the quality of information, the IHME applies algorithms to correct the underreporting of deaths and to redistribute garbage codes (GC) to underlying causes of death, according to methods previously described in scientific publications^{23,24}. Deaths classified as garbage mask the true underlying causes of death and correspond to codes of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) that are: unspecified; intermediate or immediate causes of death; or impossible causes of death²³.

The population estimates of the Brazilian Ministry of Health were used as denominators in the calculation of mortality rates and are available from the website of the Department of Informatics of the Brazilian Unified Health System — Datasus²⁵.

Indicators

Rates of overall mortality and mortality from external causes were estimated, expressed per 100 thousand inhabitants, for each of the 26 municipalities of the Paraopeba River Basin and for all these municipalities combined. For comparison purposes, mortality rates for all municipalities in the state of Minas Gerais and Brazil were also estimated. In this study, the external causes comprised three

major groups of causes used by the GBD Study, at level 2 (two) of disaggregation²³: a) self-harm and interpersonal violence; b) unintentional injuries; and c) transport injuries. To reduce random fluctuations, the rates were estimated by triennia: T1 (2000/2001/2002), T2 (2009/2010/2011), and T3 (2016/2017/2018). The numerator comprised the mean number of deaths and the denominator the mean population of each triennium. Rates were standardized for age by the direct method, using the standard population of the GBD study²³.

The IBP was used as a measure of social inequality. The index was developed by researchers from the Center for Data and Knowledge Integration for Health (*Centro de Integração de Dados e Conhecimentos para Saúde – Cidacs/Fiocruz Bahia*) and the University of Glasgow. This is a socioeconomic deprivation index developed at the national level, estimated according to census tracts, and based on indicators from the 2010 demographic census related to *per capita* income, literacy, and housing conditions such as water, sanitation, and garbage collection²⁶.

The indicators were summed up based on the estimation of the z-score: the “z,” for a variable “x,” was calculated using the formula $z=(x-\mu)/sd$, where the mean “ μ ” and the standard deviation “sd” for each census tract indicator were weighted according to the population²⁶. The final z-score value of each census tract was given by the simple sum of the z-score of the following indicators: income, level of education, and household conditions²¹. The index was also estimated at the municipal level using the same z-score method, enabling to classify each municipality with a score and to group them into deprivation quintiles, on a scale ranging from the lowest deprivation (-1.73) to the highest (+2.71). In the case of the 26 municipalities of the basin, they were classified between -1.38 (2nd deprivation quintile) and -0.25 (4th deprivation quintile) (Supplementary Material – Table A).

The IBP database by municipalities is available from the website of Cidacs/Fiocruz Bahia²⁷.

Data presentation and analysis

Municipal mortality rates were presented in tables and choropleth maps. Percent changes in mortality rates

among the triennia were presented in heat maps, comparing the relative differences between the first and second triennia, the second and the third, and the first and third triennia. In the heat map, the values of the percent changes of the rates between triennia are presented in a two-by-two comparison (T1-T2, T2-T3, and T1-T3). These values are highlighted according to a color scale that represents the largest decreases (blue scale) and the largest increases (red scale) of the rates.

The correlation analysis considered the relationship between the percent change of mortality rates between T1 and T3, and the IBP. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was calculated, and statistically significant correlations were those whose p-value was less than or equal to 5%. The Dancey and Reidy²⁸ classification was used to define the magnitude of the correlation: values lower than 0.30 are considered weak; between 0.40 and 0.60, moderate; and greater than 0.70, strong. Data presentation and analysis was performed in the statistical R software.

Ethical aspects

This study integrates a broader project approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais under Opinion No. 3.258.076. The study used records of secondary data of non-nominal basis, which do not allow for the identification of individuals, in accordance with Decree No. 7,724, May 16, 2012²⁹, and Resolution No. 510 of April 7, 2016³⁰.

RESULTS

The mean overall mortality rates for all 26 municipalities of the Paraopeba River Basin decreased from 717.7/100 thousand inhab. (T1) to 572.6/100 thousand inhab. (T3). The same occurred for Brazil, from 771.8/100 thousand inhab. (T1) to 622.6/100 thousand inhab. (T3), and for the state of Minas Gerais (Table 1). There was a decrease in overall mortality in the period in almost all municipalities, except Fortuna de Minas, Papagaios, Paineiras, and Igarapé, whose trend was upward (Figure 1 and Supplementary Material – Table B).

Table 1. Rates of overall mortality and mortality from external causes, standardized by age, per 100 thousand inhabitants, in the triennia T1 (2000/2001/2002), T2 (2009/2010/2011), and T3 (2016/2017/2018), Brazil, state of Minas Gerais, and municipalities of the Paraopeba River Basin.


Location	Overall mortality			External causes			Self-harm and interpersonal violence			Unintentional injuries			Transport injuries		
	T1	T2	T3	T1	T2	T3	T1	T2	T3	T1	T2	T3	T1	T2	T3
Brazil	771.8	669.6	622.6	84.9	79.3	75.1	37.6	34.8	36.8	21.8	20.2	18.5	25.5	24.3	19.7
Minas Gerais	698.8	606.0	553.1	62.2	70.9	64.4	22.4	29.9	29.2	18.7	17.4	16.2	21.1	23.6	18.9
Paraopeba River Basin*	717.7	625.2	572.6	73.3	91.2	82.1	29.6	45.5	43.2	20.1	18.1	17.5	23.7	27.7	21.4

*Corresponding to the set of 26 municipalities of the Paraopeba River Basin.

Regarding mortality from the set of external causes, the inverse occurred, with an increase in mortality rates in the Paraopeba Basin of 73.3/100 thousand inhab. (T1) to 91.2/100 thousand inhab. (T2), and 82.1/100 thousand inhab. (T3). The rates for Brazil decreased from 84.9/100 thousand inhab. (T1) to 75.1/100 thousand inhab. (T3). In Minas Gerais, it remained stable from 62.2/100 thousand inhab. (T1) to 64.4/100 thousand inhab. (T3) (Table 1). Figure 2 shows higher rates in the municipalities of the Paraopeba


Basin in the last two triennia compared with the first one. Among the municipalities of the Paraopeba Basin, the rates increased over the three triennia in most municipalities and some with very high rates, such as: Papagaios, Fortuna de Minas, and Pompéu in T3 (135.5 per 100 thousand inhab., 117.1 per 100 thousand inhab., and 114.6 per 100 thousand inhab., respectively) (Supplementary Material – Table B).

Figure 3 shows the values of percent changes in mortality rates among the triennia. Regarding the set of external



Mortality rate standardized by age / 100,000 378.6-519.3 519.4-575.1 575.2-599.4 599.5-680.3 680.4-833

Figure 1. Municipal rates of overall mortality, standardized by age, per 100 thousand inhabitants, in the triennia T1 (2000/2001/2002), T2 (2009/2010/2011), and T3 (2016/2017/2018), Paraopeba River Basin, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.



Mortality rate standardized by age / 100,000 37.4-55.4 55.5-65.5 65.6-78.3 78.4-93.7 93.8-135.5

Figure 2. Municipal rates of mortality from external causes, standardized by age, per 100 thousand inhabitants, in the triennia T1 (2000/2001/2002), T2 (2009/2010/2011), and T3 (2016/2017/2018), Paraopeba River Basin, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

	T1-T2	T2-T3	T1-T3	T1-T2	T2-T3	T1-T3	T1-T2	T2-T3	T1-T3	T1-T2	T2-T3	T1-T3	T1-T2	T2-T3	T1-T3
Overall mortality															
Brazil	-13.2	-7	-19.3	-6.6	-5.3	-11.6	-7.5	5.9	-2	-7.1	-8.4	-14.9	-4.9	-18.8	-22.8
Minas Gerais	-13.3	-8.7	-20.8	13.9	-9.2	3.5	33.2	-2.2	30.3	-6.8	-6.6	-13	11.8	-20	-10.5
Paraopeba River Region	-12.9	-8.4	-20.2	24.4	-9.9	12	53.8	-4.9	46.2	-10.1	-3.1	-12.9	16.9	-22.6	-9.6
Brumadinho	-12.4	-2.6	-14.6	18	17.1	38.1	41.2	48.2	109.3	-1.9	-2.9	-4.7	18.9	-1.1	17.6
Mário Campos	-12.4	-37	-44.8	38.4	-42	-19.7	93.9	-44.2	8.1	-2.6	-33.2	-35	33.4	-46.6	-28.7
São Joaquim de Bicas	-27.9	9.4	-21.2	18.5	12.1	32.8	62.4	18.6	92.7	-26.8	8.2	-20.8	-4.7	-2.3	-6.9
Igarapé	15.6	-8.2	6.1	57.3	-16.4	31.5	93.6	-4	85.8	20.6	-15.6	1.8	47.6	-36.1	-5.7
Betim	-17.3	-11.3	-26.6	23.6	-17.5	2	49.8	-17.4	23.8	-18	-1.7	-19.4	13.6	-28.7	-18.9
Juatuba	-13	-5.9	-18.1	13	6.9	20.8	46	18.9	73.5	-9.5	-0.2	-9.7	1.3	-2.9	-1.6
Mateus Leme	-1.9	-19.7	-21.2	33.6	-24.1	1.4	48.4	-19.7	19.1	8.9	-17	-9.7	36.7	-34.2	-10
Esmeraldas	-6.5	-0.1	-6.6	27.7	-7.2	18.6	53.8	-6.9	43.1	-7.8	2	-6	20.3	-15	2.2
São José da Varginha	-24.3	-3.3	-26.8	49.1	-8.2	36.8	65.9	-9.5	50.1	3.1	-4.3	-1.3	67.6	-9	52.5
Florestal	-23	-11.7	-32	34.5	-17.9	10.4	120.9	-2.8	114.7	-13.3	-8.3	-20.5	34.5	-34.6	-12
Pará de Minas	-27	-4.6	-30.3	2.9	-9.3	-6.6	36.8	8.2	48	-20.3	-3.8	-23.3	-0.2	-23.8	-24
Fortuna de Minas	-3.5	24.2	19.9	22.7	47.4	80.8	87.5	20.2	125.4	-8.3	39.3	27.8	23.8	84.1	128
Pequi	-10.5	-14.6	-23.6	57	-39.6	-5.2	72.3	-48.5	-11.3	23.8	-35.8	-20.5	62.1	-33.7	7.4
Maravilhas	-4.6	-10.9	-15	46	-33.7	-3.1	98.1	-39.2	20.5	6.6	-19.1	-13.7	54.3	-39.2	-6.2
Caetanópolis	-32.9	18.2	-20.7	-22.1	37.9	7.5	-25.3	112.4	58.7	-34.5	21.3	-20.6	-7.2	18.1	9.6
Paraopeba	-17	0	-17	7.4	4.9	12.6	25.8	18	48.5	-13.3	4.1	-9.8	9.4	-6.7	2
Papagaios	39	-1.6	36.8	75.1	26.9	122.2	95	50.3	193.1	49.4	4.4	56	64.8	2.6	69
Curvelo	-9.3	-4.7	-13.5	12	3.6	15.9	33.5	24.3	66	-10.9	4.6	-6.8	11.6	-14.7	-4.9
Pompéu	-3.2	-6.2	-9.2	28.5	11.5	43.2	44.2	42.4	105.4	1	-4.5	-3.5	31.1	-17	8.7
Abaeté	-0.1	-16.4	-16.4	16.3	-3.6	12	35	7.3	44.8	-5.8	-5	-10.4	16.3	-15.7	-1.9
Felixlândia	-27.8	5	-24.2	21.1	8.8	31.7	45.5	35.9	97.7	-12.9	15.7	0.7	39	-10.9	23.7
Biquinhas	12.6	-31.1	-22.4	35.8	-29.9	-4.8	7.4	-44.8	-40.8	114.2	-46.6	14.5	6.1	3.8	10.1
Paineiras	91.1	-30.8	32.3	120.6	-16.5	84.1	96.6	16.4	128.9	128	-33.8	50.9	157.5	-47.5	35.3
Morada Nova de Minas	-8.7	2	-6.8	40	-11	24.6	60.2	3.4	65.6	16.9	-9	6.3	44.2	-29.3	1.9
Três Marias	3.2	-16	-13.3	45.5	-19.3	17.4	77.5	-1.4	75.1	7.2	-11.2	-4.8	58.1	-36.3	0.7
São Gonçalo do Abaeté	-26.9	-12.6	-36.1	-19.6	-4.4	-23.2	6.9	10.5	18.2	-33.3	-14.8	-43.2	-22.9	-8.4	-29.4

Figure 3. Percent change in rates of overall mortality, total and disaggregated mortality from external causes, between the triennia T1 (2000/2001/2002), T2 (2009/2010/2011), and T3 (2016/2017/2018), in Brazil, state of Minas Gerais, and municipalities of the Paraopeba River Basin.

causes, we observed an increase of +24.4% in the Paraopeba Basin and, conversely, a decrease of -6.6% in Brazil, and an increase of +13.9% in MG. Between T1-T3, there was an increase of +12.0% in the Paraopeba Basin and +3.5% in MG and a decrease of -11.6% in Brazil. When analyzing the municipalities separately, there was an increase in rates among the triennia, especially T1-T2 in almost all municipalities, except Caetanópolis and São Gonçalo do Abaeté. The largest increases occurred in Paineiras (+120.6%), Papagaios (+75.1%), and Igarapé (+57.3%). In T1-T3, there was a slight decrease in a larger number of municipalities (Figure 3).

By evaluating external causes in a disaggregative manner, focusing on the set of 26 municipalities of the Paraopeba Basin, self-harm and interpersonal violence accounted for the higher burden of mortality, followed by transport injuries and, finally, unintentional injuries (Table 1). In the third triennium, the mortality rate due to self-harm and interpersonal violence was twice the value of the mortality rate due to transport injuries (43.2 vs. 21.4 per 100 thousand inhab.) and 2.5 times the mean mortality rate due to unintentional injuries (43.2 vs. 17.5 per 100 thousand inhab.) (Table 1). Concerning mortality from suicide and interpersonal violence, the Paraopeba Basin rates were higher than those for Minas Gerais and Brazil in the second and third triennia (Table 1). In the Paraopeba Basin, there was

an increase of over 50% from the first to the second triennium and of 46.2% from the first to the third triennium, while in Brazil, there was stability in the percent change between T1-T2 and T1-T3 and, in Minas Gerais, there was an increase of over 30% from the first to the second triennium (Figure 3). Throughout the analyzed period, there was a significant increase in rates in most municipalities (Supplementary Material – Figure B, Table B; Figure 3).

Mortality rates due to unintentional injuries were similar for Brazil, Minas Gerais, and the Paraopeba Basin (Table 1). In Supplementary Material – Figure C, we can observe a darkening of the map from T1 to T2 and a lightening from T2 to T3, indicating, over the three periods, an increase in rates followed by a decrease. Rates decreased between T1 and T3 in most municipalities (n=14) and were stable in eight other municipalities (Supplementary Material – Table B; Figure 3).

As for mortality from transport injuries, the highest rates in T3 occurred in the Paraopeba Basin (21.4 per 100 thousand inhab.), compared with the mean rates for Brazil (19.7 per 100 thousand inhab.) and Minas Gerais (18.9 per 100 thousand inhab.) (Table 1). In Supplementary Material –Figure D, we can observe that rates increased in the municipalities especially between T1-T2, slightly decreasing in the last triennium. When comparing T1-T3,

there was a decrease in rates due to transport injuries in 12 municipalities and an increase above 10% in eight municipalities (Figure 3).


In the correlation analysis, we identified a positive, moderate, and statistically significant correlation between: percent change in mortality rates due to unintentional injuries and IBP ($R=0.49$; $p=0.012$); and percent change in mortality rates due to transport injuries and IBP ($R=0.42$; $p=0.035$) (Figure 4).

DISCUSSION

We identified high rates of overall mortality and mortality from external causes in the municipalities of the Paraopeba River Basin, higher than the mean for Brazil and Minas Gerais in the period prior to the environmental disaster of the mining company Vale S.A. in Brumadinho. Among the external causes, deaths from self-harm and interpersonal violence were the highest and increased over the triennia in the 26 municipalities. Unintentional injuries decreased during the period, and transport injuries rates increased. The latter tended to increase as socioeconomic deprivation increased, according to IBP measures.

The disaster that occurred in 2019 in the municipality of Brumadinho demonstrates the negligence with environmental, social, health, and well-being issues of the affected population and does not comply with the country's commitment to global pacts such as the Sendai Framework and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction aims to achieve, by 2030, the substantial reduction of disaster risk and losses in lives, with specific actions focused on good governance³¹. Target 3.9 of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) aims at substantially reducing the number of deaths and illnesses from hazardous chemicals and air, water, and soil pollution and contamination³².

A disaster has numerous consequences and repercussions for the affected people and communities. Likewise, and paradoxically, it also represents the possibility of demonstrating the historical and social conditions behind its origin and can serve to foster discussions for changing such conditions³³. Before this disaster, communities already experienced vulnerabilities and lack of structures and public policies; the high mortality rates from violence reported in this study suggest this scenario. Furthermore,



Note: R: Pearson's correlation coefficient; p: p-value of the correlation test; IBP: Brazilian Social Deprivation Index.

Figure 4. Scatter plot and correlation analysis between the percent change of rates of overall mortality and mortality from external causes in the triennia T1 (2000/2001/2002) and T3 (2016/2017/2018) and the Brazilian Deprivation Index of each municipality of the Paraopeba River Basin, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil.

to understand the mortality patterns of a population, social processes should be considered³⁴.

Based on the IBP, the municipalities with the highest socioeconomic deprivation presented higher rates of overall mortality and mortality from external causes in the pre-disaster period. The most violent municipalities of the basin can be divided into two groups: those closest to the metropolitan region of Belo Horizonte (Betim, Juatuba, Esmeraldas, and São Joaquim de Bicas) and municipalities that are located in the lower Paraopeba (Papagaios, Pompéu, and Paineiras). The second group is characterized by its strong relationship with agriculture and mining^{3,35}.

Deaths from external causes in the Paraopeba Basin region increased in the period prior to the disaster, especially due to self-harm, interpersonal violence, and transport injuries. We highlight the increase in violence between the first and third triennia, much higher than the increase in rates in Minas Gerais and Brazil. These findings may reflect the contradiction already identified in other studies on economic development and mining. If, on the one hand, large mining projects generated economic growth, on the other hand, they did not foster greater well-being of the population in general³⁶. It is noteworthy that mining activity is one of the main economic activities of the country, accounting for about 4.08% of the gross domestic product (GDP) in 2018. However, along with its economic impact, it presents significant socio-environmental externalities, environmental degradation, and socio-environmental disasters³⁷.

A study conducted in the state of Pará (Brazil), on six municipalities where large mining companies are headquartered, identified that, despite the significant economic growth driven by the extractive industry, there was no change in the structural form of poverty conditions in the municipalities. On the contrary, there were setbacks from the point of view of social inequalities, with worsening of the Gini index between 1990 and 2010. In other words, mining activities increased the concentration of income and social inequalities³⁶. Another study also highlights the low investment in the state's regulatory capacity on mining activity, and the State is unable to adequately monitor and regulate this important economic activity³⁷. Thus, these studies can help to understand the results in the Paraopeba Basin region. Despite the strong presence of mining activity in recent decades in the region and the increased rate of extraction, there was no improvement in its health situation, with structural problems, social inequalities, and local vulnerabilities persisting, which must be overcome.

Injuries and deaths from external causes result in social, health, and economic burden for individuals, families, the society, and the government, in addition to consisting in important public health issues in Brazil³⁸. Brazil stands out for being one of the most violent countries in the world: the second with the most firearm deaths³⁹ and one of the five countries with the highest rates of road traffic deaths. According to Minayo⁴⁰, structural violence is institutional-

ized in society, economic, cultural, political, and family systems; it profoundly influences socialization practices and results in suffering and death.

Socioeconomic factors are directly related to violence; this also results from social inequalities and it mainly affects places of misery and poverty⁴¹. Therefore, to overcome this situation, it is necessary to strengthen health surveillance, foster intersectoral, interdisciplinary, and multiprofessional articulation and the organization of the civil and community society⁴², promote research to identify policies and strategies for preventing violence as well as support the monitoring and evaluation of the effectiveness of actions^{40,43}.

The study has strengths, such as the use of GBD mortality data adjusted for underreporting and redistribution of garbage codes, which represents an advance in terms of improving the quality of mortality information. However, this is an ecological study, which has limits in its capacity of analysis and causality attribution. In addition, these data refer to small municipalities, and the rates may present fluctuations resulting from the small numbers in the numerator, which we sought to minimize by triennia aggregation. External causes were disaggregated at level 2 (two) of the GBD Study, which are more aggregated and include interpersonal violence and self-harm, although the highest fraction of death rates is due to physical aggression (data not shown). Another limitation concerns the IBP, whose indicators were calculated based on 2010 variables because there are no updated data from the demographic census. Therefore, we should consider the implication of the gap of these data for the classification of deprivation in the municipalities, which may result in bias in the vulnerability diagnosis. Finally, it is worth mentioning that the Pearson's correlation coefficient only quantifies the degree of the linear relationship between two variables and that high correlations do not imply a cause-and-effect relationship.

All in all, despite the strong presence of mining activity in the Paraopeba Basin region, situations of social inequalities persist, and there was no improvement in the health situation in the period prior to the disaster. External causes have increased and are associated with social inequalities, which are accentuated in the region. These data should be considered in prevention measures to be implemented and can guide the allocation of public resources and other investments in the region, as compensation for the environmental damage caused. These results can inform stakeholders and support actions aimed at affected and more vulnerable population groups.

REFERENCES

1. Assembleia Legislativa do Estado de Minas Gerais. CPI da barragem de Brumadinho: relatório final [Internet]. Minas Gerais: Assembleia Legislativa; 2019 [cited on Apr. 26, 2022]. Available at: <https://mediaserver.almg.gov.br/acervo/439/372/1439372.pdf>

2. Freitas CM, Barcellos C, Asmus CIRF, Silva MAD, Xavier DR. From Samarco in Mariana to Vale in Brumadinho: mining dam disasters and Public Health. *Cad Saude Publica* 2019; 35(5): e00052519. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311X00052519>
3. Mendes RG, do Valle Junior RF, de Melo Silva MMAP, de Moraes Fernandes GH, Fernandes LFS, Fernandes ACP, et al. A partial least squares-path model of environmental degradation in the Paraopeba River, for rainy seasons after the rupture of B1 tailings dam, Brumadinho, Brazil. *Sci Total Environ* [Internet]. 2022; 851(Pt 1): 158248 [cited on July 7, 2022]. Available at: <https://europepmc.org/article/med/36028023>
4. Polignano MV, Lemos RS. Rompimento da barragem da Vale em Brumadinho: impactos socioambientais na Bacia do Rio Paraopeba. *Cienc Cult* [Internet]. 2020 [cited on Oct. 7, 2022]; 72(2): 37-43. Available at: https://cienciaecultura.bvs.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0009-67252020000200011
5. Minas Gerais. Comitê Pro-Brumadinho. Histórico do rompimento das barragens da Vale na Mina Córrego do Feijão [Internet]. 2022 [cited on July 15, 2022]. Available at: <https://www.mg.gov.br/pro-brumadinho/pagina/historico-do-rompimento-das-barragens-da-vale-na-mina-corrego-do-feijao>
6. Organização Pan-Americana da Saúde, Ministério da Saúde. Desastres naturais e saúde no Brasil. Brasília: Organização Pan-Americana da Saúde/Ministério da Saúde; 2014.
7. Nomura S, Blangiardo M, Tsubokura M, Ozaki A, Morita T, Hodgson S. Postnuclear disaster evacuation and chronic health in adults in Fukushima, Japan: A long-term retrospective analysis. *BMJ Open* 2016; 6: e010080. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010080>
8. Disasters and the heart: a review of the effects of earthquake-induced stress on cardiovascular disease. *Hypertens Res* 2003; 26(5): 355-67. <https://doi.org/10.1291/hyres.26.355>
9. Becquart NA, Naumova EN, Singh G, Chui KKH. Cardiovascular disease hospitalizations in Louisiana Parishes' Elderly before, during and after Hurricane Katrina. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2018; 16(1): 74. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16010074>
10. Matsuoka T, Yoshioka T, Oda J, Tanaka H, Kuwagata Y, Sugimoto H, et al. The impact of a catastrophic earthquake on morbidity rates for various illnesses. *Public Health* 2000; 114(4): 249-53. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ph.1900660>
11. Nishikawa Y, Fukuda Y, Tsubokura M, Kato S, Nomura S, Saito Y. Managing type 2 diabetes mellitus through periodical hospital visits in the aftermath of the great east Japan earthquake disaster: a retrospective case series. *PLoS One* 2015; 10(5): e0125632. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0125632>
12. Miller AC, Arquilla B. Chronic diseases and natural hazards: impact of disasters on diabetic, renal, and cardiac patients. *Prehosp Disaster Med* 2008; 23(2):185-94. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1049023x00005835>
13. Jhung MA, Shehab N, Rohr-Allegrini C, Pollock DA, Sanchez R, Guerra F, et al. Chronic disease and disasters medication demands of Hurricane Katrina evacuees. *Am J Prev Med* 2007; 33(3): 207-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2007.04.030>
14. Kleinpete MA, Norman, LD, Krane NK. Dialysis services in the hurricane-affected areas in 2005: lessons learned. *Am J Med Sci* 2006; 332(5): 259-63. <https://doi.org/10.1097/0000441-200611000-00017>
15. Vormittag E, Oliveira MA, Gleriano JS. Avaliação de saúde da população de Barra Longa pelo desastre de Mariana, Brasil. *Ambiente & Sociedade* 2018; 21: e01222. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1809-4422asoc0122r2vu18L1AO>
16. Preston DL, Kusumi S, Tomonaga M, Izumi S, Ron E, Kuramoto A, et al. Cancer incidence in atomic bomb survivors. Part III. Leukemia, lymphoma and multiple myeloma, 1950-1987. *Radiat Res* 1994; 137(2 Suppl): S68-97. Erratum in: *Radiat Res* 1994; 139(1): 129. PMID: 8127953
17. Gouweloos J, Duckers M, Te Brake H, Kleber R, Drogendijk A. Psychosocial care to affected citizens and communities in case of CBRN incidents: a systematic review. *Environ Int* 2014; 72: 46-65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.02.009>
18. Morita T, Tanimoto T, Hori A, Kanazawa Y. Alcohol use disorder due to social isolation after a nuclear disaster in Fukushima. *BMJ Case Rep* 2015; 2015: bcr2015209971. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2015-209971>
19. Johnson SD. Substance use, post-traumatic stress disorder and violence. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2008; 21(3): 242-6. <https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0b013e3282fc9889>
20. Svendsen E, Runkle J, Dharra V, Lin S, Mousseau T, Bennett C. Epidemiologic lessons learned from environmental public health disasters: Chernobyl, the World Trade Center, Bhopal, and Graniteville, South Carolina. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2012; 9(8): 2894-909. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph9082894>
21. Freitas CM, Barcellos C, Heller L, Da Luz ZMP. Desastres em barragens de mineração: lições do passado para reduzir riscos atuais e futuros. *Epidemiol Serv Saúde* 2019; 28(1): e0180120. <https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742019000100020>
22. Malta DC, Teixeira R, Oliveira GMM, Ribeiro LPR. Mortalidade por doenças cardiovasculares segundo o Sistema de Informação sobre Mortalidade e as Estimativas do Estudo Carga Global de Doenças no Brasil, 2000-2017. *Arq Bras Cardiol* 2020; 115(2): 150-60. <https://doi.org/10.36660/abc.20190867>
23. GBD 2019 Diseases, Injuries, and Impairments Collaborators. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet* 2020; 396: 1204-222. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30925-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9)
24. Johnson SC, Cunningham M, Dippenaar IN, Sharara F, Wool EE, Agesa KM, et al. Public health utility of cause of death data: applying empirical algorithms to improve data quality. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak* 2021; 21(1): 175. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-021-01501-1>
25. Datasus. Informações de Saúde [Internet]. 2022 [cited on Aug. 10, 2022] Available at: <https://tabnet.datasus.gov.br/cgi/defthtm.exe?popsvs/cnv/popbr.def>

26. Allik M, Ramos D, Agranonik M, Pinto Júnior EP, Ichihara MY, Barreto ML, et al. Developing a small-area deprivation measure for Brazil. Salvador/Glasgow: Cidacs/University of Glasgow; 2020. Technical Report.
27. Centro de Integração de Dados e Conhecimentos para Saúde [Internet]. Ranking dos municípios brasileiros classificados por nível de privação (2020). Salvador: Fiocruz [cited on Oct. 4, 2022]. Available at: <https://cidacs.bahia.fiocruz.br/ibp/publicacao/>
28. Dancey CP, Reidy J. Estatística sem matemática: para psicologia usando SPSS para Windows. 3ª ed. Porto Alegre: Artmed; 2006.
29. Brasil. Decreto nº 7724, de 16 de maio de 2012 [Internet]. Regulamenta a Lei nº 12.527, de 18 de novembro de 2011, que dispõe sobre o acesso a informações previsto no inciso XXXIII do caput do art. 5º, no inciso II do § 3º do art. 37 e no § 2º do art. 216 da Constituição [cited on Oct. 4, 2022]. Available at: https://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_ato2011-2014/2012/Decreto/D7724.htm
30. Brasil. Resolução nº 510, de 07 de abril de 2016 [Internet]. Dispõe sobre Resolução dispõe sobre as normas aplicáveis a pesquisas em Ciências Humanas e Sociais. DOU no 98, terça-feira, 24 de maio de 2016 - seção 1, páginas 44, 45, 46 [cited on Oct. 4, 2022]. Available at: <https://conselho.saude.gov.br/resolucoes/2016/Reso510.pdf>
31. United Nations. Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015 – 2030 [Internet]. New York: UN; 2015 [cited on May 22, 2022]. Available at: https://www.preventionweb.net/files/43291_sendaiframeworkfordrren.pdf
32. United Nations. Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development [Internet]. New York: UN; 2015 [cited on May 22, 2022]. Available at: <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/index.php?menu=2361>
33. Porto PSS, Porto MFS. Desastres, crise e justiça ambiental: reflexões a partir do contexto brasileiro. Rev Puc-Rio Br 2015; XVIII(33): 153-76.
34. Laurel AS. A saúde-doença como processo social. La salud-enfermedad como proceso social. Cuad Med Soc. 1982; 19: 1-11.
35. Almeida IM, Filho JMJ, Vilela RAG. Historical and organizational sources of Córrego do Feijão dam disaster. Rev Bras Med Trab [Internet]. 2019; 17(1):13-20 [cited on Aug. 19, 2022]. Available at: <https://cdn.publisher.gn1.link/rbmt.org.br/pdf/v17n1a03.pdf>
36. Oliveira LAA, Silva DN. Mineração e desenvolvimento: uma análise dos municípios mineradores do Pará [Internet]. 2019 [cited on Oct. 7, 2022]. Available at: https://diamantina.cedeplar.ufmg.br/portal/download/diamantina-2019/D18_395.pdf
37. Euclides FM, Macedo AS, Macedo SV, Valadares JL. Capacidades estatais e mineração: uma análise da agência nacional de regulação. Rev Adm Pública [Internet]. 2022 [cited on Oct. 7, 2022]; 56(1): 163-75. Available at: <https://www.scielo.br/j/rap/a/BsMj656c8wLGPXmVCqk3SQ/?lang=pt>
38. Gonsaga RAT, Rimoli FC, Pires EA, Zogheib FS, Fujino MVT, Cunha MB. Avaliação da mortalidade por causas externa. Rev Col Bras Cir 2012; 39(4): 263-67. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-69912012000400004>
39. Malta DC, Soares Filho AM, Pinto IV, de Souza Minayo MC, Lima CM, Machado ÍE, et al. Association between firearms and mortality in Brazil, 1990 to 2017: a global burden of disease Brazil study. Popul Health Metr 2020; 18(Suppl 1): 19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12963-020-00222-3>
40. Minayo MCS. A violência social sob a perspectiva da Saúde Pública. Cad Saúde Pública 1994; 10(Suppl. 1): S07-18. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-311X1994000500002>
41. Soares AM, Duarte EC, Merchan-Hamann E. Tendência e distribuição da taxa de mortalidade por homicídios segundo porte populacional dos municípios do Brasil, 2000 e 2015. Ciênc Saúde Coletiva 2020; 25(3): 1147-56. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232020253.19872018>
42. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde [Internet]. Política Nacional de Promoção da Saúde; 2010 [cited on Aug. 10, 2022]. Available at: https://bvsmis.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/politica_nacional_promocao_saude_3ed.pdf
43. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde [Internet]. Política Nacional de Promoção da Saúde; 2014 [cited on July 18, 2022]. Available at: https://bvsmis.saude.gov.br/bvs/saudelegis/gm/2014/prt2446_11_11_2014.html

RESUMO

Objetivo: Descrever os padrões de mortalidade geral e por causas externas e a evolução temporal nos municípios da Bacia Hidrográfica do Rio Paraopeba previamente ao desastre socioambiental de Brumadinho e, adicionalmente, investigar a correlação entre a mortalidade e a privação socioeconômica nesses municípios. **Métodos:** Foram analisadas estimativas de mortalidade do Estudo Carga Global de Doenças referentes a 26 municípios de Minas Gerais. Calcularam-se taxas de mortalidade geral e por causas externas nos triênios (T) T1 (2000 a 2002), T2 (2009 a 2011) e T3 (2016 a 2018). O coeficiente de correlação de Pearson mediu associação entre as taxas de mortalidade e a privação socioeconômica, segundo Índice Brasileiro de Privação. **Resultados:** Houve declínio da mortalidade geral na Bacia Hidrográfica do Rio Paraopeba de 717,7/100.000 para 572,6/100.000 hab. e na maioria dos municípios entre T1-T3. A mortalidade por causas externas aumentou de 73,3/100.000 para 82,1/100.000 e foi mais elevada nesses municípios comparando-se com a média do Brasil e de Minas Gerais. As mortes por suicídio e violência interpessoal aumentaram de 29,6/100.000 para 43,2/100.000 na maioria dos 26 municípios. Os acidentes não intencionais reduziram-se no período, e as taxas por acidente de transporte aumentaram. Houve correlação positiva entre a privação socioeconômica e a variação percentual das taxas de mortalidade. **Conclusão:** Apesar da forte presença da atividade mineradora na região, isso não refletiu na melhoria do quadro sanitário, as causas externas aumentaram no período, associadas às desigualdades, o que deve ser considerado no planejamento para a recuperação das áreas do desastre.

Palavras chave: Rompimento de barragens. Mineração. Estudos ecológicos. Mortalidade. Causas externas. Diagnóstico da situação de saúde.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS: Malta, D. C.: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Veloso, G. A.: Methodology, Data curation, Software, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. Reis, G. M.: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Cardoso, L. S. M.: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Hartz, Z. M. A.: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. Naghavi, M.: Methodology, Data curation, Software, Project Administration, Resources, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. Cunningham, M.: Methodology, Data curation, Software, Project Administration, Resources, Visualization, Writing – review & editing.

FUNDING: Letter of Agreement SCON2021-00288 – Analysis of corrected estimates of mortality by municipalities.



© 2023 | *Epidemiologia* is a publication of

Associação Brasileira de Saúde Coletiva - ABRASCO