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Abstract

Objective: to evaluate the application of a deterministic routine for identifying multiple pregnancies on the Brazilian Live Birth Information System (SINASC). Methods: SINASC data deduplication and linkage with the mortality database (fetal deaths) for Rio de Janeiro state for the period 2007-2008; we used a deterministic routine, using a key based on SINASC maternal and birth information, complemented by manual review. Results: of the 433,874 SINASC records, 9,036 (2.1%) were classified as multiple pregnancy newborns; after implementing the routine, we reclassified 385 records as twins, and 286 as singletons; accuracy of multiple pregnancy information on the SINASC database was high (sensitivity=95.8%; specificity=99.9%); applying the routine without the manual review process increased sensitivity by 4.2%, with no significant change of specificity. Conclusion: despite the accuracy of information regarding multiple pregnancy held on SINASC, we suggest the use of this routine as an option for improving classification of twins.
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Introduction

The Live Birth Information System (SINASC) was implemented in order to bring together information on births in the entire country. With effect from 1990, SINASC has shown itself to be relevant regarding characterization and status of child deliveries and births, as well as for identifying at risk/vulnerable groups of mothers and children.\textsuperscript{1,2}

Multiple pregnancy is a risk factor for negative outcomes at birth.\textsuperscript{3-6} The risk of multiple babies dying can be 12 times greater, when compared to the same risk for single pregnancy babies. The main explanation for this difference lies in the increased proportion of prematurity and restricted intrauterine growth in the case of twins.\textsuperscript{7,8}

Multiple pregnancy children also have greater risk of developing undesirable conditions in the long term, such as cerebral palsy, cognitive dysfunction, language development disorders, learning difficulties, as well as psychiatric and socio-behavioral problems.\textsuperscript{7,8} It is therefore important to identify multiple births in studies that use vital statistics databases.

\textbf{SINASC has a variable that indicates the number of children in the same pregnancy; however data completion errors lead to incorrect classification of information about twinning.}

Each twin is registered separately on the births database and has its own identification number. In addition, SINASC has a variable that indicates the number of children in the same pregnancy; however data completion errors lead to incorrect classification of information about twinning.\textsuperscript{9,10} Database linkage is used to improve data information quality by retrieving it and confirming it in a single database (data duplication identification process) or comparing different databases.\textsuperscript{11-14}

The objective of this study was to evaluate the application of a deterministic routine in order to identify multiple pregnancies on the SINASC database for Rio de Janeiro state for the years 2007 and 2008.

Methods

We conducted a descriptive study to evaluate improvement of information about multiple pregnancies held on the SINASC database by applying a deterministic routine (internal linkage).

We used SINASC data (N = 433,882) for Rio de Janeiro state for the years 2007 and 2008. We also examined fetal death records (N = 372) on the Mortality Information System (SIM), searching manually for twins, when multiple pregnancy was indicated on SINASC but with only one live birth recorded.

The deterministic routine was based on four processes: (i) record comparison (internal database linkage), using a deterministic key comprised of maternal information (soundex of mother’s first name, soundex of mother’s second name; soundex of mother’s last name) and information about birth (full date of birth; code of the health establishment where birth occurred); (ii) automatic comparison of residential address, using a routine based on the Levenshtein edit distance; (iii) manual search for twins on the SIM system; and (iv) manual reviewing.

First of all the SINASC database was pre-processed with the aim of eliminating records with duplicated Live Birth Certificate numbers.

Records that had the same deterministic key were assessed according to information about pregnancy (single; multiple) held on SINASC. In the case of records having classification in agreement, i.e. with coinciding keys and indicating multiple pregnancy (key+/Sinasc+), and records having classification in disagreement, i.e. with coinciding keys but indicating single pregnancy (key+/Sinasc-), their addresses were compared automatically. When addresses coincided completely, the records were classed as being multiple pregnancy. When addresses were in disagreement, a manual review was performed in order to achieve final classification. During this manual stage, information regarding mother’s name, maternal age, place of birth, type of delivery and type of pregnancy were used by the researcher to define classification as being or not being multiple pregnancy.

When the key did not identify twins and the information held on SINASC was for single pregnancy (key-/Sinasc-), records were classified as not being twins. In the case of records indicating multiple pregnancy but for which the key did not identify a record of twins (key-/Sinasc+), we performed a manual search on the SIM fetal deaths database to confirm for twins, given that babies from the same pregnancy might be found on different information systems. Those that were not found on the fetal deaths database underwent a manual review.
We assessed records that had their classification changed (multiple or single pregnancy) following application of the complete routine (deterministic key, address comparison, fetal deaths database search and manual review of pairs). Classification following application of the complete routine was considered to be gold standard for accuracy analyses, both for information on twins registered on SINASC and also for classified obtained by applying a reduced routine, based solely on information held on SINASC and deterministic key agreement, without performing the remaining procedures (address comparison, fetal deaths database search and manual review of pairs). In this case, SINASC records that indicated multiple pregnancy or had deterministic key record agreement were classified as multiple pregnancy. SINASC records with no information on multiple pregnancy and with no pairs indentified simultaneously by the deterministic key were considered not to be twins. We calculated sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive value and respective 95% confidence intervals (95%CI).

We used PostgreSQL 9.2 and Stata12 applications, respectively, to carry out the deterministic linkage routine and for analysis.

The study project, based on secondary data provided by the Rio de Janeiro State Health and Civil Defense Department and developed in accordance with research ethics principles, was submitted to the IESC/UFRJ Research Ethics Committee as an amendment to the project entitled ‘Integrated Health Records: longitudinal evaluation of morbidity and mortality in a cohort of live born babies and their mothers - Phase 1’ and was approved on October 3rd 2012 – Certification of Submission for Ethical Appraisal (CAAE) No. 07534512.9.0000.5286.

Results

Eight of the 433,882 records of live births in Rio de Janeiro state in 2007 and 2008 were excluded because of duplication and 9,036 (2.1%) were classified as multiple pregnancy on the SINASC system; 8,136 of these latter records had deterministic key record agreement (key+/Sinasc+). Following application of the routine and following automatic address comparison, 6,508 records that had the same address were automatically classified as twins, and a further 1,628 that had different addresses were classified as twins following manual review (Figure 1).

All 385 records having key+/Sinasc- were classified as twins: 260 with the same address were classified automatically, and 125 following manual review (Figure 1).

We identified 816 records for which the routine did not indicate twins but for which the information held on SINASC referred to multiple pregnancy (key-/Sinasc+). Seventy-eight of these were found after searching on the SIM system. With regard to the other 738, manual review identified 452 twins and 286 non-twins.

There were 424,537 records in key-/Sinasc- category; 9,051 were classified as twins and 424,823 as non-twins, with change of initial status in 671.

Accuracy of multiple pregnancy information held on SINASC, when compared to the classification derived by applying the complete routine, was as follows: sensitivity=95.8% (95%CI 95.3;96.2%), specificity=99.9% (95%CI 99.9;99.9%) and positive predictive value=95.9% (95%CI 95.5;96.3%) (Table 1).

When applying the routine without manual review, accuracy was as follows: sensitivity = 100.0%, specificity = 99.9% (95%CI 99.9;99.9%) and positive predictive value = 96.9% (95%CI 96.6;97.3%) (Table 2).

Discussion

The study used a deterministic routine which enabled better classification of multiple pregnancy information held on the SINASC system, avoiding both false-positive errors and also false-negative errors. Incorrect classification of a multiple pregnancy, such as duplications in data linkage processes, is a challenge for the development of algorithms for electronic health records.

SINASC data coverage and quality are fundamental for its reliability as a source of substantial information for health evaluation and research.

Although good quality information was found about pregnancy type on the Rio de Janeiro state SINASC system, a result in agreement with the literature, applying the routine is nevertheless useful and easy to perform. However, given the peculiar characteristics of twins, in general studies of neonatal outcomes exclude records of this group, which should be analyzed separa-
Figure 1 – Flowchart of application of deterministic routine to identify multiple pregnancies on the Rio de Janeiro state Live Birth Information System, 2007-2008

Table 1 – Accuracy of information about twins held on the Rio de Janeiro state Live Birth Information System database, 2007-2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sinasca</th>
<th>Deterministic routine (gold standard)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Twin</td>
<td>Non-twin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twin</td>
<td>8,666</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-twin</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>424,453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9,051</td>
<td>424,823</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity = 95.8% (95% CI 95.3; 96.2%)
Specificity = 99.9% (95% CI 99.9; 99.9%)
Positive predictive value = 95.9% (95% CI 95.5; 96.3%)

a) SINASC: Live Birth Information System.
Low frequency of twins in relation to total births results in changes in the number of cases being relatively important, even when small in absolute terms. Moreover, the Robson classification is now publicized on the sinasc system, since accurate information about twins is necessary for adequate categorization of women.

Database linkage techniques, whether deterministic or probabilistic, are being used to improve information quality. Deterministic routines have excellent performance when data quality is good: their processing is rapid and they can be used without manual review of the links formed.

The routine developed in our study included a manual review stage which is only feasible for small or medium volume databases in situations of information disagreement. In situations involving databases with a larger volume of records, only applying the key without doing manual review increases sensitivity for identifying twins without significant alteration of specificity or positive predictive value. A midway alternative would be to manually process only records not identified by the key, although for these records multiple pregnancy information exists on the SINASC system.

A limitation of this study is that not all cases were manually reviewed. However, the likelihood of mistaken pregnancy type classification is very low when there is agreement between the key and the Live Birth Information System — SINASC.

Although the increase as a result of recovering twins appears small, the cost of doing this is low in view of the possibility of improving information. We suggest that the routine proposed be used habitually, especially in studies of neonatal outcomes among twins.
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Table 2 – Accuracy of information about twins held on the Rio de Janeiro state Live Birth Information System database, following application of an automatic deterministic routine without manual review, 2007-2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Routine without manual review on SINASC(^a)</th>
<th>Deterministic routine (gold standard)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Twin</td>
<td>Non-twin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twin</td>
<td>9,051</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-twin</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>424,537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9,051</td>
<td>424,823</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity = 100.0%
Specificity = 99.9% (95% CI 99.9; 99.9%)
Positive predictive value = 95.9% (95% CI 95.7; 96.4%)

\(^a\) SINASC: Live Birth Information Systems.
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