Lethality and characteristics of deaths due to COVID-19 in Rondônia: an observational study
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Abstract

Objective: To describe the characteristics of deaths due to COVID-19 in the state of Rondônia. Methods: This was a descriptive study, with data from the Brazilian National Health System Epidemiological Surveillance System Computerization Strategy (E-SUS-VE), notified between January 1 and August 20, 2020. Statistical tests (Chi-square and Marascuilo procedure) were applied, where differences were considered to be significant when p< 0.05. Results: 184,146 suspected cases were reported, of which 49,804 were confirmed as COVID-19, and 1,020 died (lethality 2.1%). Statistically significant differences were observed between age groups and lethality (p-value <0.001); lethality was greater as age increased (Marascuilo procedure, significant in the comparison between the over 60s and the other age groups); death was higher among males (2.7% lethality); and lethality was higher among Black people (3.0%). Conclusion: Lethality was greater among the elderly, males and people of brown and black skin color in Rondônia.
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**Introduction**

Brazil’s highest COVID-19 incidence rates have occurred in the country’s Northern region, with 2,843.1 cases/100,000 inhabitants and a mortality rate of 72.2 deaths/100,000 inhab. As at the 20th week of the epidemic, the state of Rondônia had recorded incidence higher than that found for the rest of the Northern region, with 3,027.5 cases/100,000 inhab. and a mortality rate of 62.4 deaths/100,000 inhab., the latter being slightly below the regional average.¹ Rondônia’s first case was recorded on March 20th 2020. When it began its course, the pandemic followed a pattern common to other places: a disease brought by travelers arriving by plane. The expectation was that SARS-CoV-2 transmission would be rapidly contained and would not cause significant problems, especially for the state’s health services and those of its municipalities. A slow process of structuring the hospital network was begun, centered on availability of intensive care beds. Health managers frequently appeared in the media, especially on social networks, informing as to the efforts to achieve this, including with regard to diagnosis services centralized at the Central Public Health Laboratory, as well as purchasing of rapid tests.

Studies that investigate and characterize COVID-19 mortality in more depth can contribute to understanding of the disease’s behavior in the population in different places. This knowledge can lead to timely and appropriate interventions in the sense of avoiding this type of outcome. According to a study conducted to identify possible impacts of social isolation in Rondônia, as isolation restrictions were slackened, the number of cases and speed of transmission increased correspondingly, with a consequent increase in the number of deaths.⁴ Another relevant aspect is the spread of the pandemic to interior regions, as seen in a variety of places, such as in Rondônia, with considerable potential for affecting isolated populations, especially the indigenous.⁵

The objective of this study was to describe the characteristics of COVID-19 deaths in the state of Rondônia.

**Methods**

This is a descriptive cross-sectional study based on secondary data notified between January 1st and August 20th 2020.

It examines the expression of COVID-19 in the population of the state of Rondônia, based on the understanding that the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic can be better controlled when there is more knowledge about the local variables determining that expression. Rondônia has 52 municipalities. Its estimated population in 2020 is approximately 1,796,460 inhabitants, 50.4% of whom are aged between 10 and 39 years old. It is therefore a young and predominantly urban population.

The data on COVID-19 cases produced by the Municipal Health Departments are consolidated by the Rondônia State Health Department (SESAU-RO) and publicized through daily SESAU-RO bulletins.² These...
data are included on the flu-like syndrome database available on the OpenDATASUS platform. The data used are derived from the e-SUS VE system, developed to record flu-like syndrome cases suspected of having COVID-19. The system is comprised of notification form data and information on place of residence, as well as demographic and clinical/epidemiological characteristics of these cases.

This study used the database provided by SESAU RO on its Coronavirus Portal, available at https://covid19.sesau.ro.gov.br/. The data were retrieved on August 28th 2020. The database is operated by the Ministry of Health, via its Health Surveillance Secretariat, based on incorporation of the e-SUS VE Notificação system, which has been in place since March 2020.

The study included all notified flu-like syndrome cases in the state of Rondônia between January 1st and August 20th 2020, twenty weeks after the first COVID-19 cases were recorded in the state.

This study considered the following variables: age group (in years); sex (male; female); race/skin color (white; yellow [Asian]; indigenous; brown; or black); and outcome (death; non-death)

The data were treated using Excel, version 365. The chi-square test for K proportions was used to analyze comparisons between categories. These analyses were then submitted to the Marascuilo procedure, which works as a complement to the chi-square test with the aim of establishing which proportions had statistically significant differences between age groups and occurrence of death (chi-squared = 10371.123; p-value <0.001). Equal COVID-19 death distribution was found between males (82 – 47.1%) and females (92 – 52.9%) up to 40 years old. After this age, deaths were predominant among males (534/846 – 63.1%) in all age groups.

When applying the Marascuilo procedure in order to identify differences between age groups (Table 2 and Figure 1), greater lethality differences were found as age increased. The age groups above 60 years old had significant differences in relation to all the age groups younger than 60. The 40-59 age group had differences in relation to all the other age groups except the under-one-year-olds.

When comparing deaths according to sex, all those recorded without their sex being defined were excluded (there were no deaths among those whose sex was recorded as being unknown), as they were insignificant in relation to the size of the notified samples of the male and female sex. When comparing lethality between males and females, a statistically significant difference was found in relation to sex, with greater probability of males dying than females: lethality rates of 2.71 and 1.48%, respectively (chi-squared = 93.391; p-value <0.001). The Marascuilo procedure was not necessary in this case as there were only two categories.

When comparing lethality according to race/skin color, we did not consider 2,276 notified COVID-19 cases for which there was no information on this variable. Sixty-nine COVID-19 deaths had no information on race/color and accounted for the largest proportion of lethality (3.03%); as for notifications that did have information on race/color, the highest proportion identified referred to Black people (2.88%). Notification forms with missing information may have resulted in possible limitations in the results of the statistical analyses presented here. Even so, the differences between lethality rates by race/skin color were tested and were found to be statistically significant.

Results

The sample studied was comprised of 184,146 suspected cases. Of these, 134,342 were considered not to have COVID-19 according to the case definition criteria in force at the time of notification. A total of 49,804 COVID-19 cases were confirmed. Seventy-three of the unconfirmed cases and 1,020 of the confirmed cases died, with a lethality rate of 0.05 and 2.05% respectively.

The general data, distributed according to age range, sex and race/skin color, are shown in Table 1. It should be noted that the results of the chi-square test for K proportions confirmed the existence of statistically significant differences between age groups and occurrence of death (chi-squared = 10371.123; p-value <0.001). Equal COVID-19 death distribution was found between males (82 – 47.1%) and females (92 – 52.9%) up to 40 years old. After this age, deaths were predominant among males (534/846 – 63.1%) in all age groups.

When applying the Marascuilo procedure in order to identify differences between age groups (Table 2 and Figure 1), greater lethality differences were found as age increased. The age groups above 60 years old had significant differences in relation to all the age groups younger than 60. The 40-59 age group had differences in relation to all the other age groups except the under-one-year-olds.

When comparing deaths according to sex, all those recorded without their sex being defined were excluded (there were no deaths among those whose sex was recorded as being unknown), as they were insignificant in relation to the size of the notified samples of the male and female sex. When comparing lethality between males and females, a statistically significant difference was found in relation to sex, with greater probability of males dying than females: lethality rates of 2.71 and 1.48%, respectively (chi-squared = 93.391; p-value <0.001). The Marascuilo procedure was not necessary in this case as there were only two categories.

When comparing lethality according to race/skin color, we did not consider 2,276 notified COVID-19 cases for which there was no information on this variable. Sixty-nine COVID-19 deaths had no information on race/color and accounted for the largest proportion of lethality (3.03%); as for notifications that did have information on race/color, the highest proportion identified referred to Black people (2.88%). Notification forms with missing information may have resulted in possible limitations in the results of the statistical analyses presented here. Even so, the differences between lethality rates by race/skin color were tested and were found to be statistically significant.
significant between each other (chi-square = 32.139; p-value <0.001) in at least two categories. The Marascuilo procedure produced the results presented in Table 3 and Figure 2. Bearing in mind the limitation of 69 deaths not having race/skin color identified, the chi-square test and the Marascuilo procedure only revealed significant difference for yellow (Asian) race/skin color in relation to brown and Black race/skin color, and for White race/skin color in relation to brown race/skin color. The differences were not statistically significant for the other strata.

### Discussion

When analyzing COVID-19 lethality in Rondônia from the beginning of the pandemic until August 20th, we sought to identify differentials regarding deaths of confirmed COVID-19 cases and other SARS cases, between males and females, between age groups and between different races/skin colors. Use of secondary data is a limitation of this study, especially when estimating underreporting and restrictive criteria for case confirmation. An important role in this sense is also played by the incompleteness of notification forms with regard to age, sex and race/skin color.

The difference between lethality among confirmed and unconfirmed COVID-19 cases is very evident, demonstrating greater COVID-19 lethality when compared to that of other flu-like diseases among the notified individuals. In Brazil as a whole, the mortality rate was 54.5 deaths per 100,000 inhabitants as at epidemiological week 34, while the rate in Rondônia was 59.7 and was higher than the Brazilian average.8
Higher COVID-19 lethality rates found repeatedly among older people and males also occur with regard to other flu-like diseases, according to data published in the Special Epidemiological Bulletin as at epidemiological week 34. The data analyzed in relation to the state of Rondônia demonstrated that COVID-19 lethality was greater, with statistical significance, among more elderly people in the over 60s age group.

This result is corroborated by European studies. Until recently, Europe was the epicenter of the epidemic and, as at epidemiological week 32, deaths were concentrated among people aged over 65.
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(89%). In China it has been demonstrated that people over 60 years old were at greater risk of death. In Mexico, a COVID-19 lethality rate of 6.53% was found in the population over 60 years old. Although in Brazil the age structure is not so old as in European countries, whereby elderly people account for 14.3% of the Brazilian population in 2020, nevertheless 52.7% of those aged 60 or over with COVID-19 have died. This may be associated with the comorbidity profile of this population, as well as factors related to access to health care, alongside lack of public policies on active integration of the elderly in society, with low availability of programs providing healthier lifestyles for this population, thus making them more susceptible to pandemic situations like this one.

Moreover, some authors indicate that the mortality rate among elderly people tends to be nine times higher than among other age groups. This occurs principally because there is greater prevalence of comorbidities in this age range, resulting in greater complications and more severe forms of COVID-19, thus raising lethality among this segment of the population.

Table 3 – Results of the Marascuilo procedure, contrasting race/skin color categories between each other, according to lethality, Rondônia, 01/01/2020 – 20/08/2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/skin color</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Critical value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p(yellow)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(white)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(indigenous)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(brown)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(black)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(indigenous)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(white)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(brown)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(black)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(brown)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(black)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(black)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Difference with significant p(reference class).
Although the lethality rate identified in this study for the population in the 20-39 and 40-49 age groups (0.3% and 1.6%, respectively) is not particularly pronounced when compared to that found in the population aged 60 and over (13.2%), nevertheless it draws attention and raises the hypothesis of association involving presence of comorbidities and chronic diseases in a population in which these conditions were not to be expected. The data of this study are in line with those of Costa et al., identifying that in Brazil the younger population under 60 years old is presenting comorbidities that place it in the high risk group. In other words, people comprising the economically active age group are also exposed to death from COVID-19.

In general, mortality is lower among females than among males, among distinct populations, and from different causes. This pattern usually remains even during pandemics. In the Brazilian case, a greater number of cases has been observed among males during the COVID-19 pandemic, in which 57.9% of deaths relate to males. It is noteworthy that a similar result has been reported in Europe, with males being predominant among the number of deaths (58%). Lethality tends to be similar among males and females in age groups under 20 years old, while among people aged over 60, it is greater among males than among females. The present study found similar differences, with mortality predominating among males. Although more females are affected by COVID-19 (53.5% of cases), lethality is seen to be more intense among males (2.7%).

World Health Organization (WHO) data for Europe, Asia and North America indicate higher COVID-19 lethality among males, which may be explained by geographic, cultural and genetic factors. In Brazil, COVID-19 has presented a more female characteristic. There are signs that this happens, among other reasons, due to greater risk and exposure faced by health workers, the majority of whom are female, as well as their being on the frontline of efforts to mitigate the pandemic in diverse communities. Moreover, many women are the breadwinners of their homes, working on the informal labor market and need to move from one place to another in order to work.

Although these data are insufficient to demonstrate this situation, nevertheless they corroborate studies that indicate that there is difficulty in assessing whether individuals notified as being positive or diagnosed as having the disease were working, the places where they worked and the circumstances of their work, which might mean a delay in identifying potential sources of spread of infection related to work activities.

With regard to race/skin color, it falls to the different Brazilian National Health Service management levels to ensure this data is included on health information system forms. Providing this information became obligatory with effect from 2017.20 Despite this, race/skin color was not among the categories used to analyze the COVID-19 epidemiological situation in the initial epidemiological bulletins. The race/skin color analysis category was only included in Health Ministry bulletins following complaints and pressure from civil society. Incompleteness of this variable is also found with regard to other health complaints, as well as among specific population groups, such as the elderly.

Among the data reported in the Health Ministry’s Special Epidemiological Bulletin No. 28, it is stated that: brown race/skin color is the most frequent among SARS deaths (57,290; 35.5%), followed by White
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(51,833; 32.1%), Black (8,662; 5.4%), yellow (1,840; 1.1%) and indigenous (563; 0.3%). It is important to highlight that this information is missing for 18,499 (11.5%) deaths. The race/skin color profile for SARS deaths due to COVID-19 remained the same, with brown being the most frequent (40,537; 36.4%), followed by White (33,531; 30.1%), Black (5,899; 5.3%), yellow (1,279; 1.1%) and indigenous (455; 0.3%).

It is noteworthy that the 2019 National Household Sample Survey found that 42.7% of Brazilians self-reported themselves as being of White race/skin/color, 46.8% brown, 9.4% Black and 1.1% yellow or indigenous. The data notified in Rondônia do not differ from the situation portrayed in the Health Ministry Bulletin referred to above. Our study found that information on race/skin color was missing for almost 10,000 notifications, being the main potential source of bias in the analyses of race/skin color distribution. In relation to these cases with no information, 2,276 were people with COVID-19. Sixty-nine of them died, indicating 3.03% lethality. It should be noted that this is the highest rate among all the categories informed. Among the notifications that did have information on race/skin color, lethality was identified as being highest among Black people (2.9%), and this was statistically significant. Analysis comparing the different categories revealed significant difference only for yellow race/skin color in relation to brown and Black, as well as White race/skin color in relation to brown. In other words, COVID-19 affects people of brown and Black race/skin color more and is more lethal among them, thus emphasizing that COVID-19 in Brazil is very much "darker".14 With regard to age and race/skin color distribution in Brazil, 50.7% of indigenous people are up to 29 years old, differing from those of White race/skin color (42.0%), Black (39.4%), brown (48.3%) and yellow (31.8%), whereas people aged over 60 account for 10.5% of indigenous people, 16.6% of people of White race/skin color, 14.8% Black, 11.9% brown and 25.5% yellow.24

Data on mortality according to cause of death need to be accurate and notification needs to be timely, in order for measures to control the spread of COVID-19 to be adequately defined, in addition to improving health service organization and management.7 This aspect is even more important given that there are estimates that for just one single death in a given place, there are probably countless unidentified cases of the disease among the population there.25

It is not the objective of this article to study the geographic distribution of COVID-19 deaths or cases. However, it should be highlighted that the distribution of Rondônia’s population is different to that of other places. Around a 1/3 of the population lives in Porto Velho,26 the state capital, and this is the municipality with the highest number of cases and deaths six months after the start of the pandemic. In addition to a considerable proportion of the population living there, other factors may interfere with the concentration of cases and deaths, especially the fact that the greater part of the state’s high complexity medical equipment is located in Porto Velho. Race/skin color distribution in Rondônia is different to that found for Brazil as a whole, above all with regard to the higher proportion of people of brown race/skin color (60.1% and 44.1%, respectively). The age pyramid is also different. There is a higher proportion of males than females: 50.6% in Rondônia and 48.9% in Brazil. Age group composition is also different: the proportion of young people up to 15 years old is 32.5% in Rondônia and 30.8% for Brazil as a whole, while the proportion of elderly people aged 65 or over also differs, being 8.9% and 14.2%, respectively.27 These characteristics may be determinants of the differentials with regard to disease and death found in Rondônia’s population.

In this study, the hypotheses of greater occurrence of deaths in older age groups, among males in relation to females, a higher percentage among people of brown and Black race/skin color, as well as much greater lethality among those infected with SARS-COV-2 in comparison with other etiologies, were statistically proven for the state of Rondônia.
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