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ABSTRACT

In order to describe the genomic characteristics related to antimicrobial resistance and comparative 
genomics of diarrheagenic Escherichia coli (DEC), 14 DEC isolates from the strain collection of the Instituto Nacional de Salud (INS) were subjected to genome sequencing. We used bioinformatic 
procedures to analyze the obtained sequences in order to look for microbial resistance genes and 
genetic regions related to pathotypes and phylogroups. Several antimicrobial resistance determinants 
were detected, but the production of beta-lactamases and mutations associated to quinolone resistance 
were the most relevant. Additionally, we observed isolates of the same pathotype grouped in different 
phylogroups. The comparative genomics analysis showed a greater number of orthologous genes in 
isolates from the same pathotype and phylogroup. In conclusion, DEC isolates from Lima, Peru, showed 
resistance to multiple drugs; likewise, molecular and phylogenetic diversity was observed in several 
pathotypes and phylogroups.
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INTRODUCTION

Bacterial resistance is a major global health problem associated with increased loss of economic 
productivity and human mortality. Approximately 23,000 people die annually in the United States 
due to infections caused by bacteria resistant to antibiotics (1), e.g., Escherichia coli, a bacterium 
intrinsically susceptible to almost all relevant antibiotics, with a high capacity to acquire resistance 
genes by horizontal gene transfer (2).

The group of E. coli that cause intestinal and extra-intestinal infections are called diarrheagenic 
Escherichia coli (DEC). According to their pathogenesis, this group is classified into seven 
pathotypes: Enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC), enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC), enterotoxigenic 
E. coli (ETEC), enteroinvasive E. coli (EIEC), enteroaggregative E. coli (EAEC), diffusely adherent 
E. coli (DAEC) and adherent-invasive E. coli (AIEC), all of which are responsible for 40% of the 
cases of acute diarrhea in developing countries (3).
describe the characteristics of the resistome and comparative genomics of different DEC from the city of Lima.

THE STUDY

We worked on 14 *E. coli* isolates that caused acute diarrhea in patients from Lima between 2017 and 2018. The isolates were recovered by the Instituto Nacional de Salud (INS). The selection criteria were based on the antimicrobial sensitivity profile by diffusion disc previously evaluated by the laboratory (Appendix 1).

The isolates were reactivated in tryptic soybean broth (Merck, Germany) at 37 °C for 68 hours. Subsequently, they were seeded in MacConkey (Merck, Germany) agar plates and incubated at 37 °C for 18 to 24 hours. Confirmation of *E. coli* was made with conventional biochemical tests. The pathotypes were characterized based on primers according to Appendix 2.

DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germany) was used to extract DNA from the isolates. Spectrophotometry (Denovix, USA) and Qubit 3.0 fluorometer (Invitrogen, Malaysia) were used to evaluate DNA concentration and quality. Sequencing libraries were created with Nextera XT kit (Illumina, USA), and genomic sequencing with MiSeq equipment (Illumina, USA).

We evaluated the quality of the sequences using FastQC v0.11.5. Adapters and low-quality nitrogenous bases were removed with Trimmomatic v0.38 (6). The sequences were assembled de novo using A5-miseq pipeline (7). We used Kraken for gender identification and removal of contaminated contigs (8). Allelic profiles and sequence types (ST) of the obtained genomes were assigned according to the Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST) database for *E. coli* according to Achtman (http://pubmlst.org/) and using the MLST v2.10 program. The assignment of clone complexes and the creation of a minimum spanning tree (MST) was carried out using BioNumerics v7.5 (Applied Maths).

The BLAST tool was used to search for the genes associated with the virulence of *E. coli* pathotypes, according to Appendix 2. Prediction of coding sequences was made using the program Prodigal v2.6.3. The homologous genes of the sequences were identified from a gene library built using all the complete genomes available in GenBank, and the BLAST algorithm to select the alignments greater than 90% for identity and greater than 60% for coverage than the reference. The code used for the annotation is available at http://github.com/OrsonMM/Blast-score-for-genomics.

For the assignment of the phylogroups, we used Clermont typing scheme (9), based on an *in silico* PCR using primers designed for each phylgroup, with the software available at https://github.com/A-BN/Clermon-Typing. For the detection of antibiotic resistance genes, we used online database CARD (10), which includes chromosomal and plasmid genes. Finally, all the sequences obtained during the study were stored in GenBank database (Bioproject: PRJNA650130).

For comparative genomics, genomes assembled with Prokka v1.12 (11) were scored with an e value of 10-9. The annotation results were uploaded to the BPGA v1.3 (12) program to calculate the number of genes in the central, accessory, and single genes with an identity value of >0.95. As a final step, the resulting matrix of pathotype annotation was used for intergroup comparative analysis using VennPainter (13).

FINDINGS

All 14 isolates were reconfirmed as E. coli by conventional microbiological procedures. PCR confirmation of pathotypes resulted in two EHEC, two EIEC, three EPEC and seven ETEC (Table 1).

The sequencing error rate of 0.35% was calculated using a PhiX internal control. The Q30 used to select good quality readings was >80% per 150 bp. The fourteen genomes sequenced had an average of 184 high quality contigs. Each genome was identified as *E. coli* with more than 85% identity, obtaining a GC-content of 50.4%. Ten different STs were detected by MLST (Figure 2), the main findings were that ST11 was associated to EHEC, ST311 to EIEC and ST69 to EPEC.

The *in silico* pathotype analysis found a direct relationship between the results obtained by PCR (Figure 1). The EHEC
isolates presented the Shiga toxin genes (\textit{stx1} and \textit{stx2}). Four EHECs presented the heat-labile enterotoxin gene (\textit{elt}), two presented heat-stable enterotoxins (\textit{st}) and only one presented both genes. The annotation revealed the presence of the \textit{eaeA} gene in the EPECs, and the IEECs carried the \textit{ipaH} gene.

Clermont’s typing scheme assigned four \textit{E. coli} genomes to phylogroup A, four to B1, one to B2, three to D, and two to E. Further review revealed that Peruvian EHEC isolates belonged to phylogroup E, EIECs to B1, ETECs to A and D, and EPECs to phylogroups B1, B2, and C (Figure 1).

During the resistome analysis we detected genes encoding beta-lactamases: nine genomes presented the \textit{ampC} gene; four presented \textit{bla} \textit{TEM1}, and only one presented \textit{bla} \textit{CTX-M-15}. In addition, genes related to resistance to quinolones were detected. The S83L mutation of the gyrA gene was detected in two ETECs. The \textit{qnrS1} gene was found in all EIECs and one ETEC, while the \textit{qnrB10} gene was found in one EPEC (Figure 1).

The average number of coding sequences for the fourteen genomes analyzed was 5,016. The number of genes in the accessory genome varied between 938 and 2,133, while the number of unique genes varied between 0 and 581. Finally, the number of genes in the central genome was 2,950 (Table 1).

Pan-genomic functional analysis detected 2.17% of core genes, 2.04% of accessory genes and 1.63% of unique genes related to antimicrobial resistance using the categories of the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (Appendix 3). In addition, annotation using the database of Clusters of Orthologous Groups of proteins (COGs) categories detected that 5.32% of the core genes, 7.32% of the accessory genes, and 10.22% of the single genes were related to cell wall and membrane proteins (Appendix 4).

Comparative genomics between pathotypes revealed 2,950 genes from the central genome. Additional data on the grouping of two or three pathotypes are detailed in Figure 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>Pathotype</th>
<th>Phylogroup</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>Identification of antibiotic resistance determinants</th>
<th>Alleric identification of pathotype</th>
<th>Alleric identification of phylogroup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O157H7-1</td>
<td>EHEC</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>\textit{bla TEM1}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O157H7-2</td>
<td>EHEC</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>\textit{bla CTX-M-15}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-756-17</td>
<td>EIEC</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>\textit{ampC}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-683-18</td>
<td>EIEC</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>\textit{qnrB10}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-492-17</td>
<td>EIEC</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>\textit{drfA1}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-537-18</td>
<td>EPEC</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>\textit{marR} (G103S, Y137H)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-734-18</td>
<td>EPEC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2,089</td>
<td>\textit{nfsA} (Y45C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-675-17</td>
<td>ETEC</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>\textit{PBP3} (S357N, D350N)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-858-17</td>
<td>ETEC</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>\textit{GlpT} (E448K)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-442-18</td>
<td>ETEC</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>6,872</td>
<td>\textit{EF-Tu} (R234F)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-462-18</td>
<td>ETEC</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>\textit{Tet(A)}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-526-18</td>
<td>ETEC</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>\textit{TspE4.C2}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-539-18</td>
<td>ETEC</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>\textit{chuA}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-727-18</td>
<td>ETEC</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>8,287</td>
<td>\textit{aggR}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This was validated using the additional \textit{trpAgpC} gene.


\textbf{Figure 1.} Molecular identification of genes associated to pathotypes, phylogroups and antimicrobial resistance genes diarrheagenic of \textit{Escherichia coli}. The colored boxes indicate the detection of the markers, the colorless boxes indicate their absence.
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Finally, we detected a large number of ortho-genes between EHEC and EIEC isolates, as well as a small number of genes shared within EPEC and ETEC, for which there is no additional information on their name or function, being noted as hypothetical proteins.

### DISCUSSION

Diarrheagenic *E. coli* is the leading cause of healthcare-related and community-acquired infections (14) and has a high capacity to develop antimicrobial resistance. It is important

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathotype</th>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>CDS number</th>
<th>Number of genes in the central genome</th>
<th>Number of accessory genes</th>
<th>Number of single genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EHEC</td>
<td>O157H7-1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5,270</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>2,133</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O157H7-2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5,215</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>2,104</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIEC</td>
<td>1-756-17</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>5,330</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>1,856</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-683-18</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>5,112</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>1,804</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPEC</td>
<td>2-492-17</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>5,248</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>1,588</td>
<td>471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-537-17</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4,721</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-734-18</td>
<td>2,089</td>
<td>4,922</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>1,503</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-675-17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4,661</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>1,369</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-858-17</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>5,003</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>1,552</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-442-18</td>
<td>6,872</td>
<td>4,991</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>1,473</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETEC</td>
<td>2-462-18</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5,053</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>2,015</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-526-18</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5,056</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>2,016</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-539-18</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5,055</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>2,011</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-727-18</td>
<td>8,287</td>
<td>4,593</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>1,199</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EHEC: Enterohemorrhagic *E. coli*, EIEC: Enteroinvasive *E. coli*, EPEC: Enteropathogenic *E. coli*, ETEC: Enterotoxigenic *E. coli*, ST: Sequence type, ND: Not defined, not found in the Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST) database.
to report the genomic characteristics of pathogenic isolates to understand bacterial resistance and pathogenesis features that will contribute to monitoring and implementation of new policies related to local public health.

In this study, nine *E. coli* isolates with antibiotic resistance genes were detected by genomic sequencing. The most important ones were CTX-M-15, TEM1 and *ampC*, because they are related to beta-lactam resistance. Studies indicate the presence of *bla* genes in Peruvian ESBL positive *E. coli* isolates, with high frequency rates of CTX-M (54.7%) and TEM (13.2%) (15). On the contrary, the detection of *ampC*-type beta-lactamase is little explored in our region, and usually only a low-frequency is phenotypically detected (16).

Resistance to quinolones by the S83L mutation in *gyrA* was observed in two ETCEs, while the presence of *qnr* in two EIECs, one EPEC and one ETEC. Quinolone resistance has already been reported in Peru, including commensal strains due to the strong influence of persistent exposure to these antimicrobials (17); however, few studies focused on the use of molecular markers to determine quinolone resistance. Furthermore, genomic sequencing has led to the discovery of additional genes related to resistance to other antibiotics. For example, two EIECs and two EPECs with STX resistance and nine isolates with tetracycline resistance have been reported.

The analysis of the relationship between pathotypes (non-taxonomic) and phylogroups (taxonomic) of *E. coli* showed that the mostly reported EPECs were from phylogroups B1 and B2 (18), as discussed here. The EIEC are grouped in three phylogroups: A, E and B1 (19), the isolates we used were from the phylogroup B1. On the other hand, the ETCEs are mostly from the A and D phylogroups (19), the seven isolates evaluated were also from this phylogroups. In contrast, the EHEECs were the most consistent group and were only associated with phylogroup E, and there is an evolutive explanation: the predominant serotype in this group, O157: H7, derives from the gene acquisition of a non-pathogenic isolate O55: H7, called preEHEC (20).

Pan-genomic analysis of diarrheagenic *E. coli* using KEGG categories allowed us to detect 2.17% of the genes (from the central genome) that are involved in antimicrobial resistance. Although the previous analysis only showed information regarding 14 genes associated with resistance, we were able to detect additional resistance-associated genes (among mutations and other effectors); however, due to the little information available regarding these genes in *E. coli* isolates, we did not study those molecules in depth.

The comparative analysis between pathotypes showed that EHEC and EIEC share a large number of genes (n = 246), while EPEC and ETCE had the lowest number of shared genes (n = 2). The latter result is interesting, since it contrasts with the work done by Hazen *et al.* (18), who found hybrid isolations of these pathotypes. On the contrary, most comparative genome studies are only based on the analysis of differences and similarities within the same pathotypes, so our research offers a first look at comparative genomes between different pathotypes and is one of the first studies on this subject in Peru.

In conclusion, the isolates of diarrheagenic *E. coli* from Lima, Peru, have resistance genes for several drugs. We detected pathotypes, ST and phylogroups with molecular and phylogenetic diversity. We suggest to continue monitoring the antimicrobial resistance of these bacteria with modern sequencing techniques, since this information is useful for local public health.
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