Programmatic vulnerability from the perspective of LGBTQIA+ professionals and older people: a scoping review
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ABSTRACT Programmatic vulnerability concerns access to and use of health resources, such as programs aimed at health prevention, care, and rehabilitation. For LGBTQIA+ older people, vulnerability issues are even more significant, since that group faces other social issues that reflect on the preparation of professionals to provide care in health services. The aim was to map the occurrence of programmatic vulnerability among LGBTQIA+ older people. This is a scoping review, guided by the JBI recommendations using the mnemonic P (LGBTQIA+ older people), C (vulnerability), and C (healthcare programs). The documents were analyzed qualitatively using the R Interface software, IRaMuTeQ. Two thematic categories were identified: The construction of comprehensive care: the role of health and social institutions in developing actions aimed at the needs of LGBTQIA+ older people; Programmatic vulnerability: gaps in care for older people belonging to sexual minorities. This study identified that situations of vulnerability are more evident in health services, long-term care institutions, and in care provided by health professionals, suffering from stigma and prejudice.
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RESUMO A vulnerabilidade programática diz respeito a acesso e utilização dos recursos de saúde, como programas direcionados a prevenção, assistência e reabilitação de saúde. Para a pessoa idosa LGBTQIA+, as questões de vulnerabilidade são ainda mais significativas, uma vez que o referido grupo enfrenta outras questões de cunho social que refletem no preparo dos profissionais ante o atendimento nos serviços de saúde. Objetivou-se mapear a ocorrência da vulnerabilidade programática de pessoas idosas LGBTQIA+. Trata-se de uma revisão de escopo, orientada pelas recomendações JBI mediante o mnemônico P (Idosos LGBTQIA+), C (vulnerabilidade) e C (programas de atenção à saúde). Os documentos foram analisados qualitativamente com suporte do software Interface de R, IRaMuTeQ. Foram identificadas duas categorias temáticas: A construção do cuidado integral: o papel das instituições de saúde e social no desenvolvimento de ações voltadas para as necessidades da pessoa idosa LGBTQIA+; Vulnerabilidade programática: lacunas no cuidado à pessoa idosa pertencente a minorias sexuais. O presente estudo permitiu identificar que as pessoas idosas LGBTQIA+ podem vivenciar situações de vulnerabilidade programática, sobretudo nos serviços de saúde, instituições de longa permanência e nos atendimentos ofertados pelos profissionais de saúde, sob a ótica do estigma e preconceito.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE Vulnerabilidade a desastres. Idoso. Minorias sexuais e de gênero.
Introduction

Human aging is a physiological process that brings about various changes, such as molecular, cellular, and behavioral damages, which have repercussions on the psychosocial context and the individual’s quality of life. In Brazil, the number of people aged 60 years or older reached 15.6% of the population in the year 2022, showing an increase of 56% compared to the year 2010. This led to an Aging Index of 80 (with 80 older people for every 100 children aged 0 to 14 years).1

Considering that aging is not a homogeneous process, since it depends on the physiological, psychological, and social aspects in which the individual is inserted, it is appropriate to point out that there are differences in the way people age. In this context, the LGBTQIA+ (Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, Intersex, Asexual and gender identities and sexual orientations that do not fit the cis-heteronormative pattern, but which are not highlighted before the symbol) older population stands out, which has been representing a significant quantitative increase compared to the general older population.

Studies show that by 2030 the number of gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender older people in the United States of America (USA) will double. It is estimated that LGBTQIA+ adults represent around 3% to 4% of the general adult population in this country. However, ageing associated with the historical-cultural scenario of marginalization and discrimination increases vulnerability when it comes to LGBTQIA+ seniors, making them invisible in the context of US society.2

Among other aspects, aging culminates in an increase in the vulnerability of older people, which can be reflected by the lack of conditions to react to some type of threat, danger or violence.3 This refers to the state in which individuals or specific groups have their capacity for self-determination reduced, and may have difficulties in protecting their own interests, as there are deficits in power, intelligence, education, resources, strengths, or other attributes.4

According to Ayres et al.,5 vulnerability can be seen under three categories: individual, social, and programmatic. This study will emphasize programmatic vulnerability which comprises the social resources needed to protect individuals from risks to their physical, psychological, and social well-being. It consists of a plan intended to provide subsidies to design strategies to improve care for the elderly, in line with the guidelines of the Unified Health System.6

When taking this into account for LGBTQIA+ older people, vulnerability issues are even more significant, since, in addition to the aging process, this group faces other social issues that impact the preparedness of professionals in providing healthcare services.

The invisibility of older people’s sexuality coupled with the unique and specific challenges faced by the LGBTQIA+ population, raises discussions about the impact of healthcare services on maintaining active and healthy ageing. It is important to note that, in addition to professional care, programmatic vulnerability concerns access to and use of health resources, such as programs aimed at prevention, care and health rehabilitation.

The research which identified strategies to help access and develop culturally competent practice with LGBTQIA+ older people in health services, pointed to: the need for changes in personal and professional attitudes towards users’ sexual orientation, gender identity and age; understanding about the social and cultural context of LGBTQIA+ seniors; distinguishing similarities and differences within subgroups; using appropriate language to work with the mentioned public; understanding about the marginalization of seniors LGBTQIA+ in health programs and services; and understanding the need to improve access for LGBTQIA+ seniors and their families to social, aging and social health services.
Meanwhile – and considering that the aging process greatly influences the long-term of the older person’s health – issues related to the programmatic vulnerability of the seniors LGBTQIA+ deserve to be addressed in healthcare programs, since sexual orientation and gender identity are often neglected in the provision of services or in the training of professionals who work with such a public.

Therefore, the aim of the study was to map the occurrence of programmatic vulnerability among LGBTQIA+ older people.

**Material and methods**

This is a scoping review study, guided, pre-established, and structured by the recommendations of the Institute Reviewer’s Manual (JBI)\(^7\) and the PRISMA-ScR for reviews\(^8\). As recommended by the JBI, this review was developed using a protocol registered with the Open Science Framework (https://osf.io/e49m2), identification doi: https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/E49M2.

The guiding questions were determined through the mnemonic combination PCC, in which P (population) – LGBTQIA+ older people; C (concept) – vulnerability; and C (context) – health care programs, thus generating the following questions: what are the vulnerabilities related to healthcare programs for LGBTQIA+ older people? What is the perception of professionals and LGBTQIA+ older people about healthcare services?

After defining the mnemonic combination of the CCP and the guiding questions, the eligibility criteria were as follows: articles or documents addressing the vulnerability of LGBTQIA+ older people, available in full. There was no time or language restriction and those that were duplicated in more than one database were excluded.

The survey of studies in the literature was carried out independently by two researchers between October and November 2023. As recommended by the JBI, an initial screening was carried out in two databases, PubMed and Journals OVID Full Text, using the combinations: [(Idoso) AND (Minorias Sexuais e de Gênero) AND (Vulnerabilidade em saúde) AND (Health Services)] and [(Aged) AND (Sexual and Gender Minorities) AND (Health Vulnerability) AND (Health Services)]. To this end, the descriptors, titles, and abstracts of the articles were analyzed in order to develop the search strategies.

After the first search in the previously mentioned databases, a search strategy was developed to identify the available academic production. The following keywords were used in combination with Boolean operands AND & OR: [(Envelhecimento) OR (Idoso) OR (Adultos mais velhos) AND ((Má resultado de saúde) (Abuso de álcool) OR (Uso de substâncias) OR (Covid-19) OR (Determinantes de saúde) OR (Estresse psicológico) OR (Promoção à saúde) OR (Vacinação) OR (Consciência de classe) OR (Cuidado à saúde mental) OR (Estigma e cultural) OR (Depressão) OR (Suicídio) OR (Solidão) OR (Necessidades de saúde) OR (Disparidades de saúde) OR (Competências do cuidado em saúde) OR (Saúde e bem-estar) OR (Competência cultural)) AND ((Discriminação) OR (Minoria sexual) OR (LGBT saúde) OR (Identidade de gênero) OR (Orientação sexual) OR (Transgênero) OR (Gênero diversificado) OR (Atividade sexual) OR (Bisexual) OR (Gay) OR (Homem que fazem sexo com outro homem) OR (Comportamento sexual))].

The second search was carried out in the following databases: Medline via PubMed, Scopus (Elsevier), Web of Science (WOS), Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences Literature (LILACS) and Scientific Electronic Library Online (SciELO). Evidence classified as gray literature was investigated in the Catalogue of Theses and Dissertations of the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES). Finally, a reference list of the selected documents was made in order to identify new documents.
After the search, the data was grouped and exported to Rayyan, an online application developed by the Qatar Computing Research Institute, which allows articles to be organized and selected. It should be noted that studies identified in more than one database were only considered once according to the order of the analysis. In the case of articles that received differing assessments as to their relevance, a third reviewer was invited to analyze them and clarify any doubts.

Initially, 2,557 studies were identified; after reading the titles, 125 were selected because they suggested they were close to the subject under study. An exhaustive evaluation of the abstracts was then carried out, resulting in 35 articles which were read in full, and 18 studies which met the objectives of the review were then selected. All studies that did not meet the proposed objective were excluded, as were studies that were unavailable in full and duplicated in more than one database, as shown in figure 1.

Data was extracted using a structured tool developed by the reviewers which included information about the publication such as authors, title of the article, year of publication, country in which it was developed, journal, and type of study. In addition, evidences suggesting programmatic vulnerability and the implications for older people’s health were grouped together. Health vulnerability is observed in several facets, since it is considered a multidimensional construct. As such, the theoretical support chosen was the study by Ayres et al., which seeks to understand vulnerability.
as a synthesis of the physical, social and programmatic dimensions, which are considered to be distinct but inseparable. The information was entered into a Microsoft Office Excel® spreadsheet, supporting the synthesis and description of the results in accordance with the aim of this study.

The data collected on programmatic vulnerability identified in the manuscripts selected in the sample was compiled in text corpus format and processed in IRaMuTeQ (Interface de R pour les Analyses Multidimensionnelles de Textes et de Questionnaires), version 0.7 alpha9, using similarity analysis and Descending Hierarchical Classification (DHC).

The CHD performs groupings within the textual corpus and makes it possible to form classes according to the association of words; these, in turn, are formed by Text Segments (TS) according to their vocabulary, forming cross matrices (X²) and determining the frequencies in which the occurrences are broken down9. The association of the word with the class was determined by p-value < 0.05 or x² < 3.80.

The classes were critically analyzed according to the qualitative approach, supported by the thematic categorical analysis proposed by Bardin10, which consists of the stages of pre-analysis, exploration of the material, and treatment of the evidence obtained, interpreting it. The categories that emerged follow the principle of mutual exclusion, completeness, representativeness, homogeneity, and relevance, according to the precepts of the technique10.

**Results**

The sample consisted of 18 articles that met the eligibility criteria to address the guiding questions. Of these, the majority were written in 2014 (22.2%), developed in the USA (50%) and had a qualitative approach (50%) as shown in table 119–27. It is worth noting that none of the studies were conducted in Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Title (in the language of the article)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Lampe NM, Barbee H, Tran NM, et al.13</td>
<td>Health Disparities Among Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer Older Adults: A Structural Competency Approach</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>Integrative review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Roe L, Galvin M12</td>
<td>Providing inclusive, person-centred care for LGBT+ older adults: A discussion on health and social care design and delivery</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Theoretical reflection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>Adan M, Scribani M, Tallman N, et al.13</td>
<td>Worry and Wisdom: A Qualitative Study of Transgender Elders’ Perspectives on Aging</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>Qualitative descriptive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>Knochel KA, Flunker D14</td>
<td>Long-Term Care Expectations and Plans of Transgender and Nonbinary Older Adults</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>Qualitative descriptive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>Wirth M15</td>
<td>Demand for Space: Elderly Transgender and Gender Nonconforming People, Healthcare, and Theological Ethics.</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Integrative review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>Waling A, Lyons A, Alba B, et al.16</td>
<td>Trans Women’s Perceptions of Residential Aged Care in Australia</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Qualitative descriptive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the textual corpus, 135 ST emerged, with 111 being retained for CHD, equivalent to 82.22% retention; in addition, 4,958 occurrences were generated, of which 839 were active forms. The lexical content was organized into six classes as shown in the dendrogram below in figure 2.
After analyzing the excerpts, the following classes were defined: 1 - Access to health services; 2 - Stigmas and prejudices of health professionals; 3 - Role of long-term care institutions in caring for LGBTQIA+ older people; 4 - Impact of gender identity and sexual orientation on care; 5 - Programmatic vulnerability from the perspective of older people belonging to sexual minorities; and 6 - Care needs: specificities of LGBTQIA+ older people.

Figure 3 shows the diagram representing the classes with their respective words, frequency and x², i.e. the visual representation of the terms indicating the linguistic similarities between them.
By organizing the dendrogram and visualizing the diagram, it can be seen that all the classes emerge from class 5, which is predominantly related to the social aspects of sexual orientation. Two subcorpora emerge from this class: subcorpus A, made up of classes 1, 2 and 6; and subcorpus B, made up of classes 3 and 4.

By considering the thematic content of the two respective textual subcorpora, it was possible to list two central thematic categories, namely: Category I (subcorpus A) - The construction of comprehensive care: the role of health and social institutions in developing actions aimed at the needs of LGBTQIA+ older people; Category II (subcorpus B) - Programmatic vulnerability: gaps in care for older people belonging to sexual minorities.

The similarity analysis shown below by the maximum tree in figure 4 corroborates the definition of the thematic categories defined by the CHD.
Discussion

Category I (subcorpus A) – Building integral care: the role of health and social institutions in developing actions aimed at the needs of LGBTQIA+ older people

Category I emerged from classes 1 (access to health services), 2 (stigmas and prejudices of health professionals) and 6 (care needs: specificities of LGBTQIA+ older people). When looked at from the point of view of content, they go on to discuss the care that should be given to LGBTQIA+ older people and their social roles in order to meet their physical, psychological and social needs.

One of the gaps in care in terms of access to health services found in the study sample was the lack of specific services for the LGBTQIA+ older community\(^{12,14,16,21,25}\). This lack permeated themes related to not being able to accept their sexual orientation and suffering some kind of discrimination\(^{22}\) or transphobia on the part of professionals\(^{31,22,25}\) as well as not receiving the necessary care with regard to hormone replacement therapy\(^{14,16}\).

The challenges faced in general medical care for transgender people stem from various...
barriers, such as the fear of discrimination, shortage of specialists, financial concerns, among others. The presence of transphobia in health services contributes to lower demand for routine examinations, and refusal of certain treatments. Check-ups are essential for those receiving hormone therapy helping with the early detection of cancer. However, it is notoriously difficult to find professionals, such as gynecologists and psychiatrists capable of providing adequate care for this population.

Long-term Institutions for Older People (ILPI) referred to entities, whether governmental or non-governmental, that have a residential character and are designed to collectively accommodate people aged 60 or over. Such institutions serve older people, whether or not they have family support, providing conditions that promote freedom, dignity and citizenship.

Most of the manuscripts in the sample were not developed in Brazil, however, the premise of care for institutionalized older people that appear in the articles maintains the proposition of care for them. In Brazil, Resolution No. 502, of May 27, 2021, provides for the operation of residential ILPI, which must meet a group of basic premises, but that deserve to be emphasized: the promotion of a welcoming environment for the older people, the encouragement of activities to stimulate the autonomy of the seniors, and the guarantee of assistance free from discrimination or any type of violence.

The mistreatment mentioned in the research articles included actions discrediting the appropriate pronoun of choice and more severe situations of violence, such as physical, financial, and sexual abuse. Some older people participants in Shrek et al. research reported preferring to conceal their sexual orientation because they felt trapped in a heterosexual world where their sexuality was sometimes disrespected.

The lack of inclusive public policies for the LGBTQIA+ community results in significant challenges for many older transgender people, who face substantial obstacles in preserving their mental health. This is due to frequent experiences of transphobia in public settings and a shortage of mental health professionals trained to meet the specific demands of this community. Health systems claim to be inclusive of the LGBTQIA+ community, but often face gaps in the effective implementation of these commitments.

Difficulties pointed out in working with LGBTQIA+ older people in ILPI include the lack of training in issues related to sexual and gender diversity, the lack of familiarity or availability of evidence-based treatments, residents’ hesitation to identify themselves as LGBTQIA+ and the presence of stigma.

Throughout history, transsexual women have had to establish safe spaces on their own due to extreme violence, hostility and discrimination in health services. This highlights the urgent need for trans-inclusive spaces, which are defined as welcoming services for transgender clients, creating hospitable and safe environments for interactions with staff and other residents. To offer such services, professionals need to receive comprehensive training and be well-informed about the specific health and care needs of the transsexual community.

Training for professionals is crucial, especially when dealing with specific health conditions such as prostate or cervical cancer, which can become more complex in transsexual bodies due to frequent associations with cisgender perspectives of men and women. In addition, it is essential to carry out health screenings without perpetuating transphobia. Not only do services need to be trained in trans-inclusivity, but they also need to actively promote it so that community members are aware of the services available to them.
Category II (subcorpus B) – Programmatic vulnerability: gaps in care for older people belonging to sexual minorities

Vulnerability is a multidimensional construct in which behavioral, sociocultural, economic, and political conditions interact with biological processes throughout life\(^{30}\). Thus, the articles analyzed highlight programmatic vulnerability, evidenced by situations in which there is a lack of access to health services or poor quality in the provision of service.

Studies have found that there are health services that refuse to provide healthcare or provide an inferior one to older people because of their sexual orientation or gender identity. Part of the LGBTQIA+ older population feels invisible as their individual needs are not taken into account, and this situation is considered a subtle form of prejudice\(^{31}\). The discrimination faced by this group is at least twofold, since they are the target of aggression incited by homophobia and transphobia, as well as ageism.

Due to prejudice, some older people prefer not to reveal their sexual orientation or gender identity to the medical team, especially those aged 80 or over. It should be noted that non-disclosure can have adverse consequences for the health of LGBTQIA+ older people, such as delays in diagnosing illnesses, difficulties in accessing the necessary healthcare and refusal to disclose important information for therapeutic management, which may result in compromised clinical decision-making\(^{32}\).

If, on the one hand, non-disclosure can have severe consequences for health, on the other, authors explain that if sexual orientation or gender identity are revealed to professionals, this can result in unconscious stereotypes that have a negative impact on providing care, making the patient feel uncomfortable or afraid. Negative behaviors such as asking to be excused from caring for an LGBTQIA+ older person due to personal beliefs, ignoring family and friends, or making derogatory jokes with other members of the team influence future decisions about using health services\(^{33,34}\).

With the process of human aging and the possibility of developing chronic non-communicable diseases, there is an indisputable need to promote disease prevention and screening actions, since most health practices are still based on curative interventions, with little emphasis on prevention and the specific needs of the older population. The state’s failure to provide equitable care results in a violation of the right to health, which is even more noticeable in the elderly population belonging to sexual minorities.

Gaps in care provision are even more present in transgender individuals, defined as a state “in which a person experiences dissonance between their gender identity and the gender they were assigned at birth”\(^ {35}\). Evidence shows institutional exclusion in medical sectors, where the reduced number of specialists in the field results in fear of discrimination and even refusal of medical treatment due to regular experiences of transphobia. The importance of promoting access for transgender older people to activities aimed at disease prevention is highlighted, given that monitoring by the health team is particularly important during hormone therapy and for early cancer detection, for example, when carrying out prostate cancer screening on transgender women\(^ {35,36}\).

In addition to health services, the articles show deficiencies in social support services, as in ILPI. Older people report episodes in which care was full of mistreatment and lack of affirmation of gender identity, such as being addressed by the wrong pronouns, not being able to dress according to their wishes, and even serious aggression, such as acts of physical, emotional and sexual abuse. Continuing and permanent education policies are associated with greater patient satisfaction, since there is a reduction in prejudice and stigma\(^ {11,13}\).

One of the strategies for providing welcoming care is to change the way health and well-being are viewed, moving away from an exclusively biomedical model to a...
Oliveira FMRL, Dantas AMN, Gomes GLL, Santos-Rodrigues RC, Albuquerque FKO, Barbosa KTF

biopsychosocial one, in which health and well-being are viewed holistically according to the experience of each individual. Simple measures can encourage LGBTQIA+ older people to seek out more health services, such as creating safe spaces that accept sexual minorities, developing support materials such as posters that explicitly welcome them, providing caregiver support and connecting mainstream health services to LGBTQIA+ support organizations\textsuperscript{13,22}. Creating safe spaces and building a bond with these older people can help minimize fear of discrimination and increase demand for health services.

Despite obvious efforts to uphold human rights, especially with regard to sexual and gender diversity, situations of violence and prejudice against people who deviate from the cis, heterosexual and binary standard are still perceptible. Although one of the specific objectives of the National Policy for the Integral Health of Lesbians, Gays, Bisexuals, Transvestites and Transsexuals is to provide health care for the older LGBTQIA+ population, there is still a need for legal provisions that can guarantee equal treatment for this population, regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. Therefore, a political organization that allows representatives of LGBTQIA+ groups to participate in the construction and implementation of public policies is essential\textsuperscript{37}.

**Final considerations**

This study identified that, from the perspective of the older people situations of vulnerability are more evident in the healthcare services, in the ILPI, and in the care provided by health professionals, suffering from stigma and prejudice. From the professionals’ point of view, this vulnerability entails differentiated care with proposed actions focused on the needs of this population.

Also, using the IRaMuTeQ tool, classes were generated using terms with some level of relation to the phenomenon of the study, including: barrier, lack, confront, elderly, transphobia, assumption, knowledge, recognition, question, concern, express, abuse, fear, care, mistreatment, exposure, isolation, discriminatory, mental, identity, service and risk. These terms form a lexical vocabulary of the programmatic vulnerability of LGBTQIA+ older people.

Finally, it was possible to observe that LGBTQIA+ older people have a significant degree of vulnerability and that, despite the implementation of public policies at the three levels of healthcare, there are still considerable gaps that affect the healthy ageing of this group.
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